1 2 3 4 5 6 7 BEFORE THE 8 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 Case No. 4146 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: 12 JEREMIAH JOSEPH YABUT VIRAY 16262 Vermeer Drive 13 DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER Chino Hills, CA 91709 14 15 [Gov. Code, §11520] 16 Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 100473 17 Respondent. 18 19 FINDINGS OF FACT 20 On or about July 12, 2012, Complainant Virginia Herold, in her official capacity as 21 the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed 22 Accusation No. 4146 against Jeremiah Joseph Yabut Viray (Respondent) before the Board of 23 Pharmacy. (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.) 24 On or about May 20, 2010, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy 25 Technician Registration No. TCH 100473 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration 26 was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 4146 27 expired on May 31, 2012, has not been renewed, and is now delinquent. This lapse in licensure, 28

however, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 118(b), does not deprive the Board of its authority to institute or continue this disciplinary proceeding.

- 3. On or about August 22, 2012, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. 4146, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is: 16262 Vermeer Drive, Chino Hills, CA 91709.
- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
- 5. On or about August 23, 2012, the aforementioned documents were delivered by the U.S. Postal Service.
 - 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 4146.
 - 8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on

2

3

٠4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

111

1//

1	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a
2	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within
3	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may
4	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.
5	This Decision shall become effective on April 7, 2014.
6	It is so ORDERED ON March 6, 2014.
7	BOARD OF PHARMACY
8	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	By
11	By STAN C. WEISSER Board President
12	51454918.DOC DOJ Matter ID:LA2011601367
13	Attachment:
14	Exhibit A: Accusation
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Exhibit A

Accusation

1 2	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California GREGORY J. SALUTE
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General KEVIN J. RIGLEY
4	State Bar No. 131800 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 620-2558
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 Attorneys for Complainant
7	
8	BEFORE THE
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4146
12	JEREMIAH JOSEPH YABUT VIRAY A C C U S A T I O N
13	16262 Vermeer Drive Chino Hills, CA 91709
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration
15	No. TCH 100473
16	Respondent.
17	
18	Complainant alleges:
19	<u>PARTIES</u>
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
22	2. On or about May 20, 2010, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy
23	Technician Registration No. TCH 100473 to Jeremiah Joseph Yabut Viray (Respondent). The
24	Pharmacy Technician Registration, which was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the
25	charges brought herein, expired on May 31, 2012 and has not been renewed.
26	JURISDICTION
27	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following
28	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

.10

· 20

24.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b) provides, in pertinent part that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
 - 5. Section 490 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.
- "(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."
- 6. Section 4300 provides in pertinent part, that every license issued by the Boards is subject to discipline, including suspension or revocation.
 - 7. Section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

22

23

24

2.5

26

27

28

"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

. . .

The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment."

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states, in pertinent part:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

10

19

2021

22

23

24

25

2627

111

///

28

licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

COST RECOVERY

9. Section 125.3 states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of case.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of a Substantially Related Crime)

Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4301, subdivision (1) and 490, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician. On or about June 14, 2011, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 459-460 subdivision (b) [burglary: second degree] and one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 488 subdivision (a) [petty theft] in the criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of California v. Jeremiah Joseph Yabut Viray (Super. Ct. Orange County, 2011, No. 11HM04378). The Court sentenced Respondent to serve one (1) day in Orange County Jail and placed him on one (1) year probation, with terms and conditions. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about December 21, 2010, an Asset Protection Specialist for Target reviewed the surveillance video, observed Respondent scan an unused Target gift card, and load it with a \$300 cash credit, without paying for it. From on or about December 17, 2010 through on or about December 20, 2010, Respondent was observed taking an unknown amount of cash from the register. When confronted by the Asset Protection Specialist, Respondent admitted to loading the gift card with a \$300 cash credit without placing any cash payment into the cash register. In addition, Respondent admitted that he had stolen an additional \$2,005.00 in cash from his employer on numerous occasions since November 6, 2010.

4

2

3

5

6

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Acts Involving Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)

11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (f), in that Respondent committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit. Complainant refers to, and by reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 10, as though set forth fully.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 100473, issued to Respondent;
- 2. Ordering Respondent to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to section 125.3; and
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper!

DATED: 7/12/12

VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer

Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

LA2011601367 60696517.doc jgz/mc (1/27/12)