1 BOARD OF PHARMACY 2 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 3 4 In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Case No. 4101 Against: 5 OAH No. 2012100524 CRISTINA GARCIA 6 DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER Applicant for Pharmacy Technician 7 [Gov. Code, § 11520] Registration 8 Respondent. 9 10 11 FINDINGS OF FACT 12 1. On or about May 4, 2012, Complainant Virginia Herold, in her official capacity as the 13 Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed Statement of Issues No. 4101 against Cristina Garcia (Respondent) before the Board of Pharmacy. 14 2. 15 On or about June 16, 2010, Respondent filed an application dated June 1, 2010, with 16 the Board of Pharmacy to obtain a Pharmacy Technician Registration. 3. 17 On or about March 1, 2011, the Board issued a letter denying Respondent's 18 application for a Pharmacy Technician Registration. On or about April 7, 2011, Respondent 19 appealed the Board's denial of her application and requested a hearing. 20 On or about May 23, 2012, an employee of the Department of Justice, served by 21 Certified and First Class Mail a copy of the Statement of Issues No. 4101, Statement to 22 Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Government Code sections 11507.5, 23 11507.6, and 11507.7 to Respondent's address on the application form, which was and is: 740 N. Pacific Avenue, San Pedro, CA 90731. A copy of the Statement of Issues is attached as exhibit 24 25 A.

provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).

Service of the Statement of Issues was effective as a matter of law under the

5.

26

27

28

- 6. On or about April 7, 2011, Respondent appealed the denial of her application and requested a hearing in this action. A Notice of Hearing was served by mail at Respondent's address on the application and it informed her that an administrative hearing in this matter was scheduled for January 24, 2013. Respondent failed to appear at that hearing. A copy of the Notice of Hearing and proof of service is attached as exhibit B.
 - 7. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
 - 8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent; and where the burden of proof is on the respondent to establish that the respondent is entitled to the agency action sought, the agency may act without taking evidence.
- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing based upon the allegation set forth in the Statement of Issues and Respondent's failure to establish entitlement to issuance of a license.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Cristina Garcia has subjected her application for a Pharmacy Technician Registration to denial.
- 2. Service of Statement of Issues No. 4101 and related documents was proper and in accordance with the law.
 - 3. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 4. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to deny Respondent's application for licensure based upon the following violations alleged in the Statement of Issues:

a. Violation of section 480 (a)(1) and CCR section 1770 for convictions of five (5) substantially related crimes between the years 1995 and 1999, involving thefts and driving under the influence;

b. Violation of section 4301(p) and 480 in that Respondent committed acts which if done by a licentiate of the business and profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of her license.

/// ///

1	<u>ORDER</u>
2	IT IS SO ORDERED that the application of Respondent Cristina Garcia is hereby denied.
3	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a
4	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within
5	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may
6	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.
7	This Decision shall become effective on April 14, 2014.
8	It is so ORDERED ON March 14, 2014.
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	
12	By C. Weissi
13	SIAN C. WEISSER
14	Board President
15	
16	
17	Attachment:
18	Exhibit A: Statement of Issues No. 4101
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Exhibit A

Statement of Issues No. 4101

1 2 3	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California MARC D. GREENBAUM Supervising Deputy Attorney General ANTONIO LOPEZ, JR.	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 206387	
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2536 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804	·
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8 9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
10	STATE OF C	CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 4101
12 13	CRISTINA GARCIA 740 N. Pacific Avenue	STATEMENT OF ISSUES
14	San Pedro, CA 90731	
15 16	Applicant for Pharmacy Technician Registration	·;
17	Respondent.	
18		
19	Complainant alleges:	
20	<u>PARTIES</u>	
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official	
22	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.	
23	2. On or about June 16, 2010, the Board	d of Pharmacy (Board), received an application
24	for Pharmacy Technician Registration from Cristina Garcia (Respondent). On or about June 1,	
25	2010, Respondent certified under penalty of perjo	ury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers,
26	and representations in the application. The Boar	d denied the application on March 1, 2011.
27	///	
28		
	:	1

JURISDICTION

3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 480 provides, in pertinent part:
- "(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- "(1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.
- "(2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.
- "(3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- (B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made.
- "(c) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the ground that the applicant knowingly made a false statement of fact required to be revealed in the application for the license."
 - 5. Section 490 provides, in pertinent part:
- "(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a

crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.

- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.
- "(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."
- 6. Section 4300 provides, in pertinent part, that every license issued by the Board is subject to discipline, including suspension or revocation.
 - 7. Section 4301 provides, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

"(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

7

11 12

10

14

15

13

16

17 18

19

2021

23

22

2425

262728

"(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

- "(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.
- "(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

"(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.

"(p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license."

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, provides, in pertinent part:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Conviction of Substantially-Related Crimes)

- 9. Respondent's application is subject to denial under sections 480, subdivision (a)(1), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent was convicted of crimes as follows:
- a. On or about February 9, 2009, after pleading *nolo contendere*, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 484, subdivision (a) [theft], in the criminal proceedings entitled *The People of the State of California v. Cristina Garcia* (Super.Ct. Los Angeles County, 2009, No. 9BF00031). The Court sentenced Respondent to serve 1 day in jail, placed her on probation for a period of 36 months, and fined her.
- b. On or about March 13, 2009, after pleading *nolo contendere*, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 484, subdivision (a) [theft]; and one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 666, [petty theft with priors], in the criminal proceedings entitled *The People of the State of California v. Cristina Garcia* (Super.Ct. Los Angeles County, 2009, No. 9BF00942). The Court sentenced Respondent to 10 days in jail, placed her on probation for a period of 36 months, and fined her. Respondent was previously convicted in the California of violating Penal Code section 484, subdivision (a) [theft], and served a term in a penal institution and was imprisoned therein as a condition of probation. Respondent was subsequently convicted of violating Penal Code section 666, [petty theft with priors].

- c. On or about November 21, 2007, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 20002, subdivision (a) [driving a vehicle causing damage to any property]; and one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 12500, subdivision (a) [driving while driver's license is suspended or revoked], in the criminal proceedings entitled *The People of the State of California v. Cristina Garcia* (Super.Ct. Los Angeles County, 2007, No. 7WW01940). The Court sentenced Respondent to serve 8 days total in jail, placed her on probation for a period of 36 months, and fined her. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about March 10, 2007, after investigating a vehicle collision, Respondent was identified as the driver who fled the scene of an earlier collision. Respondent admitted to being the driver of the vehicle that fled the scene. Respondent was subsequently convicted of violating Vehicle Code section 20002, subdivision (a) [driving a vehicle causing damage to any property; and Vehicle Code section 12500, subdivision (a) [driving while driver's license is suspended or revoked.]
- d. On or about August 11, 2006, after pleading *nolo contendere*, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) [driving while having 0.08% and more, by weight, of alcohol in her blood], in the criminal proceedings entitled *The People of the State of California v. Cristina Garcia* (Super.Ct. Los Angeles County, 2006, No. 6DY04203). The Court sentenced Respondent to 2 days in Jail, placed her on probation for a period of 36 months, and fined her. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about May 19, 2006, during a routine traffic stop by the Downey Police Department, Respondent was stopped because she had spun out of control making a left turn. The officer observed Respondent to have bloodshot, watery eyes, and a strong smell of an alcoholic beverage coming from her breath. Respondent admitted to having four shots of tequila. Respondent agreed to a series of field sobriety test which she failed to complete satisfactorily. Respondent was subsequently given two alcohol breath tests, with results of .18 BAC and .19 BAC.
- e. On or about April 27, 2005, after pleading *nolo contendere*, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b)

[driving while having 0.08% and more, by weight, of alcohol in her blood], in the criminal proceedings entitled *The People of the State of California v. Cristina Garcia* (Super.Ct. Los Angeles County, 2005, No. 5VN01517). The Court sentenced Respondent to 13 days in Jail, placed her probation for a period of 36 months and fined her. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about April 2, 2005, Respondent unlawfully drove a vehicle while having 0.08% and more, by weight, of alcohol in her blood.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Acts Warranting Denial of Licensure)

- 10. Respondent's application is subject to denial under sections 4301, subdivision (p) and 480, subdivision (a)(3)(A) and (a)(3)(B), in that Respondent committed acts which if done by a licentiate of the business and profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of her license.
- a. Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician which to a substantial degree evidence her present or potential unfitness to perform the functions authorized by his license in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare, in violation of sections 4031, subdivision (1), and 490, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 9, subparagraphs (a) and (e), inclusive, as though set forth fully.
- b. On or about December 9, 2008 and December 7, 2008, Respondent committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, or deceit in violation of section 4301, subdivision (f). Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 9, subparagraphs (a), (b) and (c), inclusive, as though set forth fully.
- c. Respondent used alcoholic beverages to an extent or in a manner dangerous or injurious to herself, another person, or the public, in violation of section 4301, subdivision (h). Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 9, subparagraphs (d) and (e), inclusive, as though set forth fully.
 - d. Respondent was convicted of crimes involving the consumption of alcoholic

	,[
1	beverages, in violation of section 4301, subdivision (k). Complainant refers to, and by this
2	reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 9, subparagraphs (d) and (e),
3	inclusive, as though set forth fully.
4	PRAYER
5	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
6	and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:
7	1. Denying the application of Cristina Garcia for registration as a Pharmacy Technician;
8	and
9	2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
10	
11	DATED: 5/4/12 (Lainia Led
12	Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy
13	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
14	Complainant
15	LA2011601008
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26 27	
28	
-0	.l

Exhibit B

Notice of Hearing & Proof of Service

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS	
2	Attorney General of California MARC D. GREENBAUM	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General Antonio Lopez, Jr.	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 206387	
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2536 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804	
	Attorneys for Complainant	
7	BEFORE THE	
8	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: Case No. 4101	
11	CRISTINA GARCIA OAH No. 2012100524	
12	NOTICE OF HEARING	
13	Respondent. [Gov. Code, § 11509.]	
14	Hearing: Thursday, January 24, 2013	
15		
16	YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that a hearing in this matter will commence on	
17	Thursday, January 24, 2013, at 1:30 p.m. before an Administrative Law Judge at the address	
18	listed below.	
19	Office of Administrative Hearings	
20	320 West Fourth Street, Suite 630 Los Angeles, CA 90013	
21		
22	The hearing will be conducted before the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer	
23	Affairs by an Administrative Law Judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings, upon the	
24	charges made in the Statement of Issues served upon you.	
25	If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding officer within ten (10)	
26	days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify the presiding officer within ten (10) days	
27	will deprive you of a change in the place of hearing.	
28		

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. You may present any relevant evidence, and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents, or other things by applying to the Office of Administrative Hearings, 320 West Fourth Street, Suite 630, Los Angeles, CA 90013, telephone: (213) 576-7200.

INTERPRETER: Pursuant to section 11435.20 of the Government Code, the hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If a party or a party's witness does not proficiently speak or understand the English language and before commencement of the hearing requests language assistance, an agency subject to the language assistance requirement in section 11435.15 of the Government Code shall provide a certified interpreter or an interpreter approved by the administrative law judge conducting the proceedings. The cost of providing the interpreter shall be paid by the agency having jurisdiction over the matter if the administrative law judge or hearing officer so directs, otherwise by the party for whom the interpreter is provided. If you or a witness requires the assistance of an interpreter, ample advance notice of this fact should be given to the Office of Administrative Hearings so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

CONTINUANCES: Under section 11524 of the Government Code, the agency may grant a continuance, but when an administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings has been assigned to the hearing, no continuance may be granted except by him or her or by the presiding Administrative Law Judge for good cause. When seeking a continuance, a party shall apply for the continuance within ten (10) working days following the time the party discovered or reasonably should have discovered the event or occurrence which establishes good cause for the continuance. A continuance may be granted for good cause after the ten (10) working days have lapsed only if the party seeking the continuance is not responsible for and has made a good faith effort to prevent the condition or event establishing the good cause.

1	Continuances are not favored. If you need a continuance, <u>immediately</u> write or call the
2	Office of Administrative Hearings: 320 West Fourth Street, Suite 630, Los Angeles, CA 90013
3	telephone: (213) 576-7200.
4	Dated: December 26, 2012 Respectfully submitted,
5	Kamala D. Harris Attorney General of California Marc D. Greenbaum
7	Supervising Deputy Attorney General
8	Chia D. Etus der
· 9	ANTONIO LOPEZ, JR. Deputy Attorney General
10	Attorneys for Complainant
11	Rebnio Lopez, JR.
12	LA2011601008
13	51212305.doc
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY CERTIFIED MAIL AND FIRST CLASS MAIL

(Separate Mailings)

Case Name:

CRISTINA GARCIA

OAH No.:

2012100524

I declare:

I am employed in the Office of the Attorney General, which is the office of a member of the California State Bar at which member's direction this service is made. I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to this matter. I am familiar with the business practice at the Office of the Attorney General for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. In accordance with that practice, correspondence placed in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General is deposited with the United States Postal Service with postage thereon fully prepaid that same day in the ordinary course of business.

On December 27, 2012, I served the attached **NOTICE OF HEARING** by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope as certified mail with return receipt requested, and another true copy of the **NOTICE OF HEARING** was enclosed in a second sealed envelope as first class mail in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General at 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702, Los Angeles, CA 90013, addressed as follows:

Cristina Garcia 740 N. Pacific Avenue San Pedro, CA 90731 Certified Article Number אור אונה אונה אונה אונה SENDERS RECORD

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on December 27, 2012, at Los Angeles, California.

Teresa Sutton

Declarant

lignature

LA2011601008 51212309.doc