# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter	of the	Statement	$\mathbf{of}$	Issues
Against:				

Case No. 4045

OAH No. 2011100186

JEMMA DEBRA MOLE 36202 Corsica Circle Winchester, CA 92596

Applicant

Respondent.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The attached Proposed Decision of the Administrative Law Judge is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This decision shall become effective on October 15, 2012.

It is so ORDERED on September 14, 2012.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

(. Wussi

By

STANLEY C. WEISSER Board President

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:

No. 4045

JEMMA DEBRA MOLE

OAH No. 2011100186

Respondent.

#### PROPOSED DECISION

On July 17, 2012, in San Diego, California, Alan S. Meth, Administrative Law Judge, Office of Administrative Hearings, State of California, heard this matter.

Adrian R. Contreras, Deputy Attorney General, represented the complainant.

Respondent did not appear at the hearing although she was properly served with the Notice of Hearing. The matter proceeded as a default pursuant to Government Code 11520.

The matter was submitted on July 17, 2012.

#### **FACTUAL FINDINGS**

- 1. On August 22, 2011, Virginia Herold, Executive Officer, Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California (hereafter, "Board") filed Statement of Issues No. 4045 in her official capacity. Respondent filed a timely Request for Hearing.
- 2. On January 7, 2010, respondent signed an Application for Registration as a Pharmacy Technician and submitted it to the Board.
- 3. On July 28, 2006, in the Riverside County Superior Court, respondent pleaded guilty and was convicted of violating Vehicle Code sections 23152, subdivision (a) [driving under the influence of alcohol], 23152, subdivision (b) [driving with a blood alcohol content of 0.08 percent or more], and 23140 [driving while under the age of 21 years with a blood alcohol content of 0.05 percent or more], all misdemeanors. The court placed respondent on probation for three years on condition, among others, she serve six days in county jail with

credit for time served of one day, suspended if respondent attended the T.E.M.P.O program, pay fines and fees in excess of \$1500.00, and attend and complete a First Offender DUI program for four months. On November 12, 2009, the court terminated probation early.

Respondent committed the offense on May 28, 2006.

4. On January 9, 2008, in the Riverside County Superior Court, respondent pleaded guilty and was convicted of violating Penal Code section 647, subdivision (f), public intoxication, a misdemeanor. The court placed respondent on probation for 18 months on condition, among others, she pay fines and fees of \$167.40 and restricted her driver's license for 12 months.

Respondent committed the offense on November 17, 2007. According to the police report, a deputy sheriff was in the process of arresting a person at the Pechanga Resort and Casino when respondent, one of the arrestee's friends, started yelling obscenities at him, casino staff, and patrons. He observed respondent trip and fall and when he approached her, he detected numerous symptoms of alcohol intoxication. Respondent said she was 20 years old and on probation for DUI. Respondent was unable to recall her home address or social security number. The deputy issued her a citation.

5. On May 21, 2009, in the Riverside County Superior Court, respondent pleaded guilty and was convicted of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) [driving with a blood alcohol content of 0.08 percent or more], a misdemeanor. The court placed respondent on probation for four years and ordered her to serve 10 days in custody, with credit for time served of one day. The court also ordered her to pay fines and fees in excess of \$2,000.00 and attend and complete a drinking driver program.

Respondent committed the offense on February 11, 2009. According to the police report, a deputy sheriff responded to a traffic collision in a field in San Jacinto at about 1:30 a.m. He observed respondent leaning against a car. Respondent said she was the driver of the car and was not injured. She said she thought the field was a shortcut to get to her friend's house. As he talked to her, the deputy detected the odor of alcohol emitting from her breath, and noticed her speech was slow and her eyes were red and watery. He began to question her and she said she already had a DUI and a drunk in public, and asked the deputy not to arrest her. She said she had one drink of Jack Daniels the previous afternoon and had been taking a prescription medication. The deputy administered a series of field sobriety tests and based upon all the evidence, concluded respondent had been driving under the influence of alcohol. He administered two breath tests which revealed her blood alcohol content was 0.218 percent and 0.231 percent. He took her to the police station where she told the deputy that she was going to get off because "Judges like pretty girls." She also said this would not stop her from drinking and driving.

6. The offenses for which respondent was convicted are substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician.

7. On March 25, 2010, in the Riverside County Superior Court, respondent pleaded guilty and was convicted of violating Vehicle Code section 12500, subdivision (a), driving without a valid driver's license, a misdemeanor. The court ordered respondent to pay a fine of \$85.00, which she paid.

On July 26, 2010, in the Riverside County Superior Court, respondent pleaded guilty and was convicted of violating Vehicle Code sections 14601.1, subdivision (a) [driving with knowledge that her license was suspended, a misdemeanor], 26710 [driving with a defective windshield or rear window, an infraction], and 4000, subdivision (a)(1) [driving without current registration, an infraction]. The court fined respondent \$1,151.00 plus additional fees and placed her on summary probation for three years.

8. Respondent submitted several letters in support of her application.

#### LEGAL CONCLUSIONS

- 1. Business and Professions Code section 480 provides in part:
- "(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- (1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action which a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.

# [¶]. . .

- (3)(A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- (B)The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of the business or profession for which application is made.
- 2. Business and Professions Code section 4300 provides in part:
- "(c) The board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct. The board may, in its sole discretion, issue a probationary license to any applicant for a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct and who has met all other requirements for licensure. The board may issue the license subject to any terms or conditions not contrary to public policy, including, but not limited to, the following:

- (1) Medical or psychiatric evaluation.
- (2) Continuing medical or psychiatric treatment.
- (3) Restriction of type or circumstances of practice.
- (4) Continuing participation in a board-approved rehabilitation program.
- (5) Abstention from the use of alcohol or drugs.
- (6) Random fluid testing for alcohol or drugs..."
- 3. Business and Professions Code section 4301 provides in part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

# $\llbracket \P \rrbracket \dots$

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

# $\lceil \P \rceil \dots$

- (k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.
- (l) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. . . The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. . ."

- 4. Cause to deny respondent's application for a pharmacy technician registration pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 4300, subdivision (c), 4301, subdivision (l), and 480, subdivision (a), was established by Findings 3 through 6 in that respondent was convicted of crimes that are substantially related to the qualifications, function, and duties of a pharmacy technician.
  - 5. Title 16, California Code of Regulations, section 1769 provides in part:
  - "(a) When considering the denial of a facility or personal license under Section 480 of the Business and Professions Code, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of the applicant and his present eligibility for licensing or registration, will consider the following criteria:
  - (1) The nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s) under consideration as grounds for denial.
  - (2) Evidence of any act(s) committed subsequent to the act(s) or crime(s) under consideration as grounds for denial under Section 480 of the Business and Professions Code.
  - (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or crime(s) referred to in subdivision (1) or (2).
  - (4) Whether the applicant has complied with any terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the applicant.
  - (5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the applicant."

The evidence in light of these criteria shows that respondent was convicted of three alcohol-related offenses within a three-year period and she remains on probation. Since her last conviction, she was convicted of other driving offenses. It is apparent respondent has little respect for the laws governing appropriate behavior relating to alcohol and driving, and her alcohol abuse and lack of respect for the law make her a substantial risk to the public if she were licensed as a pharmacy technician. Respondent presented no evidence of rehabilitation or any reason why a license should be issued to her. The only appropriate disposition of his matter is denial of her application.

# ORDER

The application of respondent Jemma Debra Mole for a pharmacy technician license is denied.

DATED: July 18, 2012

ALAN S. METH

Administrative Law Judge

Office of Administrative Hearings

Clan S. Much

1	N *					
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California	•				
2	LINDA K. SCHNEIDER					
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General ADRIAN R, CONTRERAS					
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 267200					
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101	•				
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266					
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2634 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061					
8	E-mail: Adrian Contreras@doj.ca.gov  Attorneys for Complainant					
9	, -	FODE THE				
10	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS					
11		OF CALIFORNIA				
12	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 4045				
13		·				
14	JEMMA DEBRA MOLE 36202 Corsica Circle	STATEMENT OF ISSUES				
15	Winchester, CA 92596					
16	Responde	ent.				
17						
18	Complainant alleges:					
19	<u> </u>	PARTIES				
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official					
21	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.					
22	2. On or about January 14, 2010, the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer					
23	Affairs received an application for a Pharmacy Technician Registration from Jemma Debra Mole					
24	(Respondent). On or about January 7, 2010, Jemma Debra Mole certified under penalty of					
25	perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the application. The					
26	Board denied the application on December 2	0, 2010.				
27	<i>!!!</i>					
28	<i>III</i> . :					
		1				

STATEMENT OF ISSUES

#### JURISDICTION

- 3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board),
  Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section
  references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
  - 4. Section 4300 of the Code states:

"(c) The board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct. The board may, in its sole discretion, issue a probationary license to any applicant for a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct and who has met all other requirements for licensure. . . . . "

## STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 5. Section 475 of the Code states:
- "(a) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall govern the denial of licenses on the grounds of:

"(2) Conviction of a crime.

6

- "(4) Commission of any act which, if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall govern the suspension and revocation of licenses on grounds specified in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subdivision (a).

, n

6. Section 480 of the Business and Professions Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may deny a license if the applicant has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of the business or profession for which application is made, has committed any act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit, has committed any act which if done by a licentiate would be grounds for suspension or revocation of a license, or has knowingly made a false statement of fact required to be revealed in the application.

#### 7. Section 482 of the Code states:

"Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- "(a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- "(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

"Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee."

#### 8. Section 493 of the Code states:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

"As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration."

#### 9. Section 4301 of the Code states:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

"(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or

19

20

21

22

23

to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

"

"(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.

"(I) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

24 "...." 25 | /// 26 | /// 27 | ///

28 | ///

#### REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- 10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, states:
- "(a) When considering the denial of a facility or personal license under Section 480 of the Business and Professions Code, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of the applicant and his present eligibility for licensing or registration, will consider the following criteria:
- "(1) The nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s) under consideration as grounds for denial.
- "(2) Evidence of any act(s) committed subsequent to the act(s) or crime(s) under consideration as grounds for denial under Section 480 of the Business and Professions Code.
- "(3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or crime(s) referred to in subdivision (1) or (2).
- "(4) Whether the applicant has complied with any terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the applicant.
  - "(5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the applicant.

\*\* \*\*

11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(July 28, 2006 Criminal Convictions for DUI on May 28, 2006)

12. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivisions (a)(1) and (a)(3)(A), and section 4301, subdivision (I) of the Code in that she was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee. The circumstances are as follows:

- 13. On or about July 28, 2006, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Jemma Debra Amanda Mole*, in Riverside County Superior Court, case number SWM051167, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a), driving under the influence of alcohol, a misdemeanor; Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b), driving with a blood alcohol content (BAC) of .08% or more, a misdemeanor; and Vehicle Code section 23140, subdivision (a), driving while under the age of 21 years and with a BAC of .05% or more, a misdemeanor.
- 14. As a result a result of the convictions, on or about July 28, 2006, Respondent was sentenced to 36 months summary probation and ordered to pay fines and fees and attend a First Offender DUI program for four months.

### SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(January 9, 2008 Criminal Conviction for Public Intoxication on November 17, 2007)

- 15. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivisions (a)(1) and (a)(3)(A), and section 4301, subdivision (l) of the Code in that she was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee. The circumstances are as follows:
- 16. On or about January 9, 2008, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Jemma Debra Amanda Mole*, in Riverside County Superior Court, case number SWM070039, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty of violating Penal Code section 647, subdivision (f), public intoxication, a misdemeanor.
- 17. As a result of the conviction, on or about January 9, 2008, Respondent was sentenced to 18 months summary probation, ordered to pay fines and fees, and had her driver's license restricted for twelve months to drive only to and from work and school.
- 18. The facts that led to the conviction are that on or about November 17, 2007,
  Respondent was at Pechanga Casino in Temecula where an officer with the Riverside County
  Sheriff's Office was in the process of arresting someone near the Detectives' Office. One of the
  arrestee's friends, Respondent, started yelling obscenities at the officer, casino staff, and casino
  patrons. After the officer placed the arrestee in his vehicle, the officer turned around and saw

Respondent back up, trip, and fall to the ground near the parking structure. The officer walked to Respondent and asked her for her identification. Respondent said she was 20 years old and was on probation for a DUI. Respondent could not remember her home address or social security number. She was then arrested.

## THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(May 21, 2009 Criminal Conviction for DUI on February 11, 2009)

- 19. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivisions (a)(1) and (a)(3)(A), and section 4301, subdivision (l) of the Code in that she was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee. The circumstances are as follows:
- 20. On or about May 21, 2009, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Jemma Debra Amanda Mole*, in Riverside County Superior Court, case number SWM084665, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b), driving with a BAC of .08% or more, a misdemeanor. Respondent also admitted and the court found true the allegation that at the time of the offense she had a previous DUI. An additional count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a), driving under the influence of alcohol, a misdemeanor, was dismissed pursuant to a plea agreement.
- 21. As a result of the conviction, on or about May 21, 2009, Respondent was sentenced to 48 months summary probation and ordered to be committed to the custody of the Riverside County Sheriff for ten days and pay fines and fees.
- 22. The facts that led to the conviction are that on or about February 11, 2009, at approximately 1:30 a.m., an officer with the Riverside County Sheriff's Office responded to a traffic accident in San Jacinto. Someone reported seeing a white car in a field off the road. The officer arrived on the scene and saw a white Mitsubishi Lancer stopped approximately forty yards into the dirt field off the road. The front driver side door was open and Respondent was leaning against the car, next to the open door. Respondent stood motionless and did not answer the officer's questions about her health or how her car ended up in the field. Eventually, Respondent said she was not hurt. The officer had Respondent walk from her car to the road to talk.

	1	Ϊ
:	2	l
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
•	7	
٠	8	ľ
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	20	
2	21	
2	22	
2	23	
2	24	
Ź	25	
2	26	
,	27	

Respondent said drove and thought the field was a shortcut to another road as she was on her way to a friend's house in Hemet. The officer smelled alcohol on her breath and her person.

Respondent's speech was slow and her eyes were red and watery. When the officer asked Respondent about her alcohol consumption, she began to cry and said, "I already have a DUI and a drunk in public, please don't arrest me." The officer asked Respondent to perform several field sobriety tests, which she failed. Respondent agreed to take a preliminary test of her BAC. The results of the first test were .218% BAC and the results of the second test were .231% BAC. Based on her statements and her inability to perform the field sobriety tests, the officer arrested Respondent and transported her to a local police station. At the station while the booking paperwork was being finished, Respondent smiled at the officer and said, "You know I'm going to get off this. Judges like pretty girls, I'll get off this." Later, while she was being transported to a nearby correctional facility Respondent said, "This won't stop me." When Respondent was asked what she meant by this statement, she replied, "It won't stop me from drinking and driving."

# FOURTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

# (Unprofessional Conduct - Use of Alcohol in a Dangerous Manner)

23. Respondent's application is subject to denial under sections 480, subdivision
(a)(3)(A), and 4301, subdivision (h) in that as described in paragraphs 13-14, 18, and 22, above,
Respondent used alcoholic beverages to an extent or in a manner dangerous or injurious to herself
and the public when she operated a vehicle with a high BAC and was under the influence of
alcohol in a public place.

#### FIFTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

#### (Unprofessional Conduct - Conviction of Alcohol-Related Offenses)

24. Respondent's application is subject to denial under sections 480, subdivision
(a)(3)(A), and 4301, subdivision (k) of the Code in that as described in paragraphs 13-14, 16-17, and 20-21, above, Respondent was convicted of more than one misdemeanor or felony involving the use or consumption of an alcoholic beverage.

111

28

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 <sup>1</sup>

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

SD2011800517

27 28

# **DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS**

- 25. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, Complainant alleges that on or about March 25, 2010, in case number 09705DRJM, Riverside County Superior Court, Respondent was cited for a violation of Vehicle Code section 12500, subdivision (a), driving without a valid driver's license. On or about September 20, 2010, Respondent paid \$85.00 to the court and the case was closed.
- 26. Complainant further alleges that on or about July 26, 2010, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Jemma Debra Amanda Mole*, Riverside County Superior Court, case number SWM10004295, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty of violating Vehicle Code Section 14601.1, subdivision (a), driving with knowledge that her license was suspended, a misdemeanor; Vehicle Code section 26710, driving with a defective windshield or rear window, an infraction; and Vehicle Code section 4000, subdivision (a)(1), driving without registration, an infraction. The court granted summary probation for 36 months and ordered Respondent to pay a fine.

#### PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Denying the application of Jemma Debra Mole for a Pharmacy Technician Registration; and
  - Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 8/22/11

VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy Department of Consul

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

80509685.doc