BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In t	the	Matter	of the	Statement	of Issues	Against:
------	-----	--------	--------	-----------	-----------	----------

Case No. 3843

JAMES POON

429 Rickover Street Vallejo, CA 94592

Pharmacist Intern License Applicant

Respondent.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This decision shall become effective on June 1, 2011.

It is so ORDERED on May 16, 2011.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

(. Wussi

Ву

STANLEY C. WEISSER

Board President

1 2	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California DIANN SOKOLOFF						
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General Susana A. Gonzales						
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 253027						
5	1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor P.O. Box 70550						
6	Oakland, CA 94612-0550 Telephone: (510) 622-2221						
7	Facsimile: (510) 622-2270 Attorneys for Complainant						
8	BEFORE THE						
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA						
10	STATE OF C	LALIFURNIA					
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues	Case No. 3843					
12	Against:	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND					
13	JAMES POON 429 Rickover Street Volksia, CA 04502	DISCIPLINARY ORDER					
14	Vallejo, CA 94592 Pharmacy Intern License						
15	Respondent.						
16		!					
17	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGI	REED by and between the parties to the above-					
18	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true: PARTIES						
19							
20	 Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Kamala Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Susana A. Gonzales, Deputy Attorney 						
21 22							
23							
24	General.						
25	2. Respondent James Poon (Responder	nt) is represented in this proceeding by attorney					
26	Gregory P. Matzen, whose address is: Lewis Br	isbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP – Sacramento,					
27	2850 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 450, Sacramento, CA 95833.						
28							

3. On or about August 10, 2009, Respondent filed an application dated August 3, 2009, with the Board of Pharmacy to obtain a Pharmacy Intern License. The Board denied Respondent's application on or about June 29, 2010.

JURISDICTION -

4. Statement of Issues No. 3843 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board),
Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Statement of
Issues and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on
February 2, 2011. Respondent timely filed a Request for Hearing. A copy of Statement of Issues
No. 3843 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Statement of Issues No. 3843. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Statement of Issues; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Statement of Issues No. 3843.

14

2.0

24

25

26

27

28

9. Respondent agrees that his Pharmacy Intern License is subject to denial and he agrees to be bound by the Board of Pharmacy (Board)'s probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

- 10. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 11. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

2

4

5

7 8

. 9

1011

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

25

26

2728

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that upon satisfaction of all statutory and regulatory requirements for issuance of license, a Pharmacy Intern license shall be issued to Respondent James Poon and immediately revoked. The revocation will be stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for four (4) years upon the following terms and conditions.

1. Obey All Laws

Respondent shall obey all state and federal laws and regulations.

Respondent shall report any of the following occurrences to the board, in writing, within seventy-two (72) hours of such occurrence:

- an arrest or issuance of a criminal complaint for violation of any provision of the
 Pharmacy Law, state and federal food and drug laws, or state and federal controlled substances laws
- a plea of guilty or nolo contendre in any state or federal criminal proceeding to any criminal complaint, information or indictment
- a conviction of any crime
- discipline, citation, or other administrative action filed by any state or federal agency
 which involves respondent's Pharmacy Intern license or which is related to the
 practice of pharmacy or the manufacturing, obtaining, handling, distributing, billing,
 or charging for any drug, device or controlled substance.

Failure to timely report such occurrence shall be considered a violation of probation.

2. Report to the Board

Respondent shall report to the board quarterly, on a schedule as directed by the board or its designee. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Among other requirements, respondent shall state in each report under penalty of perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. Failure to submit timely reports in a form as directed shall be considered a violation of probation. Any period(s) of delinquency in submission of reports as directed may be added to the total period of probation. Moreover, if

the final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be automatically extended until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the board.

3. Interview with the Board

Upon receipt of reasonable prior notice, respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the board or its designee, at such intervals and locations as are determined by the board or its designee. Failure to appear for any scheduled interview without prior notification to board staff, or failure to appear for two (2) or more scheduled interviews with the board or its designee during the period of probation, shall be considered a violation of probation.

4. Cooperate with Board Staff

Respondent shall cooperate with the board's inspection program and with the board's monitoring and investigation of respondent's compliance with the terms and conditions of his probation. Failure to cooperate shall be considered a violation of probation.

5. Continuing Education

Respondent shall provide evidence of efforts to maintain skill and knowledge as a pharmacist as directed by the board or its designee.

6. Notice to Employers

During the period of probation, respondent shall notify all present and prospective employers of the decision in case number 3843 and the terms, conditions and restrictions imposed on respondent by the decision, as follows:

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within fifteen (15) days of respondent undertaking any new employment, respondent shall cause his direct supervisor, pharmacist-in-charge (including each new pharmacist-in-charge employed during respondent's tenure of employment) and owner to report to the board in writing acknowledging that the listed individual(s) has/have read the decision in case number 3843, and terms and conditions imposed thereby. It shall be respondent's responsibility to ensure that his employer(s) and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgment(s) to the board.

If respondent works for or is employed by or through a pharmacy employment service, respondent must notify his direct supervisor, pharmacist-in-charge, and owner at every entity

2.7

licensed by the board of the terms and conditions of the decision in case number 3843 in advance of the respondent commencing work at each licensed entity. A record of this notification must be provided to the board upon request.

Furthermore, within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within fifteen (15) days of respondent undertaking any new employment by or through a pharmacy employment service, respondent shall cause his direct supervisor with the pharmacy employment service to report to the board in writing acknowledging that he has read the decision in case number 3843 and the terms and conditions imposed thereby. It shall be respondent's responsibility to ensure that his employer(s) and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgment(s) to the board.

Failure to timely notify present or prospective employer(s) or to cause that/those employer(s) to submit timely acknowledgments to the board shall be considered a violation of probation.

"Employment" within the meaning of this provision shall include any full-time, part-time, temporary, relief or pharmacy management service as a pharmacist or any position for which a pharmacist license is a requirement or criterion for employment, whether the respondent is an employee, independent contractor or volunteer.

7. No Supervision of Interns, Serving as Pharmacist-in-Charge (PIC), Serving as Designated Representative-in-Charge, or Serving as a Consultant

During the period of probation, respondent shall not supervise any intern pharmacist, be the pharmacist-in-charge or designated representative-in-charge of any entity licensed by the board nor serve as a consultant unless otherwise specified in this order. Assumption of any such unauthorized supervision responsibilities shall be considered a violation of probation.

8. Probation Monitoring Costs

Respondent shall pay any costs associated with probation monitoring as determined by the board each and every year of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the board on a schedule as directed by the board or its designee. Failure to pay such costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

9. Status of License

Respondent shall, at all times while on probation, maintain an active, current license with the board, including any period during which suspension or probation is tolled. Failure to maintain an active, current license shall be considered a violation of probation.

If respondent's license expires or is cancelled by operation of law or otherwise at any time during the period of probation, including any extensions thereof due to tolling or otherwise, upon renewal or reapplication respondent's license shall be subject to all terms and conditions of this probation not previously satisfied.

10. License Surrender While on Probation/Suspension

Following the effective date of this decision, should respondent cease practice due to retirement or health, or be otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may tender his license to the board for surrender. The board or its designee shall have the discretion whether to grant the request for surrender or take any other action it deems appropriate and reasonable. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender of the license, respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation. This surrender constitutes a record of discipline and shall become a part of the respondent's license history with the board.

Upon acceptance of the surrender, respondent shall relinquish his pocket and wall license to the board within ten (10) days of notification by the board that the surrender is accepted. Respondent may not reapply for any license from the board for three (3) years from the effective date of the surrender. Respondent shall meet all requirements applicable to the license sought as of the date the application for that license is submitted to the board, including any outstanding costs.

11. Notification of a Change in Name, Residence Address, Mailing Address or Employment

Respondent shall notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of any change of employment. Said notification shall include the reasons for leaving, the address of the new employer, the name of the supervisor and owner, and the work schedule if known. Respondent

22.

shall further notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of a change in name, residence address, mailing address, or phone number.

Failure to timely notify the board of any change in employer(s), name(s), address(es), or phone number(s) shall be considered a violation of probation.

12. Tolling of Probation

Except during periods of suspension, respondent shall, at all times while on probation, be employed as a pharmacist in California for a minimum of forty (40) hours per calendar month. Any month during which this minimum is not met shall toll the period of probation, i.e., the period of probation shall be extended by one month for each month during which this minimum is not met. During any such period of tolling of probation, respondent must nonetheless comply with all terms and conditions of probation.

Should respondent, regardless of residency, for any reason (including vacation) cease practicing as a pharmacist for a minimum of forty (40) hours per calendar month in California, respondent must notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of the cessation of practice, and must further notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of the resumption of practice. Any failure to provide such notification(s) shall be considered a violation of probation.

It is a violation of probation for respondent's probation to remain tolled pursuant to the provisions of this condition for a total period, counting consecutive and non-consecutive months, exceeding thirty-six (36) months.

"Cessation of practice" means any calendar month during which respondent is not practicing as an intern pharmacist for at least 40 hours, as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4000 et seq. "Resumption of practice" means any calendar month during which respondent is practicing as a pharmacist for at least 40 hours as an intern pharmacist as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4000 et seq.

13. Violation of Probation

If a respondent has not complied with any term or condition of probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction over respondent, and probation shall automatically be extended, until

all terms and conditions have been satisfied or the board has taken other action as deemed appropriate to treat the failure to comply as a violation of probation, to terminate probation, and to impose the penalty that was stayed.

If respondent violates probation in any respect, the board, after giving respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. Notice and opportunity to be heard are not required for those provisions stating that a violation thereof may lead to automatic termination of the stay and/or revocation of the license. If a petition to revoke probation or an accusation is filed against respondent during probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction and the period of probation shall be automatically extended until the petition to revoke probation or accusation is heard and decided.

14. Completion of Probation

Upon written notice by the board or its designee indicating successful completion of probation, respondent's probation will be lifted.

15. Pharmacists Recovery Program (PRP)

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall contact the Pharmacists Recovery Program (PRP) for evaluation, and shall immediately thereafter enroll, successfully participate in, and complete the treatment contract and any subsequent addendums as recommended and provided by the PRP and as approved by the board or its designee. The costs for PRP participation shall be borne by the respondent.

If respondent is currently enrolled in the PRP, said participation is now mandatory and as of the effective date of this decision is no longer considered a self-referral under Business and Professions Code section 4362, subdivision (c)(2). Respondent shall successfully participate in and complete his current contract and any subsequent addendums with the PRP.

Failure to timely contact or enroll in the PRP, or successfully participate in and complete the treatment contract and/or any addendums, shall be considered a violation of probation.

Probation shall be automatically extended until respondent successfully completes the PRP.

Any person terminated from the PRP program shall be automatically suspended by the board.

Respondent may not resume the practice of pharmacy until notified by the board in writing.

2.5

Any confirmed positive test for alcohol or for any drug not lawfully prescribed by a licensed practitioner as part of a documented medical treatment shall result in the automatic suspension of practice by respondent and shall be considered a violation of probation.

Respondent may not resume the practice of pharmacy until notified by the board in writing.

During suspension, respondent shall not enter any pharmacy area or any portion of the licensed premises of a wholesaler, veterinary food-animal drug retailer or any other distributor of drugs which is licensed by the board, or any manufacturer, or where dangerous drugs and devices or controlled substances are maintained. Respondent shall not practice pharmacy nor do any act involving drug selection, selection of stock, manufacturing, compounding, dispensing or patient consultation; nor shall respondent manage, administer, or be a consultant to any licensee of the board, or have access to or control the ordering, manufacturing or dispensing of dangerous drugs and controlled substances. Respondent shall not resume practice until notified by the board.

During suspension, respondent shall not engage in any activity that requires the professional judgment of a pharmacist. Respondent shall not direct or control any aspect of the practice of pharmacy. Respondent shall not perform the duties of a pharmacy technician or a designated representative for any entity licensed by the board.

Subject to the above restrictions, respondent may continue to own or hold an interest in any licensed premises in which he holds an interest at the time this decision becomes effective unless otherwise specified in this order.

Failure to comply with this suspension shall be considered a violation of probation.

Respondent shall pay administrative fees as invoiced by the PRP or its designee. Fees not timely paid to the PRP shall constitute a violation for probation. The board will collect unpaid administrative fees as part of the annual probation monitoring costs if not submitted to the PRP.

16. Random Drug Screening

Respondent, at his own expense, shall participate in random testing, including but not limited to biological fluid testing (urine, blood), breathalyzer, hair follicle testing, or other drug screening program as directed by the board or its designee. Respondent may be required to participate in testing for the entire probation period and the frequency of testing will be

2.5

2.7

determined by the board or its designee. At all times, respondent shall fully cooperate with the board or its designee, and shall, when directed, submit to such tests and samples for the detection of alcohol, narcotics, hypnotics, dangerous drugs or other controlled substances as the board or its designee may direct. Failure to timely submit to testing as directed shall be considered a violation of probation. Upon request of the board or its designee, respondent shall provide documentation from a licensed practitioner that the prescription for a detected drug was legitimately issued and is a necessary part of the treatment of the respondent. Failure to timely provide such documentation shall be considered a violation of probation. Any confirmed positive test for alcohol or for any drug not lawfully prescribed by a licensed practitioner as part of a documented medical treatment shall be considered a violation of probation and shall result in the automatic suspension of practice of pharmacy by respondent. Respondent may not resume the practice of pharmacy until notified by the board in writing.

During suspension, respondent shall not enter any pharmacy area or any portion of the licensed premises of a wholesaler, veterinary food-animal drug retailer or any other distributor of drugs which is licensed by the board, or any manufacturer, or where dangerous drugs and devices or controlled substances are maintained. Respondent shall not practice pharmacy nor do any act involving drug selection, selection of stock, manufacturing, compounding, dispensing or patient consultation; nor shall respondent manage, administer, or be a consultant to any licensee of the board, or have access to or control the ordering, manufacturing or dispensing of dangerous drugs and controlled substances. Respondent shall not resume practice until notified by the board.

During suspension respondent shall not engage in any activity that requires the professional judgment of a pharmacist. Respondent shall not direct or control any aspect of the practice of pharmacy. Respondent shall not perform the duties of a pharmacy technician or a designated representative for any entity licensed by the board.

Subject to the above restrictions, respondent may continue to own or hold an interest in any licensed premises in which he holds an interest at the time this decision becomes effective unless otherwise specified in this order.

Failure to comply with this suspension shall be considered a violation of probation.

17. Abstain from Drugs and Alcohol Use

Respondent shall completely abstain from the possession or use of alcohol, controlled substances, dangerous drugs and their associated paraphernalia except when the drugs are lawfully prescribed by a licensed practitioner as part of a documented medical treatment. Upon request of the board or its designee, respondent shall provide documentation from the licensed practitioner that the prescription for the drug was legitimately issued and is a necessary part of the treatment of the respondent. Failure to timely provide such documentation shall be considered a violation of probation. Respondent shall ensure that he is not in the same physical location as individuals who are using illicit substances even if respondent is not personally ingesting the drugs. Any possession or use of alcohol, controlled substances, or their associated paraphernalia not supported by the documentation timely provided, and/or any physical proximity to persons using illicit substances, shall be considered a violation of probation.

18. No Ownership of Licensed Premises

Respondent shall not own, have any legal or beneficial interest in, or serve as a manager, administrator, member, officer, director, trustee, associate, or partner of any business, firm, partnership, or corporation currently or hereinafter licensed by the board. Respondent shall sell or transfer any legal or beneficial interest in any entity licensed by the board within ninety (90) days following the effective date of this decision and shall immediately thereafter provide written proof thereof to the board. Failure to timely divest any legal or beneficial interest(s) or provide documentation thereof shall be considered a violation of probation.

2

5

4

7

6

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Gregory P. Matzen. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacy Intern License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

DATED: 4/13/11

JAMES POON Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent James Poon the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content.

DATED: 4(13/1/

Attorney for Respondent

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

Dated: 4 3 11

Respectfully submitted,

KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California DIANN SOKOLOFF

Supervising Deputy Attompty General

SUSANA A. GONZALES
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Complainant

SF2010900442 90183685.doc

Exhibit A

Statement of Issues No. 3843

1	Kamala D. Harris Attorney General of California						
2	DIANN SOKOLOFF Supervising Deputy Attorney General						
3	SUSANA A. GONZALES Deputy Attorney General						
4	State Bar No. 253027 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor						
5	P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550						
6	Telephone: (510) 622-2221 Facsimile: (510) 622-2270						
7	Attorneys for Complainant						
8	BEFORE THE						
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS						
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA						
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: Case No. 3843						
12	JAMES POON						
13	429 Rickover Street Vallejo, CA 94592 STATEMENT OF ISSUES						
14	Respondent.						
15	icespondent.						
16							
17	Complainant alleges:						
18	<u>PARTIES</u>						
19	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official						
20	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.						
21	2. On or about August 10, 2009, the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer						
22	Affairs, received an application for Registration as an Intern Pharmacist from James Poon						
23	(Respondent). On or about August 3, 2009, James Poon certified under penalty of perjury to the						
24	truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the application. The Board denied						
25	the application on June 29, 2010.						
26							
27							
28							

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Section 118 of the Code states:
- "(a) The withdrawal of an application for a license after it has been filed with a board in the department shall not, unless the board has consented in writing to such withdrawal, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a proceeding against the applicant for the denial of the license upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order denying the license upon any such ground."

STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- 5. Section 4300, subdivision (c), of the Code states:
- "(c) The board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct. The board may, in its sole discretion, issue a probationary license to any applicant for a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct and who has met all other requirements for licensure. The board may issue the license subject to any terms or conditions not contrary to public policy..."
- 6. Section 4301 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that "unprofessional conduct" is defined to include, but not be limited to, any of the following:
- "(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.
- "(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter."

- 7. Section 480 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- "(1) Been convicted of a crime. . . . Any action which a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken . . . irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.
- "(2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or another, or substantially injure another; or
- "(3) Done any act which if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.

"The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of the . . . [license]."

8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Unprofessional Conduct – Dangerous or Injurious Use of Alcohol) (Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 480(a)(3), 4300(c), 4301(h))

- 9. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code section 480, subdivision (a)(3), by reference to section 4301, subdivision (h), and under section 4300, subdivision (c), as defined by section 4301, subdivision (h), in that Respondent engaged in unprofessional conduct by using alcohol in a dangerous and injurious manner. The circumstances are as follows:
- 10. On or about April 30, 2006, at approximately 2:15 a.m., a California Highway Patrol officer was notified of a traffic collision with injuries on U.S. Highway 101, in the Los Angeles

28

area. At approximately 2:20 a.m., the officer and his partner arrived at the scene of the accident where Respondent was being treated for injuries by the Los Angeles Fire Department. Respondent was standing next to the vehicle, a BMW, that was involved in the accident. Respondent stated that he was the driver of the vehicle. The vehicle was facing in an easterly direction on the right shoulder of the freeway. The front end of the vehicle was ripped down the center into the passenger compartment. The engine block was exposed and dislodged from the engine compartment, the windshield was shattered, the hood was crushed, and the entire front end of the vehicle and all of its components were dislodged and smashed. The right front door of the vehicle was dislodged, the right and left front windows were blown out, the rear bumper was torn off, the roof was buckled, the entire left and right side of the vehicle had scrapes and dents, and the front left and right tires were missing from the vehicle.

The CHP officer began to question respondent about the events leading up to the 11. crash and Respondent was evasive in his responses. Respondent claimed that he had been driving in the lane farthest to the right of the four lane freeway, and that he was travelling at about 65 miles-per-hour at the time of the collision. The officer noted that Respondent showed signs of intoxication, including red and watery eyes, a strong odor of an alcoholic beverage on his breath, and slow, slurred speech. The officer asked Respondent if he had been drinking and Respondent said "yes." When asked how much he had had to drink, Respondent stated "a little bit." Respondent then stated that he had consumed one Heineken beer earlier in the evening. After Respondent refused to answer pre-field sobriety questions, the officer asked Respondent to complete a few field sobriety tests, which Respondent was unable to complete due to his level of intoxication and his injuries, which he sustained in the collision. The officer admonished Respondent and asked him to take a Preliminary Alcohol Screening (PAS) test, which Respondent took twice. The results of the first PAS test showed that Respondent had a blood alcohol content of .133 percent, and the results of the second test showed a blood alcohol content of .126 percent. The officer determined that Respondent was under the influence of an alcoholic beverage at the time of the collision and that he was unable to safely operate a motor vehicle. Respondent was placed under arrest at 2:38 a.m. and transported to USC medical center, where

blood was drawn from his arm. Respondent was medically cleared from USC Medical Center at approximately 8:30 a.m., on April 30, 2006. Respondent was transported to the Los Angeles County Sherriff's Department Inmate Reception Center, where he was booked without incident. The CHP officer determined that Respondent caused the collision by driving under the influence of alcohol at an unsafe speed, which caused him to lose control of his vehicle and collide into prohibitory traffic signs, causing the subsequent collisions.

12. Respondent had three passengers in his vehicle at the time of the collision. At least two of the three passengers were transported to the emergency room following the collision. One of the passengers, Warren Phan, sustained extensive injuries to his feet and ankles. Mr. Phan's left foot and ankle were completely shattered, his right foot and ankle had multiple fractures, and he had to undergo several operations. Mr. Phan was expected to be in the hospital for nearly one month following the accident and was told by a doctor that he would need physical therapy for at least one year after the collision.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Conviction of Substantially Related Crime)

(Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 480(a)(1), 4300(c), 4301(l); Cal. Code Regs., tit. 16, § 1770)

- 13. Complainant realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 9 through 12 above, and incorporates them by reference as if fully set forth here.
- 14. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code section 480, subdivision (a)(1), and section 4300, subdivision (c), as defined by section 4301, subdivision (l), and under California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that he was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee. The circumstances are that on or about June 25, 2007, in a case entitled *The People of the State of California v. James Poon*, in the Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case Number BA306140, Respondent was convicted by plea of nolo contendre to one count of violating California Vehicle Code Section 23153, subdivision (b) (driving under the influence with a .08 percent or higher blood alcohol content and proximately causing bodily injury to any person other than the driver), a misdemeanor. Respondent was sentenced to three years of probation and three days in jail.

Respondent was ordered to pay various fees and fines and to enroll in and successfully complete a first-offender alcohol and other drug education and counseling program.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Unprofessional Conduct – Dangerous or Injurious Use of Alcohol) (Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 480(a)(3), 4300(c), 4301(h))

- 15. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code section 480, subdivision (a)(3), by reference to section 4301, subdivision (h), and under section 4300, subdivision (c), as defined by section 4301, subdivision (h), in that Respondent engaged in unprofessional conduct by using alcohol in a dangerous manner. The circumstances are as follows:
- 16. On or about February 28, 2010, at approximately 3:15 a.m., two California CHP officers (Officer 1 and Officer 2) were travelling Northbound on U.S. Highway 101, just north of the Golden Gate Bridge. Officer 1 was riding in the passenger seat of the fully marked CHP vehicle, and Officer 2 was driving. Both officers noticed Respondent's vehicle, a black BMW, travelling ahead of their vehicle in the #2 lane. The officers conducted a speedometer pace. Officer 1 determined that Respondent was travelling at a speed greater that 74 miles-per-hour in a posted 55 miles-per-hour zone. Respondent's vehicle drifted two to three feet into the #1 lane on numerous occasions during the speedometer pace, in violation of the vehicle code. Respondent also traveled onto the white delineator line between the #2 and #3 lanes multiple times, in violation of the vehicle code. Officer 2 activated the patrol vehicle's rear amber warning lights to warn other motorists of the potential hazard ahead. Officer 2 then activated the patrol vehicle's overhead emergency lights, initiating an enforcement stop of Respondent's vehicle based upon Respondent's violations of the vehicle code. Respondent's vehicle traveled for approximately one-half mile without signaling, yielding, or reacting to the overhead emergency lights.
- 17. Once Respondent yielded to the enforcement stop, Officer 1 approached the passenger side of Respondent's vehicle, while Officer 2 approached the driver's side of the

¹A speedometer pace is when an officer follows behind a person's vehicle and uses his speedometer to estimate the vehicle's speed.

1

vehicle. Officer 1 immediately detected a strong odor of alcohol emitting from the vehicle.

Officer 2 explained the reason for the stop to Respondent and requested his driver's license. The driver's license identified Respondent as the driver of the BMW. There was one passenger in Respondent's vehicle. Officer 1 noticed that Respondent's eyes were very watery, and he appeared dry-mouthed. Officer 2 asked Respondent whether he had consumed any alcohol, and Respondent stated that he had not, and that he was designated driver. Officer 2 asked Respondent to exit the vehicle and directed him to the right front passenger side of the vehicle.

Officer 1 asked Respondent about his day, and Respondent stated that he was coming from a club in San Francisco and was on his way to Vallejo. Respondent again stated that he was the designated driver, and further stated that his passenger was the one who was intoxicated. Respondent told Officer 1 to give him a speeding ticket and let him go, stating that the officer was wasting Respondent's time since he only had one drink that night. Officer 1 reminded Respondent that he previously stated that had not consumed any alcohol. Respondent explained that he had one margarita. Officer 1 asked how large the margarita was, and Respondent stated that it was a very large margarita. Respondent proceeded to tell Officer 1 that he was going to ruin Respondent's career. Officer 1 told Respondent that he could smell alcohol on his breath and body. Respondent claimed that he was okay to drive and again stated that he was the designated driver. Officer 1 then administered a series of field sobriety tests (FSTs) to Respondent. Respondent failed to perform the FSTs satisfactorily. Officer 1 administered the Preliminary Alcohol Screening Device test to Respondent twice. The first test showed that Respondent had a blood alcohol content of .097%, and the second test showed a blood alcohol content of .092%. Based upon Respondent's objective signs of intoxication and his inability to perform the field sobriety tests satisfactorily, Officer 1 determined that Respondent was driving under the influence and was unable to safely operate a motor vehicle. Officer 1 further determined that Respondent's further operation of a motor vehicle would be a hazard to Respondent, his passenger, and other motorists. At approximately 3:30 a.m., Officer 1 arrested Respondent and transferred him to Marin County Jail.

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

2627

28

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Conviction of Substantially Related Crime)

(Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 480(a)(1), 4300(c), 4301(l); Cal. Code Regs., tit. 16, § 1770)

- 19. Complainant realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 15 through 18 above, and incorporates them by reference as if fully set forth.
- 20. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code section 480, subdivision (a)(1), and section 4300, subdivision (c), as defined by section 4301, subdivision (l), and under California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that he was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee. The circumstances are that on or about October 4, 2010, in a case entitled The People of the State of California v. James Poon, aka Tuan Ton Phan, in the Marin County Superior Court, Case Number CR169098, Respondent was found guilty after a jury trial and convicted of one count of violating California Vehicle Code (CVC) section 23152, subdivision (a) (driving under the influence), a misdemeanor, and one count of violating CVC 23152, subdivision (b) (driving while having a .08 percent or higher blood alcohol), a misdemeanor. Furthermore, on or about September 29, 2010, in the above-entitled action, Respondent was convicted by guilty plea of violating CVC sections 23154, subdivision (a) (driving with a blood alcohol concentration of .01 percent or greater while on probation for violation of vehicle code section 23153), an infraction, 22350 (unsafe speed for prevailing conditions), an infraction, and 21658, subdivision (a) (unsafe lane change), an infraction. On or about October 26, 2010, Respondent was sentenced to three years of probation and 15 days in the custody of the Marin County Sheriff. Respondent was further ordered to pay fines, fees, and restitution, complete 80 hours of community service, attend and complete a postconviction drinking driver program, submit to a blood, breath, or urine test if arrested for driving under the influence in the future, and not drive with any amount of alcohol in his blood during the probationary period.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters alleged in this Statement of Issues, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

1. Denying the application of James Poon for a Registration as an Intern Pharmacist;

2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 1/27/11

VIRGINIA HEROLD

Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SF2010900442 90171806.doc