1 2 3 5 6 BEFORE THE 8 BOARD OF PHARMACY 9 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 12 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4110 13 DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER 19235 Standish Avenue 14 Hayward, CA 94541 [Gov. Code, §11520] 15 Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 53763 16 Respondent. 17 18 **FINDINGS OF FACT** 19 1. On or about March 24, 2012, Complainant Virginia K. Herold, in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed 20 21 Accusation No. 4110 against Shelley Maciel (Respondent) before the Board of Pharmacy. (A copy of the Accusation is attached as Exhibit A.) 22 2. On or about January 29, 2004, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician 23 License Number TCH 53763 to Shelley Maciel (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician License 24 25 was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 4110 The License expired on October 31, 2011, and has not been renewed. It is in delinquent status. 26 This lapse in licensure, however, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 118(b), does 27 28 not deprive the Board of its authority to institute or continue this disciplinary proceeding.

- 3. On or about April 19, 2012, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail with copies of: Accusation No. 4110; a Statement to Respondent, a Notice of Defense; a Request for Discovery; and Discovery Statutes (Gov.Code, §§ 11507.5, 11507.6, 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record, which was and is: 19235 Standish Avenue, Hayward, CA 94541.
- 4. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 136 and/or 4100, and/or California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1704, Respondent's address of record, and any changes thereto, are required to be reported and maintained with the Board of Pharmacy (Board).
- 5. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
 - 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 4110.
 - 8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 4110, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4110 are, separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.

///

///

10. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$3,987.50 as of September 21, 2012.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Shelley Maciel has subjected her Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 53763 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician License based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case:
- a. Respondent's License is subject to revocation pursuant to Business and Professions

 Code section 4301(f) for acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit or corruption, in
 that for an unknown time period and on an unknown number of occasions prior to January 28,

 2010, Respondent diverted/stole controlled substances and dangerous drugs, including Norco or
 other Hydrocodone with APAP drugs, and Methylin, Ritalin, or other methylphenidate drugs.
- b. Respondent's License is subject to revocation pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4301(h), for self-administration of a controlled substance, in that Respondent did use/self-administer at least some of the controlled substances diverted, as described above.
- c. Respondent's License is subject to revocation pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4301(j) and/or (o) and/or section 4059 of the Code, and/or Health and Safety Code section 11170, in that Respondent, as described above, furnished to herself or another, and/or conspired to furnish, and/or assisted or abetted furnishing of, a controlled substance and/or a dangerous drug, without a prescription.
- d. Respondent's License is subject to revocation pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4301(j) and/or (o) and/or section 4060, and/or Health and Safety Code section(s) 11350 and/or 11377, in that Respondent, as described above, possessed, conspired to possess, and/or assisted in or abetted possession of, controlled substance(s), without a prescription.

///

Exhibit A

Accusation

1	Kamala D. Harris	•
2	Attorney General of California FRANK H. PACOE	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General JOSHUA A. ROOM	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 214663	
	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000	
5	San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-1299	
6	Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 Attorneys for Complainant	
7	BEFORI	E THE
8	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10		C N 4110
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4110
12	SHELLEY MACIEL 19235 Standish Avenue	·
13	Hayward, CA 94541	ACCUSATION
14	Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 53763	
15	Respondent.	
16	Complainant alleges:	
17	PART	TES
18	Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings	this Accusation solely in her official capacity
19	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.	
20	2. On or about January 29, 2004, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician	
21	License Number TCH 53763 to Shelley Maciel (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician License	
22	was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein. The License	
23	expired on October 31, 2011, and has not been renewed. It is in delinquent status.	
24		
25	JURISDICTION	
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of	
27	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the	
28	Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.	

- 4. Section 4011 of the Code provides that the Board shall administer and enforce both the Pharmacy Law [Bus. & Prof. Code, § 4000 et seq.] and the Uniform Controlled Substances Act [Health & Safety Code, § 11000 et seq.].
- 5. Section 4300(a) of the Code provides that every license issued by the Board may be suspended or revoked.
- 6. Section 118(b) of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated. Section 4402(a) of the Code provides that any pharmacist license that is not renewed within three years following its expiration may not be renewed, restored, or reinstated and shall be canceled by operation of law at the end of the three-year period. Section 4402(e) of the Code provides that any other license issued by the Board may be canceled by the Board if not renewed within 60 days after its expiration, and will require a new application.

STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- 7. Section 4301 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of "unprofessional conduct," defined to include, but not be limited to, any of the following:
- (f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
- (h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.
- (j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, of any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

///

- (o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.
 - 8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by her license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

- 9. Section 4059 of the Code, in pertinent part, prohibits furnishing of any dangerous drug or dangerous device except upon the prescription of an authorized prescriber.
- 10. Section 4060 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that no person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished upon a valid prescription/drug order.
- 11. Health and Safety Code section 11170 provides that no person shall prescribe, administer, or furnish a controlled substance for himself or herself.
- 12. Health and Safety Code section 11173, subdivision (a), provides that no person shall obtain or attempt to obtain controlled substances, or procure or attempt to procure the administration of or prescription for controlled substances, (1) by fraud, deceit, misrepresentation, or subterfuge; or (2) by the concealment of a material fact.
- 13. Health and Safety Code section 11350, in pertinent part, makes it unlawful to possess any controlled substance listed in Schedule II (Health and Safety Code section 11055), subdivision (b) or (c), or any narcotic drug in Schedules III-V, absent a valid prescription.
- 14. Health and Safety Code section 11377, in pertinent part, makes it unlawful to possess any controlled substance listed in Schedule II (Health and Safety Code section 11055), subdivision (d), or any non-narcotic drug in Schedules III-V, absent a valid prescription.

COST RECOVERY

15. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed its reasonable costs of investigation and enforcement.

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES / DANGEROUS DRUGS

16. Section 4021 of the Code states:

"Controlled substance' means any substance listed in Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 11053) of Division 10 of the Health and Safety Code."

17. Section 4022 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"'Dangerous drug' or 'dangerous device' means any drug or device unsafe for self use, except veterinary drugs that are labeled as such, and includes the following:

"(a) Any drug that bears the legend: 'Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without prescription,' 'Rx only,' or words of similar import.

- "(c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006."
- 18. Norco, Vicodin, Vicodin ES, Lortab, and Lorcet are among the brand names for compounds of varying dosages of acetaminophen (aka APAP) and hydrocodone, a Schedule III controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11056(e)(4) and dangerous drug as designated by Business and Professions Code section 4022. The varying compounds are also known generically as Hydrocodone with APAP. These are all narcotic pain drugs.
- 19. **Methylin** and **Ritalin** are among the brand names for **methylphenidate**, a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055(d)(6) and a dangerous drug as designated by Business and Professions Code section 4022. It is a stimulant drug used most commonly for treatment of ADHD and other hyperactivity symptoms.

///

///

j

2.7

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 20. From an unknown start date until on or about January 28, 2010, Respondent worked as a pharmacy technician at Lodi Memorial Hospital West (HSP 36742) in Lodi, CA, where by virtue of her employment she had access to controlled substances and dangerous drugs.
- 21. During the tenure of her employment with the hospital pharmacy, Respondent used her access to divert/steal controlled substances and dangerous drugs, including **Norco** or other **Hydrocodone with APAP** drugs, and **Methylin**, **Ritalin**, or other **methylphenidate** drugs.
- 22. The exact number of instances of diversion/theft by Respondent, and the full quantity of controlled substances or dangerous drugs diverted/stolen, are not known, but in the course of investigations by Lodi Memorial Hospital and by the Board, the following was discovered:
- a. On or about January 12, 2010, Respondent's co-worker(s) noted that she had a disheveled and/or disorderly appearance, seemed to be slurring her words, and could not focus or productively perform her work tasks. Over the next few days, Respondent's co-worker(s) noted that Respondent's behavior was altered, as she appeared hyperactive and overly voluble.
- b. On or about January 19, 2010, Respondent's co-worker(s) noticed her going back and forth to her coat to get something out of her pockets, going to her purse, and going to the bathroom more than normal. She seemed nervous. One or more of her co-worker(s) checked the pocket(s) of her coat, and discovered nine (9) tablets of **Methylin 5mg**.
- c. On or about January 20, 2010, a physical inventory was performed of (some or all) controlled substances in the pharmacy. Respondent kept asking why an inventory was being done, and seemed nervous. In the inventory and/or a subsequent audit, shortages in the following quantities were found: fifteen (15) tablets of **Methylin 5mg**, fifty-nine (59) tablets of **Ritalin 5mg**, and two hundred four (204) tablets of **Hydrocodone with APAP 10/325** (generic **Norco**).
- d. On or about January 28, 2010, Respondent was confonted/interviewed by Lodi Memorial Hospital staff. Respondent admitted to diverting and self-administering Ritalin 5mg (and/or its generic equivalent(s)) and Norco (and/or its generic equivalent(s)). Respondent did not specify a time frame or total quantity for her diversion and self-use.

1	f. On or about March 3, 2010, Respondent admitted to Board Inspector(s) that she	
2	had diverted/self-used at least thirty (30) tablets of Ritalin 5mg , and "some" Norco .	
3		
4	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
5	(Acts Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit or Corruption)	
6	23. Respondent is subject to discipline under section 4301(f) of the Code, in that	
7	Respondent, as described in paragraphs 20 to 22 above, committed numerous acts involving	
8	moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption.	
9		
10	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
11	(Self-Administration of Controlled Substance)	
12	24. Respondent is subject to discipline under section 4301(h) of the Code, in that	
13	Respondent, as described in paragraphs 20 to 22 above, administered one or more controlled	
14	substances to herself, including methylphenidate and Hydrocodone with APAP products.	
15		
16	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
17	(Furnishing of Controlled Substance(s))	
18	25. Respondent is subject to discipline under section 4301(j) and/or (o) and/or section	
19	4059 of the Code, and/or Health and Safety Code section 11170, in that Respondent, as described	
20	in paragraphs 20 to 22 above, furnished to herself or another, and/or conspired to furnish, and/or	
21	assisted or abetted furnishing of, a controlled substance/dangerous drug, without a prescription.	
22		
23	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
24	(Possession of Controlled Substance(s))	
25	26. Respondent is subject to discipline under section 4301(j) and/or (o) and/or section	
26	4060 of the Code, and/or Health and Safety Code section(s) 11350 and/or 11377, in that	
27	Respondent, as described in paragraphs 20 to 22 above, possessed, conspired to possess, and/or	
28	assisted in or abetted possession of, one or more controlled substance(s), without a prescription.	

23

24

25

26

27

28

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Obtaining Controlled Substance by Fraud, Deceit or Subterfuge)

27. Respondent is subject to discipline under section 4301(j) and/or (o) of the Code, and/or Health and Safety Code section 11173(a), in that Respondent, as described in paragraphs 20 to 22 above, obtained, conspired to obtain, and/or assisted in or abetted the obtaining of a controlled substance, by fraud, deceit, subterfuge, or concealment of material fact.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct)

28. Respondent is subject to discipline under section 4301 of the Code in that Respondent, as described in paragraphs 20 to 27 above, engaged in unprofessional conduct.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician License Number TCH 53763, issued to Shelley Maciel (Respondent);
- 2. Ordering Respondent to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as is deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 3/24/12

VIRGINIA HEROLD

Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SF2011202816 40515397.doc