	1	
BEFOI	ידודוי יו פ	
BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
	CALIFORNIA	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3984	
AARON FRANCIS PATACSIL	OAH No. 2012100828	
Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	
104252	[Gov, Code, §11520]	
Respondent.		
FINDING	S OF FACT	
1. On or about March 22, 2012, Compl	ainant Virginia K. Herold, in her official capacity	
as the Executive Officer of the California State E	oard of Pharmacy, filed Accusation No. 3984	
against Aaron Francis Patacsil (Respondent) befo	ore the Board. (Accusation attached as Exhibit	
A.)		
2. On or about June 24, 2010, the Board	l issued Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH	
104252 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technicia	an License was in full force and effect at all times	
relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 3984 and expired on December 31, 2011.		
3. On or about April 5, 2012, Responde	nt was served by Certified and First Class Mail	
copies of the Accusation No. 3984, Statement to	Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for	
Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government	Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at	
Respondent's address of record which, pursuant t	o Business and Professions Code section 4100,	
is required to be reported and maintained with th	e Board. Respondent's address of record was	
and is:		
20318 Belshire Avenue		
	· · ·	
1 DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER (OAH No. 2012100828)		
	DEPARTMENT OF C STATE OF C In the Matter of the Accusation Against: AARON FRANCIS PATACSIL Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 104252 Respondent. I. On or about March 22, 2012, Complete as the Executive Officer of the California State E against Aaron Francis Patacsil (Respondent) befor A.) 2. On or about June 24, 2010, the Board 104252 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technicia relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No 3. On or about April 5, 2012, Responde copies of the Accusation No. 3984, Statement to Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to is required to be reported and maintained with th and is: 20318 Belshire Avenue Lakewood, CA 90715. ///	

	· · · ·	
1	4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of	
2	Government Code section 11505 (c) and Business & Professions Code section 124.	
3	5. On or about April 7, 2012, Respondent signed and returned a Notice of Defense,	
4	requesting a hearing in this matter. A Notice of Hearing was served by mail at Respondent's	
5	address of record and it informed him that an administrative hearing in this matter was scheduled	
6	for May 30, 2013. Respondent failed to appear at that hearing.	
7	6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:	
8	(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent	
9	files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall	
10	constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.	
11	7. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:	
12	(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the	
13	hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to	
14	respondent.	
15	8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds	
16	Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the	
17	relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as	
18	taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on	
19	file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 3984, finds that	
20	the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 3984, are separately and severally, found to be true	
21	and correct by clear and convincing evidence.	
22	9. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and	
23	Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation	
24	and Enforcement is \$6,512.50 as of December 12, 2013.	
25	DETERMINATION OF ISSUES	
26	1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Aaron Francis Patacsil has	
27	subjected his Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 104252 to discipline.	
28	2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.	
	2	
ĺ	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER (OAH No. 2012100828)	

.

	3.	The Board is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician License based
l	upon the f	ollowing violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence
}	contained	in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case.:
1	a.	Violation of sections 4301 and 490, for suffering a conviction of a crime substantially
5	related to t	the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician;
5	b,	Violation of sections 4060, 4300, and 4301, for unprofessional conduct by possessing
7	a controlle	ed substance without a valid prescription; and
8	с.	Violation of section 4300 and 4301(j), for possessing controlled substances.
9		
5	. 111	
l	- 11	
2	111	
3		
4		
5		
5		
7		
8		
9		
)		
2		
3		
+ 5		
5		
3		
		3

1	<u>ORDER</u>
2	IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 104252, heretofore
3	issued to Respondent Aaron Francis Patacsil, is revoked.
4	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a
5	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within
6	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may
7	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.
8	This Decision shall become effective on March 10, 2014.
9	It is so ORDERED ON February 7, 2014.
10	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12	
13	la C. Gussi
14	By (. WEISSER
15	Board President
16	
17	Attachment:
18	Exhibit A: Accusation
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	A
	4 DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER (OAH No. 2012100828)

Exhibit A

	• •
1	Kamala D, Harris
2	Attorney General of California MARC D. GREENBAUM
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General ANTONIO LOPEZ, JR.
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 206387
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2536 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804
7	Attorneys for Complainant
8	BEFORE THE
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 3984
12	AARON FRANCIS PATACSIL
13	20318 Belshire Avenue Lakewood, CA 90715A C C U S A T I O N
14	Discussory Technician License No. 70011
15	Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 104252
16	Respondent.
17	
18	Complainant alleges:
19	PARTIES
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
22	2. On or about June 24, 2010, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy
23	Technician Registration No. TCH 104252 to Aaron Francis Patacsil (Respondent). The
24	Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges
25	brought herein and will expire on December 31, 2011, unless renewed.
26	JURISDICTION
27	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following
28	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
	1 Accusation
l	

: 〕

STATUTORY PROVISIONS 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), provides, in pertinent part, that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated. 5. Section 490 provides, in pertinent part: "(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued. "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued. "(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code." 6. Section 4300 provides, in pertinent part, that every license issued by the Board is subject to discipline, including suspension or revocation. 7. Section 4301 provides, in pertinent part:

24 "The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional
25 conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.
26 Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or

corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

4 "(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous
5 drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to
6 oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or
7 to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the
8 practice authorized by the license.

10 "(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United
11 States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

12

9

1

2

3

"(l) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and 13 14 duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled 15 substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or 16 dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the 17 record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. 18 The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order 19 to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances 20or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the 21 qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or 22 a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning 23 24 of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made 25 suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of 26 the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not 27guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or 28

indictment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

. . .

"(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency."

8. Section 4060 provides, in pertinent part:

"No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon
the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor
pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified
nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a
physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5,
or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of
subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052."

15

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, provides, in pertinent part:
"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license
pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a
crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a
licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a
licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner
consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

23

DRUG STATUTES

10. Health and Safety Code section 11350, subdivision (a), provides, in pertinent part:
"Except as otherwise provided in this division, every person who possess (1) any controlled
substance specified in subdivision (b) or (c), or paragraph (1) of subdivision (f) of Section 11054,
specified in paragraph (14), (15), or (20) of subdivision (d) of Section 11054, or specified in
subdivision (b) or (c) of Section 11055, or specified in subdivision (h) of Section 11056, or (2)

any controlled substance classified in Schedule III, IV, or V which is a narcotic drug, unless upon the written prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, or veterinarian licensed to practice in this state, shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison."

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1

2

3

11. Health and Safety Code section 11377, subdivision (a), provides in pertinent part: "Except as authorized by law and as otherwise provided in subdivision (b) or Section 11375, or in Article 7 (commencing with Section 4211) of Chapter 9 of Division 2 of the Business and Professions Code, every person who possesses any controlled substance which is (1) classified in Schedule III, IV, or V, and which is not a narcotic drug, (2) specified in subdivision (d) of Section 11054, except paragraphs (13), (14), (15), and (20) of subdivision (d), (3) specified in paragraph (11) of subdivision (c) of Section 11056, (4) specified in paragraph (2) or (3) of subdivision (f) of Section 11054, or (5) specified in subdivision (d), (e), or (f) of Section 11055, unless upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, or veterinarian, licensed to practice in this state, shall be punished by imprisonment in a county jail for a period of not more than one year or in the state prison."

15

COST RECOVERY

12. Section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the 16 administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of 17 18 the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and 19 enforcement of the case.

20

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES-DANGEROUS DRUGS

13. Alprazolam is a Schedule IV controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety 21 22 Code section 11057(d)(1), and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022. 23

24

Benzodiazepine is a Schedule IV controlled substance as designated by Health and 14. Safety Code section 11057 (d), and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and 25 Professions Code section 4022. 26

15. Darvocet and Darvon are combination drugs containing Propoxyphene and 27 acetaminophen, are Schedule IV controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code 28

5

section 11057(c)(2), and categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

1

2

9

10

16. Hydroxine is an antihistamine used treat anxiety, to relieve itching caused by allergic
conditions, and to cause drowsiness. It is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to Business
and Professions Code section 4022.

6 17. Methamphetamine, a (CNS) central nervous system stimulant, is a Schedule II
7 controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (d)(2),
8 and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of a Substantially-Related Crime)

18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4301, subdivision (l) and
490, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that
Respondent has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or
duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows:

On or about December 10, 2010, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of 15 a. one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a) [driving under 16 the influence of alcohol or drugs]; and one misdemeanor count of violating Business and 17 Professions code section 4060 [possession of a controlled substance without a prescription], in the 18 criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of California v. Aaron Francis Patacsil 19 (Super. Ct. Orange County, 2010, No. 10WM11545). The Court placed Respondent on probation 20 for a period of 3 years and fined him. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on 21or about July 15, 2010, Respondent was involved in a solo traffic collision, and a California 22 Highway Patrol Officer was called to the scene. While at the collision scene, the officer found 23 four different unlabeled pill containers, next to the damaged vehicle. The pills were identified as 24 follows: 1 (Darvocet), 2 (Hydroxine), 4 (Trazodone HCI), 6 (Methamphetamine), 6 (Alprazolam), 25 8 Benzodiazepine), and 1 unknown 1/2 white round pill. The officer interviewed Respondent 26 who was identified as the driver of the vehicle, at the hospital emergency room. The officer 27 observed Respondent with red watery eyes, and slurred speech. Respondent admitted to the 28

6

1		
	officer that the pills belonged to him. Respondent stated, "that he was taking a class to become a	
	Pharmacy Technician and got them as samples to try out." Respondent also admitted to	
	consuming two to three beers in the afternoon before the collision. Respondent was given a	
	(HGN) Horizontal Gaze Nystagmus Field Sobriety Test, and showed signs of impairment.	
	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
	(Possession of a Controlled Substance without a Valid Prescription)	
	19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4060, 4300, and 4301,	
	subdivision (1), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct for violating Health and Safety Code	
	section 11055, subdivision (d)(2), in that on or about July 15, 2010, Respondent was arrested for	
	possession of controlled substances. Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the	
	allegations set forth above in paragraph 18, subparagraph (a), inclusive, as though set forth fully.	
	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
	(Violating Drug Statutes)	
	20. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300 and 4301,	
	subdivision (j), in that Respondent did possess various controlled substances in violation of	
	Health and Safety Code sections 11350, subdivision (a), and 11377, subdivision (a). Complainan	
	refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraph 18,	
	subparagraph (a), inclusive, as though set forth fully.	
	///	
	111	
	111	
	,	
	7	
	Accusation	

· · · · ·

;

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	PRAYER
2	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
3	and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:
4	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 104252, issued
5	to Aaron Francis Patacsil;
6	2. Ordering Aaron Francis Patacsil to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the
7	investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section
8	125.3; and
9	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
10	
11	DATED: 3/22/12 Juginia Herd
12	VIRGINIA/HEROLD Executive_Officer
13	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs
14	State of California Complainant
15	LA2011500905
16 17	
17	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	8
	Accusation

;