1 2 3 4	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
5				
6	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 3848			
7				
8	JOHN ELOY ANDERSON, AKA JOAN ELLOY ANDERSON			
9 10	16236 Virginia Avenue Paramount, CA 90723[Gov. Code, §11520]Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH			
11	37186			
12				
13	Respondent.			
14	FINDINGS OF FACT			
15	1. On or about March 9, 2011, Complainant Virginia Herold, in her official capacity as			
16	the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed			
17	Accusation No. 3848 against John Eloy Anderson, aka Joan Elloy Anderson (Respondent) before			
18	the Board of Pharmacy. (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)			
19	2. On or about April 12, 2001, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy			
20	Technician Registration No. TCH 37186 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration			
21	was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on			
22	May 31, 2012, unless renewed.			
23	3. On or about March 21, 2011, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class			
24	Mail copies of the Accusation No. 3848, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request			
25	for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and			
26	11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code			
27	section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board, which was and is			
28	16236 Virginia Avenue, Paramount, CA 90723.			
ĺ	1			

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

1				
1	4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of			
2	Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section			
3	124.			
4	5. None of the aforementioned documents were returned by the U.S. Postal Service.			
5	6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:			
6	(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts			
7 8	of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.			
9	7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him			
10	of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No.			
11	3848.			
12	8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:			
13	(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the begins, the access may take action based upon the respondent's supress admissions.			
14				
15	respondent.			
16	9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds			
17	Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the			
18	relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as			
19	taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on			
20	file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 3848, finds that			
21	the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 3848, are separately and severally, found to be true			
22	and correct by clear and convincing evidence.			
23	DETERMINATION OF ISSUES			
24	1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent John Eloy Anderson, aka Joan			
25	Elloy Anderson has subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 37186 to			
26	discipline.			
27	2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.			
28	111			
ļ	2			

1	3.	The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician
2	Registratio	n based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported
3	by the evid	lence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case.:
4	a.	Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300 and 4301,
5		subdivisions (1), in conjunction with section 490, on the grounds of unprofessional
6		conduct, as defined in California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that
7		Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications,
8		functions or duties of a pharmacy technician.
9	b.	Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code Section 4301(f) in that
10		Respondent committed multiple acts involving moral turpitude and a complete
11		disregard for the laws of this State.
12		ORDER
13	IT IS	SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 37186, heretofore
14	issued to R	Lespondent John Eloy Anderson, aka Joan Elloy Anderson, is revoked.
15	Purs	uant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a
16	written mo	tion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within
17	seven (7) d	lays after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may
18	vacate the	Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.
19	This	Decision shall become effective on September 23, 2011.
20	It is	so ORDERED August 24, 2011.
21		G C. Jusi
22		
23		STANLEY C. WEISSER, BOARD PRESIDENT
24		FOR THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
25		
26	60625660.DOC DOJ Matter ID:	
27	Attachmer	
28	Exhibit A:	Accusation
:		3
	]]	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDE

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

# Exhibit A

Accusation

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS			
2	Attorney General of California GLORIA A. BARRIOS			
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General KIMBERLEE D. KING			
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 141813			
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013			
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2581 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804			
7	Attorneys for Complainant	۰ <b>.</b> ,		
8	BEFORE THE	· <u>:</u> : ·		
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS			
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 3848			
12	JOHN ELOY ANDERSON, AKA JOAN			
13	ELLOY ANDERSON16236 Virginia AvenueA C C U S A T I O N			
14	Paramount, CA 90723 Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH			
15	37186			
16	Respondent.			
17	Complainant alleges:			
18	PARTIES			
19	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity			
20	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.			
21	2. On or about April 12, 2001, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician			
22	Registration Number TCH 37186 to John Eloy Anderson, aka Joan Elloy Anderson (Respondent).			
23	The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the			
24	charges brought herein and will expire on May 31, 2012, unless renewed.			
25	JURISDICTION	.		
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of			
27	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the			
28	Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.			
	1			

4. Section 4300 provides, in pertinent part, that every license issued by the Board is subject to discipline, including suspension or revocation.

5.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

11

Section 4301 of the Code states:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

8 "(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or
9 corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and
10 whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and
duties of a licensee under this chapter. ..."

6. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the
administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of
the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and
enforcement of the case.

18

21

7. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the

within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

suspension/expiration/surrender/cancellation of a license shall not deprive the
 Board/Registrar/Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period

8. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.

26 ///

27

28 ///

111

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Substantially Related Convictions)

9. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300 and 4301,
 subdivisions (1), in conjunction with section 490, on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, as
 defined in California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent was
 convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy
 technician as follows:

a. On or about October 17, 2003, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count
of violating Penal Code section 273.6 (a) (Violate Domestic Relations Court Order) in the
criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v.John Eloy Anderson*, in the
Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. 3LC00547). Respondent was
placed on summary probation for 36 months on certain terms and conditions which include, but
are not limited to, 25 days in jail, obey protective order, and four psychotherapy sessions with
progress shown.

b. On or about February 20, 2004, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count 15 of violating Penal Code section 273.6(a) (Violate Domestic Relations Court Order), in the 16 17 criminal proceeding entitled People of the State of California v. John Eloy Anderson in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. CM08753. Respondent was 18 placed on summary probation for 36 months on certain terms and conditions which include, but 19 are not limited to, 45 days in county jail, obey protective order, attend psychotherapy sessions, 20 and pay fines, fees, and restitution. Probation was revoked for probation violation on January 24, 21 2005. On September 6, 2005, Respondent was remanded back to custody. On October 26, 2005, 22 the court terminated probation pursuant to a plea agreement in criminal Case No. TA080302 (to 23 be fully described in paragraph (d). 24

25

1

2

26 27

28

c. On or about February 20, 2004, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 273.6(a) (Violate Domestic Relations Court Order), in the criminal proceeding entitled People of the State of California v. John Eloy Anderson in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. 3CM08742. Respondent was

3

Accusation

placed on summary probation for 36 months on certain terms and conditions which include, but are not limited to, 45 days in county jail, obey protective order, attend psychotherapy sessions, and pay fines, fees, and restitution. Probation was revoked for probation violation on January 24, 2005. On September 6, 2005, Respondent was remanded back to custody. On October 26, 2005, the court terminated probation pursuant to a plea agreement in criminal Case No. TA080302 (to be fully described in paragraph (d).

On or about October 26, 2005, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of d. 7 violating Penal Code section 646.9(b) (Stalking: Temporary Restraining Order), in the criminal 8 proceeding entitled People of the State of California v. John Eloy Anderson, the Superior Court of 9 California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. TA080302). Respondent was placed on probation 10 for five years, under terms and conditions which included, but was not limited to, one year in 11 county jail, no drugs or alcohol, domestic violence counseling, drug testing, and no ownership or 12 possession of deadly weapons. Probation was revoked on May 19, 2008 and Respondent was 13 remanded to custody on June 9, 2008. On August 6, 2008, the court denied probation and ordered 14 Respondent to serve three years. Respondent was released from prison on July 27, 2010. 15

The circumstances of the crime are that Respondent was arrested on June 17, 2005 for
stalking and making criminal threats to Brenda G., as well as contempt of court for disobeying a
protective order.

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct/Acts Involving Moral Turpitude)

10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code Section 4301(f) in that
Respondent committed multiple acts involving moral turpitude and a complete disregard for the
laws of this State. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set
forth above in Paragraph 9 subparagraphs (a) through (d), inclusive, as though set forth fully.

#### PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

4

28

25

26

Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 37186, 1. 1 issued to John Eloy Anderson, aka Joan Elloy Anderson 2 Ordering John Eloy Anderson to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of 2. 3 the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4 5 125.3; Taking such other and further agtion as deemed necessary and proper 3. 6 7 DATED: VIRGINÍ/ HEROLD 8 Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy 9 Department of Consumer Affairs State of California 10 Complainant LA2010601159 11 60578480.doc 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 5 Accusation