1			
2			
3			
4			
5	DEDOI		
6	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
7	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
8			
9	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3583	
10		OAH No. L-2011110213	
11	RICHARD GILBERT AGUILAR 944 W. 25th Street	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	
12	San Bernardino, CA 92405 Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH	[Gov. Code, §11520]	
13	61872		
14			
15	Respondent.	·	
16	FINDINGS OF FACT		
17	1. On or about June 6, 2011, Complainant Virginia Herold, in her official capacity as the		
18	Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed Accusation		
19	No. 3583 against Richard Gilbert Aguilar ("Resp	oondent") before the Board of Pharmacy.	
-20			
21	Technician Registration No. TCH 61872 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration		
22	was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 3583		
23	and will expire on May 31, 2012, unless renewed.		
24	3. On or about June 15, 2011, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail		
25	copies of the Accusation No. 3583, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for		
26	Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at		
27	Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100,		
28			
		1	
	DEFAI	JLT DECISION AND ORDER (OAH No. L-2011110213)	

. .

. .

1	is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address on record with	
2	the Board was and is: 944 W. 25th Street, San Bernardino, CA 92405.	
3	4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of	
4	Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and Business & Professions Code section 124.	
5	5. On or about June 27, 2011, Respondent signed and returned a Notice of Defense,	
6	requesting a hearing in this matter. A Notice of Hearing was served by mail at Respondent's	
7	address of record and it informed him that an administrative hearing in this matter was scheduled	
8	for January 30, 2012. Respondent failed to appear at that hearing.	
9	6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:	
10	(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent	
11 12	files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.	
13	7. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:	
14	(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the	
15 16	hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.	
17	8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds	
18	Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the	
19	relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as	
-20-	taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on	
21	file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 3583, finds that	
22	the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 3583, are separately and severally, found to be true	
23	and correct by clear and convincing evidence.	
24	9. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and	
25	Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation	
26	and Enforcement is \$4,130.00 as of January 30, 2012.	
27		
28		
	2	

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES 1 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Richard Gilbert Aguilar has 2 subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 61872 to discipline. 3 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default. 4 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician 5 Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported 6 by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case.: 7 Substantially Related Convictions. Respondent is subject to disciplinary 8 a. action under sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (1), of the Code, in conjunction with California 9 Code of Regulation, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent was convicted of crimes 10 substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensed pharmacy technician, 11 as follows: 12 i. On or about August 17, 2005, after pleading guilty, Respondent was 13 convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code Section 23152(A) [driving under 14 the influence] in the criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of California v. Richard 15 Gilbert Aguilar (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2005, No. TSB117383). Respondent was 16 placed on probation for 36 months, was ordered to attend a First Offender alcohol program and to 17 pay a fine. The circumstances that give rise to the conviction are as follows: on or about April 2, 18 2005, Respondent was stopped by a County of San Bernardino Sheriff's Department deputy for 19 speeding. When the deputy made contact with Respondent, he observed that the Respondent had -2021 an odor of an alcoholic beverage on his breath and/or person, his eyes were bloodshot and watery and his speech was slurred and confused. Respondent admitted to the deputy that he had 22 consumed four (4) 12 ounce beers. Respondent submitted to a breathalyzer test. His results 23 measured 0.18 Blood Alcohol Content (BAC). 24 On or about January 14, 2009, after pleading guilty, Respondent was ii. 25 convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code Section 23152(B) [driving under 26the influence with a blood alcohol content of over 0.08 percent] in the criminal proceeding 27 entitled The People of the State of California v. Richard Aguilar (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 28 3

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER (OAH No. L-2011110213)

2009, No. TSB701809). Respondent was ordered to serve 120 days in a San Bernardino County 1 Jail facility, was placed on probation for 36 months, and was ordered to attend a Multiple 2 Offender alcohol program and to pay a fine. The circumstances that give rise to the conviction are 3 as follows: on or about April 13, 2007, an officer with the San Bernardino Police Department 4 5 stopped Respondent while he was driving his vehicle. When the officer made contact with Respondent, he observed that the Respondent's eyes were bloodshot and watery, that his speech 6 was slow and slurred and that his movements were very slow. Respondent admitted to the officer 7 that he had consumed six (6) or seven (7) beers. Respondent submitted to a breathalyzer test. His 8 results measured 0.169 and 0.165 BAC. 9

iii. On or about January 14, 2009, after pleading guilty, Respondent was 10 convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code Section 23152(B) [driving under 11 the influence with a blood alcohol content of over 0.08 percent] in the criminal proceeding 12 entitled The People of the State of California v. Richard Aguilar (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 13 2009, No. TSB702315). Respondent was sentenced to serve 120 days in a San Bernardino 14 County Jail facility, was placed on probation for 36 months, and was ordered to attend a Multiple 15 Offender alcohol program and to pay a fine. The sentence ran concurrently with his sentence for 16 Case No. TSB701809, referenced above. The circumstances that give rise to the conviction are as 17 follows: on or about July 27, 2007, an officer with the Colton Police Department stopped 18 Respondent while he was driving his vehicle at a high rate of speed. When the officer made 19 contact with Respondent, he observed that Respondent's eyes appeared to be bloodshot and 20watery and that his speech was slurred. The officer also noticed that Respondent had a "12-pack" 21 of Corona beer sitting on the front passenger seat of the vehicle. The officer observed that four of 22 23 the beer bottles were empty and that they were also cold to the touch. The officer administered three Field Sobriety Tests (F.S.T.s) to Respondent. Respondent was unable to follow the 24 25 directions or instructions for the F.S.T.s. Respondent submitted to a Preliminary Alcohol Screening (PAS) test. The breath samples collected by the officer registered 0.106% BAC. 26 Respondent admitted to the officer that he had an alcohol problem and that he had consumed 27

approximately four 12-ounce Corona beers. Respondent also admitted to driving at a speed of 55 mph.

Dangerous Use of Alcohol. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under b. section 4301, subdivision (h), of the Code, in that he used alcohol beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to himself. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth in paragraph 3, subparagraphs (a)(i-iii), inclusive, above, as though set forth fully.

1	
2	
3	ORDER
4	IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 61872, heretofore
5	issued to Respondent Richard Gilbert Aguilar, is revoked.
. 6	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a
7	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within
8	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may
9	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.
10	This decision shall become effective on June 11, 2012.
11	It is so ORDERED on May 10, 2012.
12	BOARD OF PHARMACY
13	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
14	& C. Wassi
15	By <u>STANLEY C. WEISSER</u>
16	Board President
17	default decision_LIC.rtf DOJ Matter ID:LA2010500699
18	
19	
. 21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	6
	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER (OAH No. L-2011110213)

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California		
2	MARC D. GREENBAUM Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
3	KIMBERLEY J. BAKER-GUILLEMET Deputy Attorney General		
4	State Bar No. 242920		
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013		
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2533 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3583	
12	RICHARD GILBERT AGUILAR 944 W. 25th Street		
13	San Bernardino, CA 92405 Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH	ACCUSATION	
14	61872		
15	Respondent.		
16	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
17	Complainant alleges:		
18	PAR	TIES	
19	1. Virginia K. Herold (Complainant) b	rings this Accusation solely in her official	
20	capacity as the Executive Officer of the California State Board of Pharmacy.		
21	2. On or about April 6, 2005, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy		
22	Technician Registration Number TCH 61872 to Richard Gilbert Aguilar (Respondent). The		
23	Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges		
24	brought herein and expired on May 31, 2012. The license has not been renewed.		
25	JURISDICTION		
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following		
27	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.		
28			
		1	
		Accusation	

(----:

;---;

STATUTORY PROVISIONS 1 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, 2 surrender or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a 3 disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued 4 5 or reinstated. 5. Section 490 states: 6 "(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a 7 board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a 8 crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business 9 or profession for which the license was issued. 10 "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to 11 discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under 12 subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties 13 of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued. 14 "(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a 15 conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take 16 17 following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is 18 made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the 19 provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code." -20 6. Section 4301 of the Code states: 21 "The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional 22 conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. 23 Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following: 24 2.5

"(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous
drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to
oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or

to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

1

2

3

21

22

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and 4 duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 5 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled 6 substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or 7 dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the 8 9 record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order 10 to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances 11 or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the 12 qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or 13 a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning 14 of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the 15 judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made 16 suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of 17 the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not 18 guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or 19 indictment." 20

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

7. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license
pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a
crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a
licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a
licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner
consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

COST RECOVERY

ť.

8. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

-20

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Substantially Related Convictions)

9. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 4301, subdivision
(I), of the Code, in conjunction with California Code of Regulation, title 16, section 1770, in that
Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties
of a licensed pharmacy technician, as follows:

a. On or about August 17, 2005, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one
misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code Section 23152(A) [driving under the influence] in
the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Richard Gilbert Aguilar*(Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2005, No. TSB117383). Respondent was placed on probation
for 36 months, was ordered to attend a First Offender alcohol program and to pay a fine.

b. The circumstances that give rise to the conviction are as follows: on or abour April 2, 2005, Respondent was stopped by a County of San Bernardino Sheriff's Department deputy for speeding. When the deputy made contact with Respondent, he observed that the Respondent had an odor of an alcoholic beverage on his breath and/or person, his eyes were bloodshot and watery and his speech was slurred and confused. Respondent admitted to the deputy that he had consumed four (4) 12 ounce beers. Respondent submitted to a breathalyzer test. His results measured 0.18 Blood Alcohol Content (BAC).

c. On or about January 14, 2009, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one
misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code Section 23152(B) [driving under the influence with
a blood alcohol content of over 0.08 percent] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Richard Aguilar* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2009, No. TSB701809).
Respondent was ordered to serve 120 days in a San Bernardino County Jail facility, was placed

on probation for 36 months, and was ordered to attend a Multiple Offender alcohol program and to pay a fine.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

28

d. The circumstances that give rise to the conviction are as follows: on or about April 13, 2007, an officer with the San Bernardino Police Department stopped Respondent while he was driving his vehicle. When the officer made contact with Respondent, he observed that the Respondent's eyes were bloodshot and watery, that his speech was slow and slurred and that his movements were very slow. Respondent admitted to the officer that he had consumed six (6) or seven (7) beers. Respondent submitted to a breathalyzer test. His results measured 0.169 and 0.165 BAC.

On or about January 14, 2009, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one e. 10 misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code Section 23152(B) [driving under the influence with 11 a blood alcohol content of over 0.08 percent] in the criminal proceeding entitled The People of the 12 State of California v. Richard Aguilar (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2009, No. TSB702315). 13 Respondent was sentenced to serve 120 days in a San Bernardino County Jail facility, was placed 14 on probation for 36 months, and was ordered to attend a Multiple Offender alcohol program and 15 to pay a fine. The sentence ran concurrently with his sentence for Case No. TSB701809, 16 referenced above. 17

The circumstances that give rise to the conviction are as follows: on or about July 27, 18 f. 2007, an officer with the Colton Police Department stopped Respondent while he was driving his 19 vehicle at a high rate of speed. When the officer made contact with Respondent, he observed that 20Respondent's eyes appeared to be bloodshot and watery and that his speech was slurred. The 21 officer also noticed that Respondent had a "12-pack" of Corona beer sitting on the front passenger 22 seat of the vehicle. The officer observed that four of the beer bottles were empty and that they 23were also cold to the touch. The officer administered three Field Sobriety Tests (F.S.T.s) to 24 Respondent. Respondent was unable to follow the directions or instructions for the F.S.T.s. 25 Respondent submitted to a Preliminary Alcohol Screening (PAS) test. The breath samples 26 collected by the officer registered 0.106% BAC. Respondent admitted to the officer that he had 27

1	an alcohol problem and that he had consumed approximately four 12-ounce Corona beers.		
2	Respondent also admitted to driving at a speed of 55 mph.		
3	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
·· 4··	(Dangerous Use of Alcohol)		
5	10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (h), of		
6	the Code, in that he used alcohol beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or		
7	injurious to himself. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set		
8	forth in paragraph 9, subparagraphs (a) through (f), as though set forth fully.		
9	PRAYER		
10	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,		
11	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:		
12	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 61872,		
13	issued to Richard Gilbert Aguilar		
14	2. Ordering Richard Gilbert Aguilar to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs		
15	of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code		
16	section 125.3;		
17	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.		
18			
19			
20	DATED: 6/6/11 Juginia Skild		
21	VIRGINIA K. HEROLD Exècutive Officer		
22	California State Board of Pharmacy State of California		
23	Complainant		
24			
25	LA2010500699 50896294.doc		
26			
27			
28			
	6		
	Accusation		

 $\frac{1}{1}$

; ;