BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 3578

JENA CASTILLO GABRIEL

P.O. Box 4028 Oceanside, CA 92052

Original Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 54611

Respondent.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This decision shall become effective on November 18, 2010.

It is so ORDERED October 19, 2010.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

(. Wasi

By

STANLEY C. WEISSER Board President

1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California JAMES M. LEDAKIS					
2						
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General ERIN M. SUNSERI					
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 207031					
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101					
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266					
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2071 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061					
8	Attorneys for Complainant					
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY					
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
11	**************************************					
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3578				
13	JENA CASTILLO GABRIEL P. O. Box 4028					
14	Oceanside, CA 92052 Pharmacy Technician License No. 54611	STIPULATED SURRENDER OF LICENSE AND ORDER				
15	Respondent.					
16						
17.	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties in this					
18	proceeding that the following matters are true:					
19	PARTIES					
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy.					
21	She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Edmund					
22	G. Brown Jr., Attorney General of the State of California, and by Erin M. Sunseri, Deputy					
.23	Attorney General.					
24	2. Jena Castillo Gabriel (Respondent) is representing herself in this proceeding and has					
25	chosen not to exercise her right to be represented by counsel.					
26	///					
27	///					
28	///					
	\					

3. On or about April 20, 2004, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician License No. 54611 to Respondent. The license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 3578 and will expire on September 30, 2011, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

4. Accusation No. 3578 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on May 12, 2010.

Respondent timely filed her Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 3578 is attached as Exhibit "A" and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in
 Accusation No. 3578. Respondent also has carefully read, and understands the effects of this
 Stipulated Surrender of License and Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel, at her own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against her; the right to present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. 3578, agrees that cause exists for discipline and hereby surrenders her Pharmacy Technician License No. 54611 for the Board's formal acceptance.

9. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation she enables the Board to issue an order accepting the surrender of her Pharmacy Technician License without further process.

CONTINGENCY

- 10. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and surrender, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that she may not withdraw her agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Surrender and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 11. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 12. This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 13. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician License No. 54611, issued to Respondent Jena Castillo Gabriel, is surrendered and accepted by the Board of Pharmacy.

///

///

- 14. The surrender of Respondent's Pharmacy Technician License and the acceptance of the surrendered license by the Board shall constitute the imposition of discipline against Respondent. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part of Respondent's license history with the Board.
- 15. Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a pharmacy technician in California as of the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.
- 16. Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Board both her wall license certificate and, if one was issued, pocket license on or before the effective date of the Decision and Order.
- 17. If she ever applies for licensure or petitions for reinstatement in the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a new application for licensure. Respondent must comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for licensure in effect at the time the application or petition is filed, and all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 3578 shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by Respondent when the Board determines whether to grant or deny the application or petition.
- 18. If Respondent should ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other health care licensing agency in the State of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation, No. 3578 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict licensure.
- 19. Respondent shall not apply for any license, permit, or registration from the Board for three (3) years from the effective date of this decision. Respondent stipulates that should she apply for any license from the Board on or after the effective date of this decision, all allegations set forth in Accusation No. 3578 shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by Respondent when the Board determines whether to grant or deny the application. Respondent shall satisfy all requirements applicable to that license as of the date the application is submitted to the board, including, but not limited to certification by a nationally recognized body prior to the issuance of a new license. Respondent is required to report this surrender as disciplinary action.

- 11			
1	20. Respondent shall pay the Board its costs of investigation and enforcement in the		
2	amount of \$1,662.50 prior to issuance of a new or reinstated license.		
3			
4	<u>ACCEPTANCE</u>		
5	I have carefully read the Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I understand the		
6	stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacy Technician License. I enter into this		
7	Stipulated Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to		
8	be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.		
9			
10	DATED: 6/15/2010 MMJ		
11	JENA CASTILLO GABRIEL Respondent		
12			
13	ENDORSEMENT		
14	The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted		
15	for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs.		
16	abla		
17	Dated: 7/3/10 Respectfully submitted,		
1.8	EDMIND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California		
19	JAMES M. LEDAKIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
.20			
21	Erin M. Sunseri		
22	Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant		
23			
24			
25	SD2010800049 80463410.doc		
26	•		
27			
28			

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 3578

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California JAMES M. LEDAKIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General ERIN M. SUNSERI Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 207031 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2071 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant				
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY				
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
11					
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3578			
13	JENA CASTILLO GABRIEL; aka JEN HANNA CASTILLO				
14	P. O. Box 4028	ACCUSATION			
15	Oceanside, CA 92052				
16	Pharmacy Technician License No. 54611				
	Respondent.	·			
17					
18					
19	Complainant alleges:				
20	PARTIES				
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity				
22	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.				
23	2. On or about April 20, 2004, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician				
24	License No. 54611 to Jena Castillo Gabriel (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician License wa				
25	in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on				
26	September 30, 2011, unless renewed.				
27	///				
28	///				

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
 - 5. Section 4300 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.
- (b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods:
 - (1) Suspending judgment.
 - (2) Placing him or her upon probation.
 - (3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.
 - (4) Revoking his or her license.
- (5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper.
 - (c) The board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct...

. . . .

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 4301 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

///

28 | ///

26

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

(g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.

. . .

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter...the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

(p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license.

• • • •

- 7. Section 475 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- (a) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall govern the denial of licenses on the grounds of:
 - (1) Knowingly making a false statement of material fact, or knowingly omitting to state a material fact, in an application for a license.

- (2) Conviction of a crime.
- (3) Commission of any act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or another, or substantially injure another.
- (4) Commission of any act which, if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- (b) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall govern the suspension and revocation of licenses on grounds specified in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subdivision (a).
- (c) A license shall not be denied, suspended, or revoked on the grounds of a lack of good moral character or any similar ground relating to an applicant's character, reputation, personality, or habits.
 - 8. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

- 9. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
 - 10. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the

crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."

11. Section 4313 of the Code states:

In determining whether to grant an application for licensure or whether to discipline or reinstate a license, the board shall give consideration to evidence of rehabilitation. However, public protection shall take priority over rehabilitation and, where evidence of rehabilitation and public protection are in conflict, public protection shall take precedence.

REGULATIONS

12. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769(b) states:

When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and her present eligibility for a license will consider the following:

- (1) The nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).
- (2) Total criminal record.
- (3) Time that has elapsed since the commission of the act(s) or offense(s).
- (4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution, or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.
 - (5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.
 - 13. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a

licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

COST RECOVERY

14. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board/Registrar/Director may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FACTS

- 15. On or about March 5, 2009, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Jena Castillo Gabriel*, in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego East County Division, Case No. CE287776, Respondent was convicted by her plea of guilty to violation of Penal Code section 487(a) (grand theft of personal property), reduced to a misdemeanor pursuant to the plea agreement and payment of full restitution to the victim in the amount of \$2,100.00.
- 16. Respondent was sentenced to three years of summary probation, one day in the county jail with a credit of one day for time served, payment of fines and fees in the amount of \$1,068.00, and probation costs in the amount of \$1,127.00. Respondent was ordered to stay away from all Target stores.
- 17. The circumstances of the crime are that on or about January 28, 2009, while Respondent was working as a Pharmacy Technician at Target in El Cajon, an officer from the El Cajon Police Department was dispatched to the store to investigate a report of grand theft from the Target's Loss Prevention. The officer spoke with the Loss Prevention Agent (LPA), who explained that on December 22, 2008, he was alerted by a central sales auditor of cash shortages appearing on the pharmacy registers in the store. The LPA opened an investigation and began monitoring activity there, focusing on an employee (Respondent) who was present when these losses were occurring. The LPA began observing videotape of her actions, and after placing a covert camera at the registers, was able to watch on videotape as Respondent took money over numerous days and stole this money from the store. On January 28, 2009, the LPA interviewed

Respondent, and after she admitted to taking the money, placed her under citizen's arrest for grand theft and contacted the police.

- Respondent take various amounts of money from the register and place the cash in her smock pocket and not return the money. The LPA told the police officer that the registers had approximately 90 instances where they were short amounts of money which were nearly always \$20.00. These thefts amounted to approximately \$2,100.00 in cash. The LPA told the police officer that during his interview with Respondent, she confessed to stealing the approximately \$2,100.00 that the LPA calculated.
- 19. The arresting police officer's report states that upon questioning, Respondent was advised of her Miranda rights, waived them, and Respondent advised the officer that she and her husband made enough money to meet their bills and obligations, and that there was no reason for taking the money. Respondent told the officer that the money was all probably currently in her bank account. Respondent admitted to the officer that she knew what she was doing was wrong, and that she also knew that because she had no real reason for needing the money, she should not be doing it. Respondent told the officer that she kept trying to stop taking the money.
- 20. Respondent was arrested and charged with a violation of Penal Code sections 508 (fraudulent appropriation by clerk, agent or employee) and 487(a) (grand theft of personal property).

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(March 5, 2009 Criminal Conviction for Grand Theft on January 28, 2009)

21. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 490, and 4301(I), in that she was convicted of a crime substantially related to the duties, functions, and qualifications of a pharmacy technician, as described in paragraphs 15-20, above.

///

///

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct-Dishonest Act)

22. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(f) in that she committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, deceit or corruption when she stole \$2,100.00 from her employer, as described in paragraphs 15-20, above.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct-Conduct Warranting Denial of Licensure)

23. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 4301(f) in that she committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, deceit or corruption. Specifically, on or about September 16, 2009, Respondent renewed her pharmacy technician license and failed to disclose the conviction detailed above, in paragraphs 15-20, on the renewal application.

Respondent marked "No" to the question asking if she had been convicted of any crime since the

Respondent marked "No" to the question asking if she had been convicted of any crime since the last renewal in 2007.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct- Knowingly Signing any Document that Falsely Represents the existence or nonexistence of a State of Facts)

24. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 4301(g) in that she knowingly made or signed any certificate or other document that falsely represented the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts. Specifically, on or about September 16, 2009, Respondent renewed her pharmacy technician license and failed to disclose the conviction detailed above, in paragraphs 15-20, on the renewal application. Respondent marked "No" to the question asking if she had been convicted of any crime since the last renewal in 2007, knowing that she had pled guilty and been convicted of a crime since the last renewal in 2007.

•	•	
/	′/	//

///

2

4

3

5

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

2627

28

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct-Conduct Warranting Denial of Licensure)

25. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(p), in that she committed acts which, if she had committed before she were issued her Pharmacy Technician License, would have warranted the denial of her application for licensure in that she stole \$2,100.00 from her employer, as described in paragraphs 15-20, above.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician License Number 54611, issued to Respondent Jena Castillo Gabriel;
- 2. Ordering Respondent Jena Castillo Gabriel to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 5/6/10

VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SD2010800049 80446289.doc