1.		· · ·
2		
3		
4		
5	BEFOI	RETHE
6	BOARD OF	PHARMACY CONSUMER AFFAIRS
7		CALIFORNIA
8	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3566
9	TAREN DANETTE MADREY	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
10	4352 Hamilton Street, #8 San Diego, CA 92104	
11	Pharmacy Technician License	[Gov. Code, §11520]
12	No. TCH 88082	
13	Respondent.	
14		
15		<u>S OF FACT</u>
16		ant Virginia Herold, in her official capacity as the
17	Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, De	
18	No. 3566 against Taren Danette Madrey (Respor	
19	2. On or about December 17, 2008, the	Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy
20	Technician License No. TCH 88082 to Responde	ent. The Pharmacy Technician License was in
21	full force and effect at all times relevant to the ch	narges brought herein and will expire on July 31,
22	2010, unless renewed.	
23	3. On or about May 11, 2010, V. Cruz,	an employee of the Department of Justice,
24	served by Certified and First Class Mail a copy c	f the Accusation No. 3566, Statement to
25	Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Disc	covery, and Government Code sections 11507.5,
26	11507.6, and 11507.7 to Respondent's address of	Frecord with the Board, which was and is: 4352
27	Hamilton Street, #8, San Diego, CA 92104. A co	opy of the Accusation is attached as Exhibit A,
28	and is incorporated herein by reference.	
		1
		DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER (Case No. 3566)

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER (Case No. 3566)

1	4.	Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of
2	Governme	nt Code section 11505, subdivision (c).
3	5.	On or about May 17, 2010, the aforementioned documents were returned by the U.S.
4	Postal Serv	vice marked "Attempted, not known."
5	6.	Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
6	~	(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent
7	of th	a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts e accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall
8		titute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion nevertheless grant a hearing.
9	7.	Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of
- 10	the Accusa	ation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 3566.
11	8.	California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
12	hoor	(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the
13	or u	ing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions pon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to
14	resp	ondent.
15	9.	Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds
16	Responder	nt is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the
17	evidence o	on file herein, finds that the allegations in Accusation No. 3566 are true.
18	10.	The total cost for investigation and enforcement in connection with the Accusation
19	are \$17,70	9.50 as of May 21, 2010.
20		DETERMINATION OF ISSUES
21	1.	Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Taren Danette Madrey has
22	subjected	her Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 88082 to discipline.
23	2.	A copy of the Accusation is attached.
24	3.	The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
25	4.	The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician
26	License ba	ased upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation:
27	a.	Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to
28		Business and Professions Code section 4301, subdivision (f), in that Respondent
		2
		DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER (Case No. 3566)

1		committed an act involving dishonesty, fraud and deceit when on November 19,
2		2008, Respondent changed the quantity of tablets on a prescription for Percocet
3		5/325mg from 50 to 150 and then presented the altered prescription to CVS
4		Pharmacy for filling.
5	b.	Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to
6		Business and Professions Code section 4301, subdivision (g), in that Respondent
7		made a document that falsely represented the existence of a state of facts when on
8		November 19, 2008, Respondent changed the quantity of tablets on a prescription for
9	•	Percocet 5/325mg from 50 to 150.
10	с.	Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to
11	· ·	Business and Professions Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in that Respondent
12		attempted to violate a provision of applicable state laws and regulations governing
13		pharmacy in conjunction with Health and Safety Code section 11173 when she
14		attempted to obtain controlled substances by fraud, deceit, misrepresentation or
15		subterfuge. The circumstances are that on November 19, 2008, Respondent changed
16		the quantity of tablets on a prescription for Percocet 5/325mg from 50 to 150 tablets
17		and then presented the altered prescription to CVS Pharmacy for filling.
18	d.	Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Business and Professions
19		Code section 4301(o) for violation of Code section 4324, subdivision (a), in that
20		Respondent forged a prescription. The circumstances are that on November 19, 2008,
21		Respondent changed the quantity of tablets on a prescription for Percocet 5/325mg
22		from 50 to 150 tablets.
23	e.	Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to
24		Business and Professions Code section 4301, subdivision (p), for engaging in conduct
25		that would have warranted denial of a license under Code section 480(a)(2) for
26		dishonesty when on November 19, 2008, Respondent changed the quantity of tablets
27		on a prescription for Percocet 5/325mg from 50 to 150 and then presented the altered
28		prescription to CVS Pharmacy for filling.
		3
		DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER (Case No. 3566)

1	f. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional condu-	ct pursuant to
2	Business and Professions Code section 4301, subdivision (j), in that F	Respondent
3	violated a state statute regulating controlled substances when she viol	ated Health and
4	Safety Code section 11173, subdivision (a) for changing the quantity	of tablets on a
5	prescription for Percocet 5/325mg from 50 to 150 and then presenting	g the altered
6	prescription to CVS Pharmacy for filling.	
7	ORDER	
8	IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 88082, 1	neretofore issued
9	to Respondent Taren Danette Madrey, is revoked.	
10	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent	may serve a
11	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds re	lied on within
12	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its dis	scretion may
13	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in	n the statute.
14	This Decision shall become effective on August 25, 2010.	
15	5 It is so ORDERED July 26, 2010.	
16	5	
17	bilitier of the rubid	ENT
18	8 FOR THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIR	S
19	9	
20		
21	1 80463529.DOC DOJ docket number:SD2009805238	
22		
23		
24	Exhibit A: Accusation No. 3566	
25		
26		
27		
28		
	4 DEFAULT DECISION AND ORE	DER (Case No. 3566)

_

Exhibit A Accusation No. 3566

1		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General RITA M. LANE Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 171352 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2614 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant	
9		RE THE PHARMACY
10		CONSUMER AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA
11		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3566
13	TAREN DANETTE MADREY 4352 Hamilton Street, #8	
14	San Diego, CA 92104	ACCUSATION
15	Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 88082	
16	Respondent.	
17		
18		
19	Complainant alleges:	
20	PAR	TIES
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring	s this Accusation solely in her official capacity
22	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmad	cy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
23	2. On or about December 17, 2008, the	Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician
24	License No. TCH 88082 to Taren Danette Madre	ey (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician
25	License was in full force and effect at all times re	
26	expire on July 31, 2010, unless renewed.	
27	///	
28	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		1.
1		Accusation

1	JURISDICTION
2	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of
3	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the
4	Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
5	4. Section 4300 of the Code provides that every license issued may be suspended or
6	revoked.
7	5. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration,
8	surrender or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a
9	disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued
10	or reinstated.
11	STATUTORY PROVISIONS
12	6. Section 4301 of the Code states:
13	The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of
14	unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but
15	is not limited to, any of the following:
16	
17	(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a
18	licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
19	(g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that
20	falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.
21	
22	(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.
23	····
24	(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or
25	abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this
26	chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or
27	federal regulatory agency.
28	(p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license.
	2
	Accusation

1	
2	7. Section 4022 of the Code states:
3	Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for self-use in humans or animals, and includes the following:
4 5	(a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits
6	dispensing without prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import.
7 8	(b) Any device that bears the statement: "Caution: federal law restricts this device to sale by or on the order of a," "Rx only," or words of similar import, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to use or order use of the device.
9	
10	(c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006.
11.	8. Section 4324 of the Code states:
12	(a) Every person who signs the name of another, or of a fictitious person, or
13	falsely makes, alters, forges, utters, publishes, passes, or attempts to pass, as genuine, any prescription for any drugs is guilty of forgery and upon conviction
14	thereof shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison, or by imprisonment in the county jail for not more than one year.
15	
16	
17	9. Section 480 of the Code states:
18 19	(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
20	
21	(2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to
22	susbstantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantally injure another;
23	
24	10. Health and Safety Code section 11173, subdivision (a), states, in pertinent part, that
25	"[n]o person shall obtain or attempt to obtain controlled substances, or procure or attempt to
26	procure the administration of or prescription for controlled substances, (1) by fraud, deceit,
27	misrepresentation, or subterfuge "
28	
	3

[[

1	COST RECOVERY
2	11. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the
3	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of
4	the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and
5	enforcement of the case.
6	DRUG
7	12. Percocet is a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code
8	section 11055(b)(1)(N) and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section
9	4022. Percocet is a trade name for the narcotic substance APAP/Oxycodone.
10	FACTS
11	13. On November 19, 2008, Respondent presented a prescription for Percocet 5/325mg to
12	Vons Pharmacy in San Diego. The prescription appeared to have an altered quantity reflecting
13	150 tablets. Respondent admitted to pharmacy staff that she had altered the quantity on the
14	prescription and asked the pharmacy staff to contact the prescribing doctor to confirm the correct
15	quantity. The pharmacy staff refused and requested that Respondent obtain a new prescription.
16	Respondent took the altered prescription with her and left Vons Pharmacy.
17	14. On November 19, 2008, Respondent presented the same prescription for 150 tablets
18	of Percocet 5/325mg to CVS Pharmacy in San Diego. ¹ The pharmacy staff questioned the
19	quantity on the prescription and when Respondent found out that CVS Pharmacy was going to
20	call the prescriber, Respondent took the prescription back and left the pharmacy. CVS Pharmacy
21	staff contacted the prescribing doctor's office to verify Respondent's prescription. The
22	prescribing doctor's staff informed CVS Pharmacy that the prescription quantity had been altered
23	from 50 tablets to 150 tablets.
24	15. Later that day, Respondent returned to the Vons Pharmacy in San Diego with a new
25	prescription from the same prescribing doctor written for Percocet 5/325mg, this time with the
26	quantity clearly written for 50 tablets.
27	¹ Respondent performed part of her pharmacy technician externship at this CVS
28	Pharmacy.
	4
	Accusation

1	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Unprofessional Conduct – Acts involving Moral Turpitude)
3	16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under Code
4	section 4301, subdivision (f), in that Respondent committed an act involving dishonesty, fraud
5	and deceit when on November 19, 2008, Respondent changed the quantity of tablets on a
6	prescription for Percocet 5/325mg from 50 to 150 and then presented the altered prescription to
7	CVS Pharmacy for filling. The circumstances are more specifically set forth in paragraphs 13
8	through 15, above and incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth.
9	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
10	(Unprofessional Conduct – Making a Document That Falsely Represents Facts)
11	17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under Code
12	section 4301, subdivision (g), in that Respondent made a document that falsely represented the
13	existence of a state of facts when on November 19, 2008, Respondent changed the quantity of
14	tablets on a prescription for Percocet 5/325mg from 50 to 150. The circumstances are more
15	specifically set forth in paragraphs 13 through 15, above and incorporated herein by reference as
16	though fully set forth.
17	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
18	(Unprofessional Conduct – Attempting to Violate Laws Governing Pharmacy)
19	18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under Code
20	section 4301, subdivision (o), in that Respondent attempted to violate a provision of applicable
21	state laws and regulations governing pharmacy in conjunction with Health and Safety Code
22	section 11173 when she attempted to obtain controlled substances by fraud, deceit,
23	misrepresentation or subterfuge. The circumstances are that on November 19, 2008, Respondent
24	changed the quantity of tablets on a prescription for Percocet 5/325mg from 50 to 150 tablets and
25	then presented the altered prescription to CVS Pharmacy for filling. The circumstances are more
26	specifically set forth in paragraphs 13 through 15, above and incorporated herein by reference as
27	though fully set forth.
28	
	. 5
	Accusation

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

1

(Forgery of a Prescription)

2	(Forgery of a Prescription)
3	19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(o) for violation
4	of Code section 4324, subdivision (a), in that Respondent forged a prescription. The
5	circumstances are that on November 19, 2008, Respondent changed the quantity of tablets on a
6	prescription for Percocet 5/325mg from 50 to 150 tablets as more specifically set forth in
7	paragraphs 13 through 15, above and incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth.
8	FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
9	(Unprofessional Conduct – Conduct That Would Warrant Denial of a License)
10	20. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under Code
11	section 4301, subdivision (p), for engaging in conduct that would have warranted denial of a
12	license under Code section 480(a)(2) for dishonesty when on November 19, 2008, Respondent
13	changed the quantity of tablets on a prescription for Percocet 5/325mg from 50 to 150 and then
14	presented the altered prescription to CVS Pharmacy for filling. The circumstances are more
15	specifically set forth in paragraphs 13 through 15, above and incorporated herein by reference as
16	though fully set forth.
17	SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
18	(Unprofessional Conduct – Violation of State Statutes)
19	21. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under Code
20	section 4301, subdivision (j), in that Respondent violated a state statute regulating controlled
21	substances when she violated Health and Safety Code section 11173, subdivision (a) for changing
22	the quantity of tablets on a prescription for Percocet 5/325mg from 50 to 150 and then presenting
23	the altered prescription to CVS Pharmacy for filling. The circumstances are more specifically set
24	forth in paragraphs 13, 14, 15, 18 and 19, above and incorporated herein by reference as though
25	fully set forth.
26	PRAYER
27	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
28	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:
	6
	Accusation

Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 88082, issued to 1. 1 Taren Danette Madrey; 2 Ordering Taren Danette Madrey to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of 2. 3 the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4

125.3; and Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 3.

VIRGIN

Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy

State of California

Complainant

Department of Consumer Affairs

7 5/6/10 8 DATED: 9

11

10

5

6

12 13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

SD2009805238 80456094.docx

15

14

7