- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
- 5. On or about October 7, 2010, the aforementioned documents were received at the address of record. A copy of the Domestic Return Receipt is attached as exhibit B, and is incorporated herein by reference.
 - 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 3559.
 - 8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 3559, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 3559, are separately and severally true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.
- 10. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$10,672.50 as of October 4, 2010.

///

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Armando Daniel Padua, II has subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 76317 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this case:
- a. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 490, 493 and 4301, subdivisions (f) of the Code on the grounds of unprofessional conduct as defined in Title 16, California Code of Regulations, section 1770, in that he was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, duties and functions of a Pharmacy Technician.
- b. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under Code section 4301 (f) in that on or about December 1, 2008 to August 21, 2009, while working as a pharmacy technician at CVS Pharmacy, Respondent obtained by fraud, deceit, and subterfuge, 4,500 tablets of hydrocodone (500 count bottle), 4,300 tablets of hydrocodone (100 count bottle) a controlled substance under Health and Safety Code section 11056(e)(4) and 73 bottles of promethazine codeine syrup, a controlled substance under Health and Safety Code section 11058(c)(1), in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11173 (a).
- c. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under Code section 4301 (j) in that on or about December 1, 2008 to August 21, 2009, while working as a pharmacy technician at CVS Pharmacy, Respondent possessed and took 4,500 tablets of hydrocodone (500 count bottle), 4,300 tablets of hydrocodone (100 count bottle) a controlled substance under Health and Safety Code section 11056(e)(4) and 73 bottles of promethazine codeine syrup, a controlled substance under Health and Safety Code section 11058(c)(1), in violation of Code section 4060 and Health and Safety Code section 11350 (a).

ORDER IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 76317, heretofore issued to Respondent Armando Daniel Padua, II, is revoked. Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. This Decision shall become effective on March 28, 2011. It is so ORDERED February 25, 2011. STANLEY C. WEISSER, BOARD PRESIDENT FOR THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 60576589.DOC DOJ Matter ID:LA2009604618 Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation Exhibit B: A copy of the Domestic Return Receipt

Exhibit A

Accusation

1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.	
2	Attorney General of California GLORIA A. BARRIOS	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MICHAEL BROWN	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 231237	
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2095 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804	•
7	E-mail: MichaelB.Brown@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE	
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	STATE OF CA	LIFURNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3559
12	ARMANDO DANIEL PADUA, II	
13		ACCUSATION
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 76317	
	11	
15	Respondent.	
15	Respondent.	
{	Respondent. Complainant alleges:	
16		TES
16 17	Complainant alleges: <u>PART</u>	TES this Accusation solely in her official capacity
16 17 18	Complainant alleges: <u>PART</u>	this Accusation solely in her official capacity
16 17 18 19	Complainant alleges: PART 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy	this Accusation solely in her official capacity
16 17 18 19 20	Complainant alleges: PART 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy	this Accusation solely in her official capacity, Department of Consumer Affairs. f Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician
16 17 18 19 20 21	Complainant alleges: PART 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy 2. On or about June 5, 2007, the Board of	this Accusation solely in her official capacity, Department of Consumer Affairs. f Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician niel Padua, II (Respondent). The Pharmacy
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Complainant alleges: PART 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy 2. On or about June 5, 2007, the Board of Registration Number TCH 76317 to Armando Dar	this Accusation solely in her official capacity , Department of Consumer Affairs. f Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician niel Padua, II (Respondent). The Pharmacy et at all times relevant to the charges brought
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Complainant alleges: PART 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy 2. On or about June 5, 2007, the Board of Registration Number TCH 76317 to Armando Dar Technician Registration was in full force and effect	this Accusation solely in her official capacity , Department of Consumer Affairs. f Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician niel Padua, II (Respondent). The Pharmacy et at all times relevant to the charges brought ess renewed.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Complainant alleges: PART 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy 2. On or about June 5, 2007, the Board of Registration Number TCH 76317 to Armando Dar Technician Registration was in full force and effect herein and will expire on November 30, 2010, unleaded.	this Accusation solely in her official capacity , Department of Consumer Affairs. f Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician niel Padua, II (Respondent). The Pharmacy et at all times relevant to the charges brought ess renewed.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Complainant alleges: PART 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy 2. On or about June 5, 2007, the Board of Registration Number TCH 76317 to Armando Dar Technician Registration was in full force and effect herein and will expire on November 30, 2010, unleaded.	this Accusation solely in her official capacity To Department of Consumer Affairs. If Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician The Pharmacy The Pharmacy The at all times relevant to the charges brought The Pharmacy The Pharm
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Complainant alleges: PART 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy 2. On or about June 5, 2007, the Board of Registration Number TCH 76317 to Armando Dar Technician Registration was in full force and effect herein and will expire on November 30, 2010, unleased. JURISDIC 3. This Accusation is brought before the	this Accusation solely in her official capacity To Department of Consumer Affairs. If Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician The Pharmacy The Pharmacy The at all times relevant to the charges brought The Pharmacy The Pharm

2.7

28

- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension/expiration/surrender/cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board/Registrar/Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
 - 5. Section 477 of the Code states:

As used in this division:

- "(a) 'Board' includes 'bureau,' 'commission,' 'committee,' 'department,' 'division,' 'examining committee,' 'program,' and 'agency.'
- "(b) 'License' includes certificate, registration or other means to engage in a business or profession regulated by this code."
- 6. Section 482 of the Code states:

"Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- "(a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- "(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

"Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee."

- 7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
 - 8. Section 493 of the Code states:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact,

and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

"As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration.'"

9. Section 4301 of the Code states:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning

of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment."

- 10. Section 4059 of the Code states:
- "(a) A person may not furnish any dangerous drug, except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7."
 - 11. Section 4060 of the Code states:

"No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052. This section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer."

12. California Code of Regulations title 16, section 1770 states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, function or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a

related to the qualifications, duties and functions of a Pharmacy Technician. The circumstances are as follows:

- a. On January 21, 2010, in the Superior Court, County of Los Angeles, California, in the matter entitled *People vs. Armando Daniel Padua II* (2010), Case No. KA088126, Respondent was convicted by the court following his plea of guilty to a violation of Penal Code 459 (burglary), a felony.
- b. The facts that lead to the conviction were that on August 21, 2009, during an investigation by Loss Prevention officers at CVS Pharmacy, Respondent admitted to his employer that he had been stealing bottles of hydrocodone and promethazine codeine syrup from December 1, 2008 to August 21, 2009.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Obtained Controlled Substances by Fraud, Deceit, and Subterfuge)

19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under Code section 4301 (f) in that on or about December 1, 2008 to August 21, 2009, while working as a pharmacy technician at CVS Pharmacy, Respondent obtained by fraud, deceit, and subterfuge, 4,500 tablets of hydrocodone (500 count bottle), 4,300 tablets of hydrocodone (100 count bottle) a controlled substance under Health and Safety Code section 11056(e)(4) and 73 bottles of promethazine codeine syrup, a controlled substance under Health and Safety Code section 11058(c)(1), in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11173 (a), as set forth in paragraph 18, above.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Possession of a Controlled Substance Without a Prescription)

20. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under Code section 4301 (j) in that on or about December 1, 2008 to August 21, 2009, while working as a pharmacy technician at CVS Pharmacy, Respondent possessed and took 4,500 tablets of hydrocodone (500 count bottle), 4,300 tablets of hydrocodone (100 count bottle) a controlled substance under Health and Safety Code section 11056(e)(4) and 73 bottles of promethazine codeine syrup, a controlled substance under Health and Safety Code section 11058(c)(1), in

1	violation of Code section 4060 and Health and Safety Code section 11350 (a), as set forth in	
.2	paragraph 18, above.	
3	PRAYER	
4	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,	
5	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:	
6	· 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 76317,	
7	issued to Armando Daniel Padua, II;	
8	2. Ordering Armando Daniel Padua, II to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable	
9	costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions	
10	Code section 125.3;	
11	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
12	1	
13		
1.4	DATED: 9/29/10 / Ligital de de	
15	VIRGINIA HBROLD Executive Officer	
16	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs	
17	State of California Complainant	
1.8		
19	LA2009604618 60522097.doc	
.20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		



Home | Help | Sign In

Track & Confirm

Search Results

Label/Receipt Number: 7160 3901 9848 8878 1781 Service(s): Certified Mail™ Status: Delivered

Your item was delivered at 10:09 am on October 07, 2010 in WEST COVINA, CA 91791.

Track & Confirm Enter Label/Receipt Number.

Gos

Notification Options

Track & Confirm by email

Get current event information or updates for your item sent to you or others by email. (60>)

Site Map

Customer Service

Forms

Gov't Services

Careers

Privacy Policy

Terms of Use

Business Customer Galeway

Copyright@ 2010 USPS. All Rights Reserved.

No FEAR Act EEO Data

FOIA

l hadid lappedide: Live overeignisch derhob

heresite Cierens There's, him h