1	Kamala D. Harris		
2	Attorney General of California ARTHUR D. TAGGART		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General PATRICK M. KENADY		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 050882		
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255		
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-5377 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE		
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3524	
12	RITA BERNAL	DEFAULT DECISION	
13	4960 N. Teilman Fresno, Ca. 93705	AND ORDER	
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 54691	[Gov. Code, §11520]	
15	Respondent.	[Gov. Code, §11320]	
16			
17	FINDINGS OF FACT		
18	1. On or about August 23, 2010, Complainant Virginia Herold, in her official capacity		
19.	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed		
20	Accusation No. 3524 against Rita Bernal (Respondent) before the Board of Pharmacy.		
21	(Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)		
22	2. On or about March 2, 2004, the Board of Ph	armacy (Board) issued Pharmacy	
23	Technician Registration No. TCH 54691 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration		
24	was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on		
25	July 31, 2011, unless renewed.		
26	3. On or about September 24, 2010, Responder	nt was served by Certified and First Class	
27	Mail copies of the Accusation No. 3524, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, and		
28	Request for Discovery at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and		
1			

Professions Code section 136 and/or agency specific statute or regulation, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board, which was and is: 4960 N. Teilman, Fresno, CA 93705.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section
 - 5. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 6. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 3524.
 - 7. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 3524, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 3524, are found to be true.
- 9. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$1,190.00 as of December 9, 2010.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Rita Bernal has subjected her Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 54691 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.

 $\parallel / / /$

Exhibit A

Accusation

1	EDMUND G. Brown Jr.		
2 -	Attorney General of California ARTHUR D. TAGGART		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General PATRICK M. KENADY		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 050882		
	1300 I Street, Suite 125		
5	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550		
6	Telephone: (916) 324-5377 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	STATE OF CADIFORMA		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 3524		
12	RITA BERNAL		
13	4960 N. Teilman Fresno, Ca. 93705 A C C U S A T I O N		
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 54691		
15	Respondent.		
16			
17	Complainant alleges:		
18	PARTIES		
19	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity		
20	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
21	2. On or about March 2, 2004, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician		
22	Registration Number TCH 54691 to Rita Bernal (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician		
23	Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and		
24	will expire on July 31, 2011, unless renewed.		
25	JURISDICTION		
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of		
27	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the		
28	Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.		
-	√		

.7

.14

4. Section 4300 of the Code states:

"(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.

5. Section 4301 of the Code states:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

"(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

"(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning

.24

of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

6. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

- 7. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension/expiration/surrender/cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
 - 8. Business and Professions Code section 125.3.states that:
- "(a) Except as otherwise provided by law, in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before any board within the department or before the Osteopathic Medical Board upon request of the entity bringing the proceedings, the administrative law judge may direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(CRIMINAL CONVICTION)

9. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301 (k)(1) in that on or about August 7, 2006, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Rita Bernal* in Fresno County

Superior Court, Case Number T06903832-4, Respondent was convicted by a plea of no contest for violating Vehicle Code section 23152(b) (drunk driving) with a blood alcohol content of .15 percent or higher (Vehicle Code section 23578) with a prior conviction (Vehicle Code section 23540) and Vehicle Code section 23152 (with a minor under the age of 14 years as a passenger), misdemeanors. Respondent was given a suspended sentence, three years of probation and 90 days county jail with 70 days stayed. The conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties as a registrant.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(CRIMINAL CONVICTION)

10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301 (k)(1) in that on or about February 21, 2007, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Rita Bernal* in Fresno County Superior Court Case Number M07910810, Respondent was convicted by a plea of for violation Vehicle Code section 23152(b)(drunk driving) with a prior conviction (Vehicle Code section) and a blood alcohol level of .15 percent or higher (Vehicle Code section) and Vehicle Code section 14601.2 (driving while license suspended for prior DUI), all misdemeanors. Sentence was suspended with three years of probation with 120 days in county jail with 90 days suspended and a fine of \$1500, to run concurrent with the prior conviction.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(USE OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES)

11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301 (h) in that she used alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license as described in paragraphs 9 and 10 above.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 54691, issued to Rita Bernal;
- 2. Ordering RITA BERNAL to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED:

SA2009103082

10590150.doc

VIRGINIA HEROLD

Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant