BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 3504

CARL ROBERT PALAZOT

1671 Parrot Street San Diego, CA 92105

Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 37328

Respondent.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This decision shall become effective on April 15, 2011.

It is so ORDERED March 16, 2011.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

(. Wasi

Ву

STANLEY C. WEISSER Board President

1				
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California			
2 3	LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General RITA M. LANE			
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 171352			
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101	·		
_	P.O. Box 85266	•		
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2614			
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant			
8	BEFORE THE			
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS			
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against	t: Case No. 3504		
12	CARL ROBERT PALAZOT	OAH No. 2010090642		
13	1671 Parrot Street	STIPULATED SURRENDER OF		
14	San Diego, CA 92105	LICENSE AND ORDER		
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration N 37328	10. ICH		
16	Resp	spondent.		
17		· ·		
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties in this			
19	proceeding that the following matters are true:			
20	<u>PARTIES</u>			
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy.			
22	She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Edmund			
23	G. Brown Jr., Attorney General of the State of California, by Rita M. Lane, Deputy Attorney			
24	General.			
25	2. Respondent Carl Robert Pa	alazot is representing himself in this proceeding and has		
26	chosen not to exercise his right to be represented by counsel.			
27	3. On or about December 12, 2001, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician			
28	Registration No. TCH 37328 to Carl Robert Palazot (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician			

Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 3504 and will expire on October 31, 2011, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

4. First Amended Accusation No. 3504 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The First Amended Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on November 10, 2010. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of First Amended Accusation No. 3504 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in First Amended Accusation No. 3504. Respondent also has carefully read, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel, at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in First Amended Accusation No. 3504, agrees that cause exists for discipline and hereby surrenders his Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 37328 for the Board's formal acceptance.
- 9. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation he enables the Board to issue an order accepting the surrender of his Pharmacy Technician Registration without further process.

CONTINGENCY

- 10. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and surrender, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Surrender and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 11. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 12. This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 13. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 37328, issued to Respondent Carl Robert Palazot, is surrendered and accepted by the Board of Pharmacy.

14. The surrender of Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration and the acceptance of the surrendered license by the Board shall constitute the imposition of discipline against Respondent. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part of Respondent's license history with the Board.

- 15. Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a pharmacy technician in California as of the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.
- 16. Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Board his wall license certificate and, if one was issued, his pocket license on or before the effective date of the Decision and Order.
- 17. If Respondent ever applies for licensure or petitions for reinstatement in the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a new application for licensure. Respondent must comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for licensure in effect at the time the application or petition is filed, and all of the charges and allegations contained in First Amended Accusation No. 3504 shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by Respondent when the Board determines whether to grant or deny the application or petition. Respondent must wait three (3) years from the effective date of the Decision and Order before he can reapply for licensure.
- 18. If Respondent should ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other health care licensing agency in the State of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in First Amended Accusation No. 3504 shall be doesned to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict licensure.
- 19. Respondent shall pay the Board its costs of investigation and inforcement in the amount of \$4,462.50 prior to issuance of a new or reinstated license.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacy Technician Registration. I enter into this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

DATED:

CARL ROBERT PALAZOT

Respondent

· . [

ENDORSEMENT The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs. Dated: November 30, 2010 Respectfully submitted, EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant SD2009804901 70394026.doc

Exhibit A

First Amended Accusation No. 3504

1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.			
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER			
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General RITA M. LANE	,		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 171352			
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101			
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266			
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2614 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061			
8	Attorneys for Complainant			
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11				
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3504		
13	CARL ROBERT PALAZOT 1671 Parrot Street	,		
14		FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION		
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 37328			
16	Respondent.			
17				
18	Complainant alleges:			
19	PARTIES			
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this First Amended Accusation solely in her			
21	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer			
22	Affairs.	•		
23	2. On or about December 12, 2001, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician			
24	Registration No. TCH 37328 to Carl Robert Palazot (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician			
25	Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and			
26	will expire on October 31, 2011, unless renewed.			
27	///			
28	///			
- 1	II .			

JURISDICTION

- 3. This First Amended Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
 - 5. Section 4300 of the Code states:
 - (a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

- 7. Section 490 of the Code states:
 - (a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
 - (b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.
 - (c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be

.20

2.8

27 | /

///

taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.

(d) The Legislature hereby finds and declares that the application of this section has been made unclear by the holding in Petropoulos v. Department of Real Estate (2006) 142 Cal. App.4th 554, and that the holding in that case has placed a significant number of statutes and regulations in question, resulting in potential harm to the consumers of California from licensees who have been convicted of crimes. Therefore, the Legislature finds and declares that this section establishes an independent basis for a board to impose discipline upon a licensee, and that the amendments to this section made by Senate Bill 797 of the 2007 -08 Regular Session do not constitute a change to, but rather are declaratory of, existing law.

8. Section 492 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, successful completion of any diversion program under the Penal Code, or successful completion of an alcohol and drug problem assessment program under Article 5 (commencing with section 23249.50) of Chapter 12 of Division 11 of the Vehicle Code, shall not prohibit any agency established under Division 2 ([Healing Arts] commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division, from taking disciplinary action against a licensee or from denying a license for professional misconduct, notwithstanding that evidence of that misconduct may be recorded in a record pertaining to an arrest.

9. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration.'

5

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

2526

27

28

10. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

. . . .

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

. . .

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

REGULATIONS

11. California Code of Regulations, title 16 (CCR), section 1769, provides in pertinent

part:

(b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been

2.8

convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:

- (1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).
- (2) Total criminal record.
- (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offenses(s).
- (4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.
- (5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.
- 12. CCR section 1770, provides:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by this license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

COSTS

13. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

DRUG

14. Methamphetamine is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (d)(2), and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(January 14, 2008 Conviction for Fighting-Offensive Words on August 21, 2007)

15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 4301(1) for conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a pharmacy technician, as more particularly alleged below:

2.8

- a. On September 5, 2007, in the criminal proceeding titled, *People v. Carl Robert Palazott* (San Diego Superior Court Case No. SCD208566), Respondent was originally charged with violating Penal Code section 368(b)(1), willful cruelty to an elder, dependent adult with great bodily injury or death, a felony, and Penal Code section 422, making a criminal threat to cause death or great bodily injury to another, a felony. As a result of a plea bargain, the charges were amended and on or about January 14, 2008, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to a violation of Penal Code section 415 (Fighting-Offensive Words), a misdemeanor, and the original charges were dismissed.
- b. The facts and circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about August 21, 2007, Respondent was living with his mother who was dying of cancer and he was her caretaker. Respondent threatened his mother, who was making noises because she was in pain, to be quiet or he would put a blow torch in her face and burn her.
- c. As a result of the conviction, the imposition of the sentence was suspended.

 Respondent was placed on summary probation for three years, and ordered to pay fees and fines of \$1,170. At the time of sentencing, Respondent's mother was deceased.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Under the Influence of a Controlled Substance on December 4, 2008)

- 16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(h) in that on or about December 4, 2008, Respondent was under the influence of a controlled substance, methamphetamine, an act constituting unprofessional conduct. The circumstances are as follows:
- 17. On December 4, 2008, police officers responded to a call of a disturbance at a business. Upon arrival at the location, officers observed Respondent attempting to hide in some bushes. Respondent was uncooperative and kept trying to pull away from the officers and refused to respond to verbal commands. The officers had to subdue Respondent and handcuff him. Respondent admitted to officers that he was waiting for a friend to return who had left to buy drugs. Respondent exhibited symptoms consistent with being under the influence of a controlled substance. Respondent was arrested and charged with being under the influence of drugs and resisting a peace officer, misdemeanors.

- 18. As a result of the arrest, on or about February 17, 2009, a complaint was filed in the matter of *The People of the State of California v. Carl R. Palazot*, in San Diego Superior Court, case number M-071281, charging Respondent with the violation of Health and Safety Code section 11550, subdivision (a) (under the influence of a controlled substance, to wit, methamphetamine), and Penal Code section 148(a)(1) (resisting a peace officer), misdemeanors. The complaint was amended on March 26, 2009 to add a third count for a violation of Penal Code section 647(f) (drunk in public-drugs).
- 19. At a hearing on or about March 26, 2009, Respondent pled guilty to being drunk in public (drugs). Deferred entry of judgment was granted and Respondent was ordered to enter a diversion program pursuant to Penal Code section 1000 and pay fines in the amount of \$250.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Number TCH 37328, issued to Carl Robert Palazot;
- 2. Ordering Carl Robert Palazot to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: ///0//0

VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer

Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant

SD2009804901 - 70382843.docx