1		
2		
3		
4		
5	prov	DATE WAXAN
6	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8		G N. 2400
9	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3498
10	EMILY MARIA LAZARO	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
11	532 North N. St., #C	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
12	Lompoc, CA 90621	[Gov. Code, §11520]
13	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 33870	
14	33070	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17		
18.	FINDINGS OF FACT	
19	1. On or about June 1, 2010, Complainant Virginia Herold, in her official capacity as the	
20	Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed Accusation	
21	No. 3498 against Emily Maria Lazaro (Respondent) before the Board of Pharmacy. (Accusation	
22	attached as Exhibit A. See also, Default Decision Evidence Packet, Exhibit 1)	
23	2. On or about July 11, 1989, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy	
24 25	Technician Registration No. TCH 33870 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration	
26	License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will	
27	expired on May 31, 2010, and has not been renewed. (See Default Evidence Packet, Exhibit 2.)	
28	111	
20		1

3. On or about June 18, 2010, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. 3498, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 136 and/or agency specific statute or regulation, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board, which was and is:

532 North N. St., #C Lompoc, CA 90621.

(See, Default Decision and Evidence Packet, Exhibits 1 and 2).

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
 - 5. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 6. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 3498.
 - 7. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 3498, finds that

the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 3498, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.

9. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$1,907.50 as of September 3, 2010. (See, Default Decision Evidence Packet, Exhibit 3.)

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Emily Maria Lazaro has subjected her Pharmacy Technician Registration No. 3498 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet:
- a. On or about January 6, 2009, Respondent was convicted of Penal Code section 508 [embezzlement by employee] and Health and Safety Code section 11350 [possession of controlled substances] in violation of Business and Professions Code section 490, 4300, 4301, subdivision (l), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 in *The People of the State of California v. Emily Maria Lazaro* (Super. Ct. Santa Barbara County, 2008, Case No. 1288186). A certified copy of the criminal conviction is attached as Exhibit 4 and is incorporated by reference. (See, Default Decision Evidence Packet, Exhibit 4.)
- b. The underlying circumstances of the conviction are contained in the Arrest Report. (See, Default Decision Evidence Packet, Exhibit 5.)
- c. Based on Respondent's criminal convictions and the underlying circumstances (paragraphs a and b), Respondent has violated Business and Professions Code section 4300 and 4301, subdivisions (j) and (o), in conjunction with section 4060, by possessing controlled substances and dangerous drugs, without valid prescriptions.
- d. Based on Respondent's criminal convictions and the underlying circumstances (paragraphs a and b), Respondent has violated Business and Professions Code section 4300 and

1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.			
2	Attorney General of California KAREN B. CHAPPELLE			
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General GLORIA A. BARRIOS	÷ .		
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 94811			
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	· · ·		
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2540 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804			
7	Attorneys for Complainant	rina.		
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY			
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
10	Y (1) N ((4)) (2) (4) (4) (4) (4)			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: EMILY MARIA LAZARO	Case No. 3498		
12	532 North N St., #C	ACCUSATION		
13	Lompoc, CA 90621 Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 33870	ACCUSATION		
14	Respondent.			
15	Toopondons			
16				
17	Complainant alleges:			
18	PARTIES	•		
19	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity			
20	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs (Board).			
21	2. On or about July 11, 2000, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration No.			
22	TCH 33870 to Emily Maria Lazaro (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in			
23	full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on			
24	May 31, 2010, unless renewed.			
25	JURISDICTION			
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following			
27	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated			
28	///			

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
- 5. Section 490 provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
- 6. Section 4060 provides that no person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or other authorized licensee.
- 7. Section 4300, subdivision (a), states that "[e]very license issued may be suspended or revoked."
 - 8. Section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

- "(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
- "(g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.
- "(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

5

1

6 7

8

9

101112

13 14

1516

17 18

19

20 21

2223

242526

27

28

.

"(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

. . ,

"(I) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred, The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

• •

"(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.

..

| | | | | | |

2.8

- "(q) Engaging in any conduct that subverts or attempts to subvert an investigation of the board...."
 - 9. Section 4324, subdivision (a), states:
- "(a) Every person who signs the name of another, or of a fictitious person, or falsely makes, alters, forges, utters, publishes, passes, or attempts to pass, as genuine, any prescription for any drugs is guilty of forgery and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison, or by imprisonment in the county jail for not more than one year."

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

COST RECOVERY

11. Section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES / DANGEROUS DRUGS

- 12. Norco is the brand name for the combination narcotic, Hydrocodone and Acetaminophen. Acetaminophen is a Schedule III controlled narcotic substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11056(e)(4) and a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022. Acetaminophen is a Schedule III controlled substance as designated in Health and Safety Code section 11056(e)(2) and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022.
- 13. Vicodin, trade name for a combination drug containing hydrocodone bitartrate (opioid analgesic) and acetaminophen, is a Schedule III controlled substance as defined in Health

1.51.6

1.7

and Safety Code section 11056(e)(7) and is categorized as a dangerous drug according to section 4022.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of Substantially Related Crime)

- 14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490, 4300 and 4301, subdivision (l), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that on or about January 6, 2009, Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician which to a substantial degree evidences her present or potential unfitness to perform the functions authorized by her license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. The circumstances of the conviction are that:
- a. On or about January 6, 2009, after pleading nolo contendere to two (2) misdemeanors, Count 2 violating Penal Code section 508 [embezzlement by employee] and Count 3 violating Health and Safety Code section 11350 [possession of controlled substances] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Emily Maria Lazaro* (Super. Ct. Santa Barbara County, 2008, No. 1288186), Respondent was convicted on Count 2, and on Count 3, she was placed on deferred entry of judgment. On Count 2, the Court sentenced Respondent to one (1) day in jail, placed her on three (3) years probation, and reserved jurisdiction regarding the issue of restitution. On Count 3, the Court ordered Respondent to attend a three (3) year PC1000 diversion program.
- b. The circumstances underlying the conviction are that on or about May 20, 2008, when Respondent was working as a pharmacy technician at Public Health Pharmacy Lompoc, Respondent fraudulently processed a deceased patient's refill prescription for 30 Norco 10mg tablets, and on or about June 6, 2008, she fraudulently processed an incarcerated patient's illegitimately obtained physician refill prescription for 60 Vicodin 5mg tablets. On or about July 24, 2008, on a filed DEA Form 106, Report of Theft or Loss of Controlled Substances, Respondent's employer identified the 90 tablets loss as employee pilferage. On or about September 11, 2008, Respondent admitted to both incidents of theft, admitted to committing

similar thefts on at least six (6) other occasions, and informed investigating authorities that after filling each prescription, she took the controlled substances home and sold them for \$3.00 a tablet. Subsequently, on or about September 11, 2008, Respondent resigned from her job.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Possession of Controlled Substances, Illegally)

15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300 and 4301, subdivisions (j) and (o), in conjunction with section 4060, on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent possessed controlled substances and dangerous drugs, without valid prescriptions. Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraph 14, subparagraphs (a) and (b), inclusive, as though set forth fully.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Fraudulent Prescriptions)

16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300 and 4301, subdivisions (g), (j) and (o), in conjunction with section 4324, subdivision (a), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent fraudulently processed prescriptions for controlled substances and dangerous drugs. Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 14 - 15, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Acts Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit, or Corruption)

17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300 and 4301, subdivision (f), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption. Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 14 - 16, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dangerous Use of Controlled Substances/Dangerous Drugs)

18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300 and 4301, subdivision (h), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent used dangerous

drugs to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to others when she fraudulently obtained controlled substances and dangerous drugs and sold them to others without valid prescriptions. Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 14 - 17, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Subvert or Attempt to Subvert a Board Investigation)

19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300 and 4301, subdivision (q), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that on or about March 2, 2009, Respondent subverted or attempted to subvert an investigation of the board when she failed to present herself as agreed before board investigators. Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 14 - 18, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 33870, issued to Respondent;
- 2. Ordering Respondent to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to section 125.3; and
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 6/1/10

VIRGINIA NEROLD Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

LA2009604454 4/8/2010dmm 60531936.doc

27

2.8

7