2.8

Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7 to Respondent's address of record with the Board which was and is: 4720 East Kaviland Avenue, Fresno, CA 93725. A copy of the Accusation is attached as Exhibit A, and incorporated herein by this reference.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).
 - 5. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
- (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 6. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 3469.
 - 7. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
- (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the evidence on file herein, finds that the allegations in Accusation No. 3469 are true.
- 9. The total costs for investigation and enforcement in connection with the Accusation are \$935.00 as of March 24, 2010.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Frank Mendoza a.k.a Frank Maldonado Mendoza has subjected his Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 71410 to discipline.
 - 2. A copy of the Accusation is attached.

Exhibit A Accusation No. 3469

1 2 3 4 5	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California ARTHUR D. TAGGART Supervising Deputy Attorney General LESLIE A. BURGERMYER Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 117576 1300 I Street, Suite 125		
6	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-5337 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643		
7 8 9 10	Attorneys for Complainant BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. AC-2009-3469	
12	FRANK MENDOZA, a.k.a. FRANK MALDONADO MENDOZA		
13	4720 East Kaviland Avenue Fresno, CA 93725	ACCUSATION	
1415	Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 71410		
16	Respondent.		
17	Complainant alleges:		
18	<u>PARTIES</u>		
19	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity		
20	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
21	2. On or about August 22, 2006, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician		
22	Registration Number TCH 71410 to Frank Mendoza, a.k.a. Frank Maldonado Mendoza		
23	(Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times		
24	relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on October 31, 2011, unless renewed.		
25	JURISDICTION		
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of		
27	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the		
28	Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.		
- 1	I		

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 4. Section 4300 of the Code authorizes the Board to discipline holders of any license issued by the Board including, license suspension or revocation, probation, or any other disciplinary action as the Board in its discretion deems may be proper.
- 5. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 4301 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

- (j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.
- (1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of . . . the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action. when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.
 - (p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license.
- 7. Section 4060 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause

enforcement of the case.

28

28 |

///

///

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(CONVICTION OF CRIME INVOLVING CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE)

- 13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 4300 and 4301, subdivision (1), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct in that on or about November 17, 2008, Respondent was convicted in the case of *People v. Frank Mendoza*, Shasta County Superior Court Case No. 08-08050, on his plea of guilty of violating Health and Safety Code section 11359 [possession of marijuana for sale], a felony. Said conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a Registered Pharmacy Technician. Respondent was sentenced to State Prison for the aggravated term of three years, execution of sentence was suspended and imposition of sentence was suspended for five years. Respondent was admitted to formal probation under specified terms and conditions including, but not limited to: serving time in Shasta County jail for 365 days, with credit for time served and custody conduct credits for a total of 240 days; payment of restitution, fines, and fees counseling; provide specified samples and specimens, register as a controlled substance offender under Health & Safety Code section 11590; and, submit to random chemical testing and warrantless search, with or without probable cause of his person, property, and vehicle.
- 14. The underlying circumstances are: Respondent knowingly attempted to transport 155.1 pounds of packaged Marijuana to Spokane, Washington, in exchange for the payment of \$3,000.00. The Marijuana was in the bed of Respondent's truck. Respondent was stopped by law enforcement officers in Redding, California, for a traffic violation; those officers discovered the Marijuana in Respondent's truck. The packaged Marijuana was concealed under three locking bed covers and blanket(s) and in large plastic bags. Respondent attempted to mask the odor of Marijuana by using detergent in the interior of his truck. Detergent is commonly used to mask the odor of controlled substances from law enforcement K-9 dogs; however, a K-9 dog detected the odor of Marijuana in the truck bed and alerted the officers. Respondent possessed the controlled substance Marijuana without a prescription.

5

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(VIOLATION OF STATUTES REGULATING

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES)

- 15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section Code sections 4300 and 4301, subdivision (j), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct in that Respondent violated statutes regulating the controlled substance Marijuana as follows:
- a. Respondent violated Health and Safety Code section 11359 when he possessed the controlled substance Marijuana with the intent for sale, as alleged in paragraph 14, above.
- b. Respondent violated Code section 4060 when he possessed the controlled substance Marijuana, a controlled substance, without a prescription, as alleged in paragraph 14, above.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(ACTS WARRANTING LICENSE DENIAL)

- 16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 4300 and 4301, subdivision (p), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in conjunction with Code section 480, as follows:
- a. Respondent was convicted of a crime on his plea of guilty of violating Health and Safety Code section 11359, a felony, as alleged in paragraph 13, above, in violation of Code section 480, subdivision (a)(1). Said conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a Registered Pharmacy Technician.
- b. Respondent did an act that that would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license as alleged in paragraphs 13, 14, and 15, above, in violation of Code section 480, subdivision (a)(3)(A). Said act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a Registered Pharmacy Technician.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 71410, issued to Frank Mendoza, a.k.a. Frank Maldonado Mendoza.

1	2. Ordering Frank Mendoza, a.k.a. Frank Maldonado Mendoza, to pay the Board of	
2	Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to	
3	Business and Professions Code section 125.3;	
4	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
5		
6	DATED: 12610 Juginaled	
7	Executive Officer	
8	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs	
9	State of California Complainant	
10		
1]	SA2009102668	
2		
13		
4		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	·	
23		
24		•
25		
26		
27		
28		