BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 3433

MAHER I. AL-GHABRA

PO Box 881661 San Diego, CA 92168

Pharmacist License No. RPH 44421

Respondent.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This decision shall become effective on December 21, 2011.

It is so ORDERED on November 21, 2011.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

STANLEY C. WEISSER Board President

ł		
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS	·
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General RITA M. LANE	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 171352	
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101	
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266	
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2614 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9	BEFORE THE	
10	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
	STATE OF	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3433
12	MAHER I. AL-GHABRA	OAH No. 2010091143
13	P.O. Box 881661 San Diego, CA 92168	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND
14	Pharmacist License No. RPH 44421	DISCIPLINARY ORDER FOR PUBLIC REPROVAL
15	Respondent	
16		[Bus. & Hot. Code § 425]
17		
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-	
19	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:	
20	<u>PARTIES</u>	
21	1. VIRGINIA HEROLD (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of	
22	Pharmacy. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter	
23	by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Rita M. Lane, Deputy	
24	Attorney General.	
25	2. Respondent Maher I. Al-Ghabra is	represented in this proceeding by attorney Armond
26	Macarian, whose address is: 15260 Ventura Bo	oulevard, Suite 2250, Sherman Oaks, CA 91403.
27	3. On or about August 27, 1991, the E	soard of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License No.
28	RPH 44421 to Maher I. Al-Ghabra (Responden	t). The Pharmacist License was in full force and
-		

effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 3433 and will expire on July 31, 2013, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

4. Accusation No. 3433 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on January 6, 2010. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 3433 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 3433. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 8. For the purposes of settlement and compromise of the instant proceeding, Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 3433, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his pharmacist license.
- 9. Respondent agrees that the Board has jurisdiction to impose a public reprimand upon his license to practice as a licensed pharmacist pursuant to section 495 of the Business and Professions Code.

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12. 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28 CONTINGENCY

- This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reprovalshall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacist License No. RPH 44421 issued to Respondent Maher I. Al-Ghabra shall, by way of letter from the Board's Executive Officer, be publicly reproved. As part of the public reproval, Respondent shall comply with the following:

1. Remedial Education

Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, an appropriate program of remedial education related to dispensing medication and basic pharmacist skills. The program of remedial education shall consist of at least 15 hours, which shall be completed prior to Respondent's Pharmacist License being renewed by the Board on July 31, 2013. The educational courses shall be at Respondent's own expense. All remedial education shall be in addition to, and shall not be credited toward, continuing education courses used for license renewal purposes.

Failure to timely submit or complete the approved remedial education will cause the Board to not renew Respondent's Pharmacist License until such remedial education is successfully completed and written proof, in a form acceptable to the Board, is provided to the Board or its designee.

2. Ethics Course

Within sixty (60) calendar days of the effective date of this decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in ethics, at Respondent's expense, approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall complete the ethics course prior to Respondent's Pharmacist License being renewed by the Board on July 31, 2013. The Board will not renew Respondent's Pharmacist License until such ethics course is successfully completed and written proof, in a form acceptable to the Board, is provided to the Board or its designee.

3. Reimbursement of Board Costs

Respondent shall pay to the Board its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of \$10,000. Respondent may make payments to the Board as long as the total amount of \$10,000 is paid to the Board prior to Respondent's Pharmacist License being renewed by the Board on July 31, 2013. The Board will not renew Respondent's Pharmacist License until such costs are paid.

The filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve Respondent of his responsibility to reimburse the Board its costs of investigation and prosecution.

///

///

ACCEPTANCE

-		
2	I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public	
3	Reproval and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Armond Macarian. I understand the	
4	stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacist License. I enter into this Stipulated	
5	Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently	
6	and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.	
7	DATED: Jul 18 2022	
8	MAHER I. AL-GHABRA Respondent	
9		
10	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Maher I. Al-Ghabra the terms and	
11	conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order	
12	for Public Reproval. I approve its form and content.	
13	DATED: JULY (8/2011) ARMOND MA CARLAN	
14	ARMOND MACARIAN Attorney for Respondent	
15		
16	ENDORSEMENT	
17	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby	
18	respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of	
19	Consumer Affairs.	
20	Dated: July 18, 2011 Respectfully submitted,	
21	KAMALA D. HARRIS	
22.	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Symposizing Demants: Attorney Comment	
23	Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
24	Pute M. allre	
. 25	RITA M. LANE Deputy Attorney General	
26	Attorneys for Complainant	

SD2009702396 80527234.doc

28

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 3433

1 2 3 4	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General RITA M. LANE Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 171352 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100	
5	San Diego, CA 92101	
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Tolophore: (610) 645-2614	
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2614 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE	
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY	
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 3433	
13	MAHER I. AL-GHABRA P.O. Box 881661	
14	San Diego, CA 92168 ACCUSATION	
15	Pharmacist License No. RPH 44421,	
16	Respondent.	
17		
18	Complainant alleges:	
19	PARTIES	
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity	
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.	
22	2. On or about August 27, 1991, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License	
23	Number RPH 44421 to Maher I. Al-Ghabra (Respondent). The Pharmacist License was in full	
24	force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on July 31,	
25	2011, unless renewed.	
26	///	
27	///	
-28		
	. 1	

Accusation

27

28

1

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
 - 5. Section 4300 of the Code states:
 - (a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 4022 of the Code states

"Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for self-use in humans or animals, and includes the following:

- (a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import.
- (b) Any device that bears the statement: "Caution: federal law restricts this device to sale by or on the order of a _____," "Rx only," or words of similar import, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to use or order use of the device.
- (c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006.
- 7. Section 4040 of the Code states in pertinent part:
 - (a) "Prescription" means an oral, written, or electronic transmission order that is both of the following:
 - (1) Given individually for the person or persons for whom ordered that includes all of the following:
 - (A) The name or names and address of the patient or patients.
 - (B) The name and quantity of the drug or device prescribed and the directions for use.
 - (C) The date of issue.

- (D) Either rubber stamped, typed, or printed by hand or typeset, the name, address, and telephone number of the prescriber, his or her license classification, and his or her federal registry number, if a controlled substance is prescribed.
- (E) A legible, clear notice of the condition for which the drug is being prescribed, if requested by the patient or patients.
- (F) If in writing, signed by the prescriber issuing the order, or the certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, physician assistant, or naturopathic doctor who issues a drug order pursuant to section 2746.51, 2836.1, 3502.1, or 3640.5, respectively, or the pharmacist who issues a drug order pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052.
- 8. Section 4059 of the Code states in pertinent part:
- (a) A person may not furnish any dangerous drug, except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7.
- 9. Section 4063 of the Code states:

No prescription for any dangerous drug or dangerous device may be refilled except upon authorization of the prescriber. The authorization may be given orally or at the time of giving the original prescription. No prescription for any dangerous drug that is a controlled substance may be designated refillable as needed.

10. Section 4081 of the Code states:

- (a) All records of manufacture and of sale, acquisition, or disposition of dangerous drugs or dangerous devices shall be at all times during business hours open to inspection by authorized officers of the law, and shall be preserved for at least three years from the date of making. A current inventory shall be kept by every manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, veterinary food-animal drug retailer, physician, dentist, podiatrist, veterinarian, laboratory, clinic, hospital, institution, or establishment holding a currently valid and unrevoked certificate, license, permit, registration, or exemption under Division 2 (commencing with Section 1200) of the Health and Safety Code or under Part 4 (commencing with Section 16000) of Division 9 of the Welfare and Institutions Code who maintains a stock of dangerous drugs or dangerous devices.
- (b) The owner, officer, and partner of any pharmacy, wholesaler, or veterinary food-animal drug retailer shall be jointly responsible, with the pharmacist-in-charge or representative-in-charge, for maintaining the records and inventory described in this section.

///

28 || ,

///

28 || ,

11. Section 4301 of the Code states in pertinent part:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(d) The clearly excessive furnishing of controlled substances in violation of subdivision (a) of Section 11153 of the Health and Safety Code.

(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.

12. Section 4333 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that all prescriptions filled by a pharmacy and all other records required by Section 4081 shall be maintained on the premises and available for inspection by authorized officers of the law for a period of at least three years. In cases where the pharmacy discontinues business, these records shall be maintained in a board-licensed facility for at least three years.

13. Health and Safety Code section 11153 provides in pertinent part:

(a) A prescription for a controlled substance shall only be issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his or her professional practice. The responsibility for the proper prescribing and dispensing of controlled substances is upon the prescribing practitioner, but a corresponding responsibility rests with the pharmacist who fills the prescription. Except as authorized by this division, the following are not legal prescriptions: (1) an order purporting to be a prescription which is issued not in the usual course of professional treatment or in legitimate and authorized research; or (2) an order for an addict or habitual user of controlled substances, which is issued not in the course of professional treatment or as part of an authorized narcotic treatment program, for the purpose of providing the user with controlled substances, sufficient to keep him or her comfortable by maintaining customary use.

20. CCR section 1718 states:

"Current Inventory" as used in Sections 4081 and 4332 of the Business and Professions Code shall be considered to include complete accountability for all dangerous drugs handled by every licensee enumerated in Sections 4081 and 4332.

The controlled substances inventories required by Title 21, CFR, Section 1304 shall be available for inspection upon request for at least 3 years after the date of the inventory.

- 21. CCR section 1761 states:
- (a) No pharmacist shall compound or dispense any prescription which contains any significant error, omission, irregularity, uncertainty, ambiguity or alteration. Upon receipt of any such prescription, the pharmacist shall contact the prescriber to obtain the information needed to validate the prescription.
- (b) Even after conferring with the prescriber, a pharmacist shall not compound or dispense a controlled substance prescription where the pharmacist knows or has objective reason to know that said prescription was not issued for a legitimate medical purpose.

COSTS

22. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

DRUG

23. Hydrocodone with acetaminophen (hydrocodone/APAP) is designated by Health and Safety Code section 11056(e)(4) as a narcotic drug and a Schedule III controlled substance, and by Business and Professions Code section 4022 as a dangerous drug, and is used as a narcotic analgesic in the relief of pain.

FACTS

24. In December 2006, Respondent began working as a pharmacist at CVS Pharmacy #8842 in El Cajon, California. On February 3, 2007, customer M.B. filled his first prescription for hydrocodone/APAP at CVS Pharmacy #8842. Thereafter, customer M.B. came into CVS Pharmacy #8842 every couple of days looking for Respondent and if Respondent was not at the pharmacy, customer M.B. would leave without conducting any business. Respondent filled

prescriptions for hydrocodone/APAP for customer M.B. almost every day and customer M.B. received from 8 to 100 tablets of hydrocodone/APAP at a time. Sometimes, Respondent filled two prescriptions for hydrocodone/APAP for customer M.B. on the same day.

25. In December 2007, it was discovered that Respondent was falsifying refill documents and prescriptions for hydrocodone/APAP for customer M.B. Over approximately a 9 month period, Respondent dispensed 47 prescriptions for hydrocodone/APAP to customer M.B., without keeping a record in the patient profile. Respondent did not report, or incorrectly reported, 58 hydrocodone/APAP dispensings to CURES and was not able to produce 46 original prescriptions for the hydrocodone/APAP prescriptions for customer M.B. Respondent filled 3 prescriptions for hydrocodone/APAP for customer M.B. which did not meet the requirements of a prescription. Respondent filled 13 prescriptions for hydrocodone/APAP differently from written and refilled 21 prescriptions for hydrocodone/APAP without authorization. Respondent also refilled 100 hydrocodone/APAP prescriptions early. Respondent excessively furnished hydrocodone/APAP to customer M.B. 55 times because he filled the prescriptions too soon compared to the directions for use and days supplied as ordered by the prescribing doctor. The total amount of hydrocodone/APAP Respondent dispensed to customer M.B. over the approximately 9 month period was 5,475 tablets.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct: Violating State Law Governing Pharmacy)

- 26. Respondent's license is subject to discipline for unprofessional conduct under section 4301(o) for violating state law governing pharmacy. The circumstances are as follows
- a. Respondent failed to comply with CCR section 1707.1(a)(1)(B)(1) which requires pharmacies to keep patient profiles, including names and dates of prescriptions filled, for each patient. Specifically, on 47 occasions between March 12, 2007 and November 19, 2007, while Respondent was working as a pharmacist at CVS Pharmacy #8442, Respondent dispensed prescriptions for hydrocodone/APAP for customer M.B. which were not put into the patient profile for customer M.B.

///

2.7

- b. Respondent failed to comply with Health and Safety Code section 11165(d) which requires pharmacies to report controlled substance prescriptions to CURES. Specifically, on 58 occasions between March 12, 2007 and November 26, 2007, while Respondent was working as a pharmacist at CVS Pharmacy #8442, Respondent dispensed prescriptions for hydrocodone/APAP for customer M.B. which were not submitted to CURES correctly.
- c. Respondent failed to comply with Health and Safety Code section 11171 and Code section 4059(a) which requires a prescription be present prior to dispensing any dangerous drugs. Specifically, on 46 occasions between March 3, 2007 and November 23, 2007, while Respondent was working as a pharmacist at CVS Pharmacy #8442, Respondent dispensed controlled substance prescriptions for hydrocodone/APAP to customer M.B. which did not have a prescription document.
- d. Respondent failed to comply with Code section 4040(a)(1) which requires certain elements to be present on a prescription prior to dispensing any dangerous drugs. Specifically, on 3 occasions, while Respondent was working as a pharmacist at CVS Pharmacy #8442, Respondent dispensed controlled substance prescriptions for hydrocodone/APAP for customer M.B. which were incomplete. Rx #221915 filled on June 1, 2007, was an oral prescription with no directions. Rx #223131 filled on June 15, 2007, consisted solely of a label. Rx #229877 filled August 30, 2007 was an oral prescription which was not reduced to writing.
- e. Respondent failed to comply with CCR section 1716 which prohibits varying from a prescription unless authorization is obtained by the prescriber. Specifically, on 13 occasions between February 15, 2007 and November 26, 2007, while Respondent was working as a pharmacist at CVS Pharmacy #8442, Respondent dispensed hydrocodone/APAP to customer M.B. in a manner not prescribed. Respondent filled some prescriptions for hydrocodone/APAP for customer M.B. earlier than the doctor ordered; dispensed more pills than authorized, and refilled the prescriptions when there were no refills ordered by the doctor.
- f. Respondent failed to comply with Code section 4063 which prohibits refilling of prescriptions without authorization. Specifically, on 21 occasions between February 19, 2007 and November 26, 2007, while Respondent was working as a pharmacist at CVS Pharmacy #8442,

Respondent refilled hydrocodone/APAP prescriptions for customer M.B. without authorization from the prescriber.

- g. Respondent failed to comply with Health and Safety Code section 11153(a) and CCR section 1761 which states that a pharmacist shall not dispense a prescription containing irregularity or uncertainty, and that a pharmacist has a corresponding responsibility to ensure proper prescribing and dispensing. Specifically, on 100 occasions between February 20, 2007 and November 19, 2007, while Respondent was working as a pharmacist at CVS Pharmacy #8442, Respondent filled hydrocodeone/APAP prescriptions for customer M.B. early. This was due to either Respondent filling the prescription too early based on how many pills were received previously by customer M.B., or because there were two prescriptions filled on the same day for customer M.B. for a similar drug.
- h. Respondent failed to comply with Health and Safety Code section 11200 which prohibits anyone from refilling a controlled substance prescription more than 5 times.

 Specifically, on October 4 and 5, 2007, while Respondent was working as a pharmacist at CVS Pharmacy #8442, Respondent refilled hydrocodone/APAP Rx #232237 in excess of 5 times.
- i. Respondent failed to comply with CCR section 1714(d) which states that each pharmacist while on duty shall be responsible for the security of the prescription department, including provisions for effective control against theft or diversion of dangerous drugs and devices, and records for those drugs and devices. Specifically, between May 1, 2007 and December 15, 2007, while Respondent was working as a pharmacist at CVS Pharmacy #8442, Respondent did not have provisions in place to avoid the loss of dangerous drugs which resulted in a loss of Hydrocodone products to CVS Pharmacy #8442.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct: Excessive Furnishing of Controlled Substances)

27. Respondent's license is subject to discipline for unprofessional conduct under section 4301(d) for the clearly excessive furnishing of controlled substances in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11153(a) in that on 55 occasions between September 9, 2007 and November 5, 2007, while Respondent was working as a pharmacist at CVS Pharmacy #8442,

California State Board of Pharmacy

1625 N. Market Blvd, N219, Sacramento, CA 95834 Phone: (916) 574-7900 Fax: (916) 574-8618 www.pharmacy.ca.gov STATE AND CONSUMER SERVICES AGENCY
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
GOVERNOR EDMUND G. BROWN JR.

Public Reprimand

Maher I. Al-Ghabra P.O. Box 881661 San Diego, CA 92168

Re: Public Reprimand, In the Matter of the Accusation Against Maher I. Al-Ghabra Board of Pharmacy Case No. 3433

Mr. Al-Ghabra:

On January 4, 2010, the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California, filed an Accusation against your pharmacist license alleging unprofessional conduct under Business and Professions Code sections 4301(d) and (o) regarding events which occurred between or about March 2007 and November 2007, wherein you are alleged to have excessively furnished controlled substances to one patient and violated state law governing pharmacy in the filling of those prescriptions.

Taking into consideration the circumstances in this case, the Board has decided that a letter of reprimand, completion of both remedial education and the board-established ethics course, plus repayment of \$10,000 in costs are the appropriate resolution for this case.

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority provided in Business and Professions Code section 495, and in resolution of this matter, the Board of Pharmacy hereby issues this letter of public reprimand.

Dated:

Sincerely,

Executive Officer
Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs State of California

SD2009702396 80529982.doc