BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 3431

PETER PE CHU 25 Pollena Irvine, CA 92602

Pharmacist License No. RPH 48836

Respondent.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This decision shall become effective on April 21, 2010.

It is so ORDERED on March 22, 2010.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Benneth H. Scheel

Ву

KENNETH H. SCHELL

Board President

1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.	•
-	Attorney General of California	
2	LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
3	LORETTA A. WEST Deputy Attorney General	
4	State Bar No. 149294 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100	
5	San Diego, CA 92101	
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266	
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2107 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY	
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	STATE OF C	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3431
Ì	PETER PE CHU	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND
13	25 Pollena Irvine, CA 92602	DISCIPLINARY ORDER
14		
. [
15	Pharmacist License No. RPH 48836	
15 16	Pharmacist License No. RPH 48836 Respondent.	
16	Respondent.	REED by and between the parties to the above-
16 17	Respondent.	·
16 17 18	Respondent. IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGentitled proceedings that the following matters a	·
16 17 18 19	Respondent. IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGentitled proceedings that the following matters a PAI	ure true:
16 17 18 19 20	Respondent. IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGE entitled proceedings that the following matters a PAI 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the	e Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy.
16 17 18 19 20 21	Respondent. IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGE entitled proceedings that the following matters at PAI 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the She brought this action solely in her official cap	ere true: RTIES Le Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy. Pacity and is represented in this matter by
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Respondent. IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGE entitled proceedings that the following matters at PAI 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the She brought this action solely in her official cap Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney General of the	e Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Respondent. IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGE entitled proceedings that the following matters at PAI 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the She brought this action solely in her official cap Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney General of the Attorney General.	RTIES The Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy. The eacity and is represented in this matter by State of California, by Loretta A. West, Deputy
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Respondent. IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGE entitled proceedings that the following matters at PAI 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the She brought this action solely in her official cap Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney General of the Attorney General. 2. Respondent Peter Pe Chu (Respondent)	RTIES The Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy. The eacity and is represented in this matter by State of California, by Loretta A. West, Deputy The ent is representing himself in this proceeding and
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Respondent. IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGE entitled proceedings that the following matters at PAI 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the She brought this action solely in her official cap Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney General of the Attorney General.	RTIES The Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy. The eacity and is represented in this matter by State of California, by Loretta A. West, Deputy The ent is representing himself in this proceeding and
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Respondent. IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGE entitled proceedings that the following matters at PAI 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the She brought this action solely in her official cap Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney General of the Attorney General. 2. Respondent Peter Pe Chu (Respondent)	RTIES The Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy. The eacity and is represented in this matter by State of California, by Loretta A. West, Deputy The ent is representing himself in this proceeding and

3. On or about August 14, 1996, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License No. RPH 48836 to Peter Pe Chu (Respondent). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 3431 and will expire on November 30, 2009, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

4. Accusation No. 3431 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on November 3, 2009. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 3431 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 3431. Respondent has also carefully read, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. 3431.

27 | / / /

28 | / / /

1//

///

9. Respondent agrees that his Pharmacist License is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board of Pharmacy's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

- 10. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 11. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 12. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 13. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacist License No. RPH 48836 issued to Respondent Peter Pe Chu (Respondent) is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for three (3) years on the following terms and conditions.

1. Obey All Laws

Respondent shall obey all state and federal laws and regulations.

Respondent shall report any of the following occurrences to the board, in writing, within seventy-two (72) hours of such occurrence:

- an arrest or issuance of a criminal complaint for violation of any provision of the Pharmacy Law, state and federal food and drug laws, or state and federal controlled substances laws
- a plea of guilty or nolo contendre in any state or federal criminal proceeding to any criminal complaint, information or indictment
- a conviction of any crime
- discipline, citation, or other administrative action filed by any state or federal agency
 which involves respondent's pharmacist license or which is related to the practice of
 pharmacy or the manufacturing, obtaining, handling, distributing, billing, or charging
 for any drug, device or controlled substance.

Failure to timely report such occurrence shall be considered a violation of probation.

2. Report to the Board

Respondent shall report to the board quarterly, on a schedule as directed by the board or its designee. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Among other requirements, respondent shall state in each report under penalty of perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. Failure to submit timely reports in a form as directed shall be considered a violation of probation. Any period(s) of delinquency in submission of reports as directed may be added to the total period of probation. Moreover, if the final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be automatically extended until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the board.

1⁰

3. Interview with the Board

Upon receipt of reasonable prior notice, respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the board or its designee, at such intervals and locations as are determined by the board or its designee. Failure to appear for any scheduled interview without prior notification to board staff, or failure to appear for two (2) or more scheduled interviews with the board or its designee during the period of probation, shall be considered a violation of probation.

4. Cooperate with Board Staff

Respondent shall cooperate with the board's inspection program and with the board's monitoring and investigation of respondent's compliance with the terms and conditions of his probation. Failure to cooperate shall be considered a violation of probation.

5. Continuing Education

Respondent shall provide evidence of efforts to maintain skill and knowledge as a pharmacist as directed by the board or its designee.

6. Notice to Employers

During the period of probation, respondent shall notify all present and prospective employers of the decision in case number 3431 and the terms, conditions and restrictions imposed on respondent by the decision, as follows:

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within fifteen (15) days of respondent undertaking any new employment, respondent shall cause his direct supervisor, pharmacist-in-charge (including each new pharmacist-in-charge employed during respondent's tenure of employment) and owner to report to the board in writing acknowledging that the listed individual(s) has/have read the decision in case number 3431, and terms and conditions imposed thereby. It shall be respondent's responsibility to ensure that his employer(s) and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgment(s) to the board.

If respondent works for or is employed by or through a pharmacy employment service, respondent must notify his direct supervisor, pharmacist-in-charge, and owner at every entity licensed by the board of the terms and conditions of the decision in case number 3431 in advance

2.7

28

of the respondent commencing work at each licensed entity. A record of this notification must be provided to the board upon request.

Furthermore, within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within fifteen (15) days of respondent undertaking any new employment by or through a pharmacy employment service, respondent shall cause his direct supervisor with the pharmacy employment service to report to the board in writing acknowledging that he has read the decision in case number 3431 and the terms and conditions imposed thereby. It shall be respondent's responsibility to ensure that his employer(s) and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgment(s) to the board.

Failure to timely notify present or prospective employer(s) or to cause that/those employer(s) to submit timely acknowledgments to the board shall be considered a violation of probation.

"Employment" within the meaning of this provision shall include any full-time, parttime, temporary, relief or pharmacy management service as a pharmacist or any position for which a pharmacist license is a requirement or criterion for employment, whether the respondent is an employee, independent contractor or volunteer.

7. No Supervision of Interns, Serving as Pharmacist-in-Charge (PIC), Serving as Designated Representative-in-Charge, or Serving as a Consultant

During the period of probation, respondent shall not supervise any intern pharmacist, be the pharmacist-in-charge or designated representative-in-charge of any entity licensed by the board nor serve as a consultant unless otherwise specified in this order. Assumption of any such unauthorized supervision responsibilities shall be considered a violation of probation.

8. Reimbursement of Board Costs

As a condition precedent to successful completion of probation, respondent shall pay to the board its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of \$5,800.00 (five thousand eight hundred dollars). Respondent may arrange for a payment schedule.

There shall be no deviation from this schedule absent prior written approval by the board or its designee. Failure to pay costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

The filing of bankruptcy by respondent shall not relieve respondent of his responsibility to reimburse the board its costs of investigation and prosecution.

9. Probation Monitoring Costs

Respondent shall pay any costs associated with probation monitoring as determined by the board each and every year of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the board on a schedule as directed by the board or its designee. Failure to pay such costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

10. Status of License

Respondent shall, at all times while on probation, maintain an active, current license with the board, including any period during which suspension or probation is tolled. Failure to maintain an active, current license shall be considered a violation of probation.

If respondent's license expires or is cancelled by operation of law or otherwise at any time during the period of probation, including any extensions thereof due to tolling or otherwise, upon renewal or reapplication respondent's license shall be subject to all terms and conditions of this probation not previously satisfied.

11. License Surrender While on Probation/Suspension

Following the effective date of this decision, should respondent cease practice due to retirement or health, or be otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may tender his license to the board for surrender. The board or its designee shall have the discretion whether to grant the request for surrender or take any other action it deems appropriate and reasonable. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender of the license, respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation. This surrender constitutes a record of discipline and shall become a part of the respondent's license history with the board.

Upon acceptance of the surrender, respondent shall relinquish his pocket and wall license to the board within ten (10) days of notification by the board that the surrender is accepted.

Respondent may not reapply for any license from the board for three (3) years from the effective date of the surrender. Respondent shall meet all requirements applicable to the license sought as

9

14

12

15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

25

24

27

26

28

of the date the application for that license is submitted to the board, including any outstanding costs.

Notification of a Change in Name, Residence Address, Mailing Address or **Employment**

Respondent shall notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of any change of employment. Said notification shall include the reasons for leaving, the address of the new employer, the name of the supervisor and owner, and the work schedule if known. Respondent shall further notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of a change in name, residence address, mailing address, or phone number.

Failure to timely notify the board of any change in employer(s), name(s), address(es), or phone number(s) shall be considered a violation of probation.

13. **Tolling of Probation**

Except during periods of suspension, respondent shall, at all times while on probation, be employed as a pharmacist in California for a minimum of 40 (forty) hours per calendar month. Any month during which this minimum is not met shall toll the period of probation, i.e., the period of probation shall be extended by one month for each month during which this minimum is not met. During any such period of tolling of probation, respondent must nonetheless comply with all terms and conditions of probation.

Should respondent, regardless of residency, for any reason (including vacation) cease practicing as a pharmacist for a minimum of 40 (forty) hours per calendar month in California, respondent must notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of the cessation of practice, and must further notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of the resumption of practice. Any failure to provide such notification(s) shall be considered a violation of probation.

It is a violation of probation for respondent's probation to remain tolled pursuant to the provisions of this condition for a total period, counting consecutive and non-consecutive months, exceeding thirty-six (36) months.

"Cessation of practice" means any calendar month during which respondent is not practicing as a pharmacist for at least 40 (forty) hours, as defined by Business and

Professions Code section 4000 et seq. "Resumption of practice" means any calendar month during which respondent is practicing as a pharmacist for at least 40 (forty) hours as a pharmacist as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4000 et seq.

Respondent is required to practice as a pharmacist in a licensed pharmacy setting that dispenses medication for a minimum of one year prior to the completion of probation.

After the first year of probation, the board or its designee may consider a modification of this requirement. If respondent fails to comply with this requirement or a subsequent modification thereto, such failure shall be considered a violation of probation.

14. Violation of Probation

If a respondent has not complied with any term or condition of probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction over respondent, and probation shall automatically be extended, until all terms and conditions have been satisfied or the board has taken other action as deemed appropriate to treat the failure to comply as a violation of probation, to terminate probation, and to impose the penalty that was stayed.

If respondent violates probation in any respect, the board, after giving respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order (revocation of pharmacist license) that was stayed. Notice and opportunity to be heard are not required for those provisions stating that a violation thereof may lead to automatic termination of the stay and/or revocation of the license. If a petition to revoke probation or an accusation is filed against respondent during probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction and the period of probation shall be automatically extended until the petition to revoke probation or accusation is heard and decided.

15. Completion of Probation

Upon written notice by the board or its designee indicating successful completion of probation, respondent's license will be fully restored.

16. Community Services Program

Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall submit to the board or its designee, for prior approval, a community service program in which respondent shall

provide free health-care related services on a regular basis to a community or charitable facility or agency for at 200 hours during the first two years of probation. Within thirty (30) days of board approval thereof, respondent shall submit documentation to the board demonstrating commencement of the community service program. A record of this notification must be provided to the board upon request. Respondent shall report on progress with the community service program in the quarterly reports. Failure to timely submit, commence, or comply with the program shall be considered a violation of probation.

17. Ethics Course

Within sixty (60) calendar days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall enroll in a course in ethics, at respondent's expense, approved in advance by the board or its designee. Failure to initiate the course during the first year of probation, and complete it within the second year of probation, is a violation of probation.

Respondent shall submit a certificate of completion to the board or its designee within five days after completing the course.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacist License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

DATED: 12-16-09

PETER PE CHU Respondent

26 || 1.11

27 || 111

28 | ///

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (Accusation No. 3431)

ENDORSEMENT The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully ż submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs. Dated: Respectfully Submitted, EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General

LORETTA A. WEST
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Complainant

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 3431

- 11		
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.	
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General LORETTA A. WEST	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 149294	
	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100	
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266	
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2107	
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY	
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
l	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 3431	
12	PETER CHU	
13	25 Pollena Irvine, CA 92602 A C C U S A T I O N	
14	ACCOBATION	
15	Pharmacist License No. RPH 48836	
16	Respondent.	
17		
18		
19	Complainant alleges:	
20		
	PARTIES	
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity	
22	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.	
23	2. On or about August 14, 1996, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License	
24	Number RPH 48836 to Peter Chu (Respondent). The Pharmacist License was in full force and	
25	effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on November 30, 2009	
26	unless renewed.	
2′	7	
2	$_{8}$ \parallel	

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
 - 5. Section 4300 of the Code states:
 - (a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.
 - (b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods:
 - (1) Suspending judgment.
 - (2) Placing him or her upon probation.
 - (3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.
 - (4) Revoking his or her license.
 - (5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper.
 - 6. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

- (a) Gross immorality.
- (f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of

.13

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

- (g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.
- (1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications. functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.
- 7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.

8. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration.

9 Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

Section 810 of the Code states:

- (a) It shall constitute unprofessional conduct and grounds for disciplinary action, including suspension or revocation of a license or certificate, for a health care professional to do any of the following in connection with his or her professional activities:
- (1) Knowingly present or cause to be presented any false or fraudulent claim for the payment of a loss under a contract of insurance.
- (2) Knowingly prepare, make, or subscribe any writing, with intent to present or use the same, or to allow it to be presented or used in support of any false or fraudulent claim.
- (b) It shall constitute cause for revocation or suspension of a license or certificate for a health care professional to engage in any conduct prohibited under Section 1871.4 of the Insurance Code or Section 549 or 550 of the Penal Code.
- (4) Nothing in this subdivision shall preclude a board from suspending or revoking a license or certificate pursuant to any other provision of law.
- (5) "Board," as used in this subdivision, means the Dental Board of California, the Medical Board of California, the Board of Psychology, the State Board of Optometry, the California State Board of Pharmacy, the Osteopathic Medical Board of California, and the State Board of Chiropractic Examiners.

111

27

. 28

11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

- 12. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, states, in pertinent part:
 - (b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:
 - (1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).
 - (2) Total criminal record.
 - (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).
 - (4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.
 - (5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.

COST RECOVERY

13. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FACTS

14. On June 20, 2006, Respondent attended a scheduled appointment at the Alhambra Health Group located at 108 South First Street in Alhambra California, and met with a woman whom he believed was the office administrator for the facility. During the meeting, Respondent filled out numerous documents, with the intent to defraud his automobile insurance company, in order to fabricate a fraudulent medical and treatment file for Alhambra Health Group.

Respondent knowingly signed twenty-two separate fraudulent medical records that falsely stated he had previously received medical treatment at Alhambra Health Group on twenty-two separate occasions between the dates of April 19 and June 9, 2006, including the following: April 19, April 20, April 21, April 22, April 24, April 26, April 28, may 1, May 3, May 5, May 8, May 10, May 12, May 15, May 17, May 22, May 24, May 26, May 29, June 1, June 5, and June 9, 2009.

- 15. In fact, June 20, 2006, was the first and only time Respondent had ever been to the Alhambra Health Group and he never received any medical treatments there. The "office administrator" told Respondent that the fabricated medical records would generate \$2,530 in fabricated medical treatment charges, as requested by Respondent's attorney to be used to substantiate Respondent's fraudulent automobile insurance claim. During the meeting, Respondent acknowledged that he could get in a lot of trouble for signing the fraudulent documents.
- 16. The person Respondent believed was the office administrator for Alhambra Medical Group was, in fact, an undercover investigator for the Los Angeles District Attorney's Office. Respondent's entire meeting was captured on video-tape as part of a two year undercover operation conducted by the District Attorney's Auto Insurance Task Force working in collaboration with the California Department of Insurance and the California Highway Patrol.
- 17. Respondent's fraudulent medical records were promptly provided to his attorney and presented to his automobile insurance company, State Farm, with Respondent's insurance claim for, among other things, compensation and reimbursement for the medical treatment that Respondent allegedly received at Alhambra Medical Group. Based in part upon State Farm's reliance upon Respondent's fraudulent medical records and bills for \$2,530.00 in medical treatment from Alhambra Medical Group, State Farm paid Respondent approximately \$5,500.00 in payment for his insurance claim for bodily injuries. Respondent cashed the check from State Farm.
- 18. As a result of his fraudulent conduct, Respondent was arrested on January 4, 2007, for violation of Penal Code sections 550, subdivision (a) (1) (false insurance claim) and

550, subdivision (a) (5) (creating document with intent for it to be used to support fraudulent insurance claim).

- 19. On January 9, 2009, in a Los Angeles County Superior Court case entitled *People of the State of California v. Peter Chu, et al.*, Case No. BA320335, Respondent was convicted on his plea of no lo contendere of violating Business and Professions Code sections 6152, subdivision (a) (1) (acting as a capper for an attorney).
- 20. As a result of Respondent's conviction, the court sentenced Respondent to serve three years on summary probation, pay restitution to State Farm insurance company in the amount of \$2750, pay fine and fees, and perform 320 hours of community service. Respondent's criminal probation is scheduled to be completed on January 9, 2012.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Gross Morality)

21. Respondent's pharmacist license is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (a) in that Respondent engaged in conduct involving gross immorality when he signed twenty-two separate false medical records, with the intent to defraud his automobile insurance company, which falsely stated he had received medical/chiropractic services from Alhambra Medical Group when Respondent had not received any of said services. Respondent then submitted said fraudulent medical records to his automobile insurance company in support of his claim for compensation for bodily injuries and medical treatment. Further, Respondent cashed a check that he received from his insurance company in payment of his fraudulent insurance claim, as described above in paragraphs 14 through 17, which are incorporated herein by reference.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Dishonesty)

22. Respondent's pharmacist license is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (f) in that Respondent engaged in conduct involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption when he signed twenty-two separate false medical records, with the intent to defraud his automobile insurance company, which falsely stated he had

8 9

10 11

12

13 14.

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

received medical/chiropractic services from Alhambra Medical Group when Respondent had not received any of said services. Respondent then submitted said fraudulent medical records to his automobile insurance company in support of his claim for compensation for bodily injuries and medical treatment. Further, Respondent cashed a check that he received from his insurance company in payment of his fraudulent insurance claim, as described above in paragraphs 14 through 17, which are incorporated herein by reference.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Signed False Documents)

Respondent's pharmacist license is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (g) in that Respondent knowingly signed documents that falsely represented the existence of a state of facts when Respondent signed twenty-two separate false medical records, with the intent to defraud his automobile insurance company, that falsely stated he had received medical/chiropractic services from Alhambra Medical Group when Respondent had not received any of said services. Respondent then submitted said fraudulent medical records to his automobile insurance company in support of his signed insurance claim for compensation for bodily injuries and medical treatment, as described above in paragraphs 14 through 17, which are incorporated herein by reference.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Prepare and Present False Insurance Claim)

Respondent's pharmacist license is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 810, subdivisions (a) (1), (a) (2), and (b) in that Respondent submitted medical records, that he knew were false and he had fraudulently created, to his automobile insurance company in support of his insurance claim for compensation for bodily injuries and medical treatment. Further, Respondent cashed a check that he received from his insurance company in payment of his fraudulent insurance claim, as described above in paragraphs 14 through 17, which are incorporated herein by reference.

111

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(January 9, 2009, Conviction for Acting as a Capper for Attorney on June 16, 2006)

25. Respondent's pharmacist license is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (I) in that on January 9, 2009, Respondent was convicted of violating Business and Professions Code section 6152 (a) (I) (Acting as Capper for Attorney), a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a pharmacist, as described above in paragraphs 14 through 20 which are incorporated herein by reference.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number RPH 48836, issued to Peter Chu.
- 2. Ordering Peter Chu to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3:
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 10/27/09

VIRGINIA HEROLD

Executive Officer
Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant

]

17.

ENDORSEMENT The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs. Dated: Respectfully Submitted, EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (Accusation No. 3431)