- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
  - 5. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
  - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 6. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 3415.
  - 7. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
  - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 3415, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 3415, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.
- 9. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$5,915.00 as of March 7, 2011.

#### DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Dilpreet Singh has subjected his Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 43779 to discipline.
  - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.

Exhibit A

Accusation

1		
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California	
2	MARC D. GREENBAUM Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
3	JENNIFER S. CADY	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 100437	
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2579 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE	
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
10	STATE OF C	CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3415
12	DILPREET SINGH	ACCUSATION
13	12064 Canary Ct. Grand Terrace, CA 92313	
14	Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 43779	
15	Respondent.	
16	Complete we allowed	
17	Complainant alleges:	TTYCHO.
18	PARTIES	
19	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity	
20	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.	
21	2. On or about August 12, 2002, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy	
22	Technician License No. TCH 43779 to Dilpreet Singh (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician	
,	License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will	
23	expire on July 31, 2010, unless renewed.	
24	JURISDICTION	
25	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following	
26	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated	
27	///	÷
28		
- 1		T

## STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), provides that the suspension/expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
  - 5. Section 490 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.
- "(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."
  - 6. Section 4060 states, in pertinent part:

"No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052. This section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy,

pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer."

- 7. Section 4300 provides, in pertinent part, that every license issued by the Board is subject to discipline, including suspension or revocation.
  - 8. Section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

. . .

"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

. . .

- "(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.
- "(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.
- "(I) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the

record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

. . . .

"(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency."

#### REGULATORY PROVISIONS

9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states, in pertinent part:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

//

///

///

| //

**COST RECOVERY** 

10. Section 125.3 states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

# CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE

11. "Marijuana," is a Schedule I controlled substance as defined in Health and Safety Code section 11054(d)(13) and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022.

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Convictions of Substantially Related Crimes)

- 12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4301, subdivision (I) and 490, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that, Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows:
- a. On or about August 22, 2006, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Health and Safety Code section 11357 [possession of marijuana, 28.5 grams] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Dilpreet Singh* (Super. Ct. San Bernardino County, 2006, No. MSB094608). The Court ordered Respondent to pay fines. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about May 3, 2006, Respondent was found to be in possession of a controlled substance, to wit: Marijuana, 28.5 grams.
- b. On or about August 22, 2006, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Health and Safety Code section 11357 [possession of marijuana 28.5 grams] and one misdemeanor count of Health and Safety Code section 11364 [possession of a controlled substance paraphernalia] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Dilpreet Singh* (Super. Ct. San Bernardino County, 2006, No. MSB094270). The Court ordered Respondent to pay fines. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about April 10, 2006, during a traffic stop by a police officer from the San Bernardino County

·13

Sheriff's Department, Respondent was contacted. While speaking to Respondent, the police officer detected an odor of smoke emitting from the interior of the vehicle. Respondent admitted that he had smoked marijuana. Respondent also admitted that he had a homemade bong (a homemade smoking paraphernalia pipe) and a small amount of marijuana. When asked how much he had smoked throughout the day, Respondent admitted that he smoked a gram of marijuana prior to being stopped, and was trying to stop the habit.

c. On or about March 8, 2005, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 20002, subdivision (a) [hit and run: property damage] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Dilpreet Singh* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2005, No. 4PM07563). The Court placed Respondent on formal probation for 3 years, with terms and conditions. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about January 7, 2005, Respondent was involved in a traffic accident that resulted in property damage and failed to stop at the scene of the accident.

## SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Possession of a Controlled Substance)

13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivisions (j) and (o), for violating section 4060, in that on or about April 10, 2006 and May 3, 2006, Respondent was found to be in possession of a controlled substance. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 12, subparagraphs (a) and (b), inclusive, as though set forth fully.

#### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Use/Under the Influence of a Controlled Substance)

14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (h) and (j), in that on or about April 10, 2006, Respondent, by his own admission, used and/or was under the influence of a controlled substance. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 12, subparagraph (b), as though set forth fully.

///

///

## FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Dishonest Act)

15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (f), in that on or about August 19, 2004, Respondent committed a dishonest act. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 12, subparagraph (c), as though set forth fully.

### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 43779, issued to Respondent.
- 2. Ordering Respondent to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to section 125.3;
  - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 5/6/10

VIRGIMA HEROLD Executive Officer

Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

LA2009603607 60518631.doc (jz-4/7/10)