]]		
1		
2	·	
3	•	
4		
5		
6		
7	DEEOI	קווייי קר
8	BOARD OF	RE THE PHARMACY NONSUMED A FEADOR
9		CONSUMER AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA
10		C NL- 2205
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3295
12	EMMANUEL ROMERO 7288 Font Avenue	
13	Riverside, CA 92509	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
14	Original Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 51614	[Gov. Code, §11520]
15	Respondent.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16		
17	FINDING	<u>S OF FACT</u>
18	1. On or about October 29, 2009, Com	plainant Virginia Herold, in her official capacity
19	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharma	cy, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed
20	Accusation No. 3295 against Emmanuel Romero	o (Respondent) before the Board of Pharmacy.
21	2. On or about October 3, 2003, the Bo	ard of Pharmacy (Board) issued Original
22	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 516	514 to Respondent. The Original Pharmacy
23	Technician Registration was in full force and eff	fect at all times relevant to the charges brought
24	herein and will expire on March 31, 2011, unles	s renewed.
25	3. On or about November 3, 2009, Der	nise Hosman, an employee of the Department of
26	Justice, served by Certified and First Class Mail	a copy of the Accusation No. 3295, Statement to
27	Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Dis	covery, and Government Code sections 11507.5,
28	11507.6, and 11507.7 to Respondent's address o	f record with the Board, which was and is: 7288
ĺ	 	1
	1	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER (Case No. 3295)

ſ

1	Font Aven	ue, Riverside, CA 92509. A copy of the Accusation is attached as Exhibit A, and is
2	incorporate	ed herein by reference.
3	4.	Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of
4	Governme	nt Code section 11505, subdivision (c).
5	5.	Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
6	C1	(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent
7	files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall	
8		titute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion nevertheless grant a hearing.
9	6.	Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him
10	of the Acc	usation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No.
11.	3295.	
12	7.	California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
13	hoor	(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the
14	hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.	
15	Tespo	Undent.
16	8.	Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds
17	Responder	nt is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the
18	evidence c	on file herein, finds that the allegations in Accusation No. 3295 are true.
19	9.	The total cost for investigation and enforcement in connection with the Accusation
20	are \$3,680	.00 as of December 8, 2009.
21		DETERMINATION OF ISSUES
22	1.	Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Emmanuel Romero has
23	subjected	his Original Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 51614 to discipline.
24	2.	A copy of the Accusation is attached.
25	3.	The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
26	4.	The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Original Pharmacy
27	Technician	n Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation:
28		
i	1	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER (Case No. 3295)

1	a. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business and Professions Code (Code)
2	section 4301(f) for acts of dishonesty, fraud or deceit when on or about October 22,
3	2007, Respondent admitted to his employer that he had been stealing bottles of
4	Hydrocodone/APAP from Pharmacy Express for two years.
5	b. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(j) in conjunction
6	with Code section 4060 for possession of a controlled substance without a legitimate
7	prescription, when he possessed Hydrocodone/APAP that he stole from his employer
8	over a two year period.
9	c. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(j) in conjunction
10	with Code section 4059(a) and Health & Safety Code section 11170 for illegally
11	furnishing a controlled substance to himself when he stole Hydrocodone/APAP from
12	his employer for over a two year period.
13	d. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(j) in conjunction
14	with Health & Safety Code section 11173(a) for obtaining a controlled substance by
15	fraud, deceit, subterfuge or concealment of a material fact when he stole
16	Hydrocodone/APAP from his employer for over a two year period.
17	e. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(1) for conviction of a
18	crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a pharmacy
19	technician for Respondent stealing approximately 42,546 Hydrocodone/APAP pills
20	from his employer Express Pharmacy.
21	ORDER
22	IT IS SO ORDERED that Original Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 51614,
23	heretofore issued to Respondent Emmanuel Romero, is revoked.
24	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a
25	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within
26	
27	
28	111
	3
	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER (Case No. 3295)

1	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may
2	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.
3	This Decision shall become effective on April 8, 2010.
4	It is so ORDERED March 9, 2010.
5	Benneth H. Scheel
6	KENNETH H. SCHELL, BOARD PRESIDENT
7	FOR THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
8	
9.	80412453.DOC DOJ docket number:SD2009803785
10	Attachment:
11	Exhibit A: Accusation No.3295
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	4 DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER (Case No. 3295)
	DEFAULT DECISION AND OKDER (Case No. 3293

Exhibit A Accusation No. 3295

• 1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General		
2	of the State of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General RITA M. LANE, State Bar No. 171352		
4	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice		
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101		
6	P.O. Box 85266		
7	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2614		
8	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
. 9	Attorneys for Complainant		
10	BEFORE	rffr.	
10	BOARD OF PHA DEPARTMENT OF CON	ARMACY	
11	STATE OF CAL		
	In the Matter of the Accuration Against	Case No. 3295	
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case 110. 5295	
14 15	EMMANUEL ROMERO 7288 Font Avenue Riverside, CA 92509	ACCUSATION	
16	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 51614		
17	Respondent.		
18		1	
19	Complainant alleges:		
20	PARTIE		
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring		
22	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Ph		
23	2. On or about October 3, 2003, the Boa		
24	Registration Number TCH 51614 to Emmanuel Romero (Respondent). The Pharmacy		
25	Technician registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought		
26	herein and will expire on March 31, 2011, unless rea	herein and will expire on March 31, 2011, unless renewed.	
27	///		
28	///		
	. 1	· .	

.

ł	
1	JURISDICTION
2	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of
3	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws of the Business and Professions
4	Code:
5	4. Section 4300(a) of the Code states in pertinent part:
6	(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.
7	5. Section 118(b) of the Code provides that the expiration of a license shall not
8	deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within
9	which the licensee may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
10	STATUTORY PROVISIONS
11	6. Section 4301 of the Code states in pertinent part:
12	The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or
13	misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:
14	is not minted to, any of the following.
15	(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty,
16	fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
17	
18	(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of
19	the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.
20	
21	(I) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of
22	a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the
23	statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of
24	conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the
25	commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine
26	if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty
27	or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time
28	for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal
	2

ł

or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

7.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

25

26

:27

28

Section 4059 of the Code states:

(a) A person may not furnish any dangerous drug, except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7.

8. Section 4060 of the Code states:

No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052. This section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer.

Nothing in this section authorizes a certified nurse-midwife, a nurse practitioner, a physician assistant, or a naturopathic doctor, to order his or her own stock of dangerous drugs and devices.

9. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may

19 suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime

20 substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for

21 which the license was issued.

10. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

. 1 2	As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."
· 3	11. Health & Safety Code (H&S Code) section 11170 states that "[n]o person shall
4	prescribe, administer, or furnish a controlled substance for himself."
5	12. H&S Code section 11173 states in pertinent part that no person shall obtain a
·6	controlled substance by fraud, deceit, subterfuge or concealment of a material fact.
7	REGULATORY PROVISIONS
8	13. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states:
9 10 11 12	For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, function or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by this license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.
13	<u>COST RECOVERY</u>
14	14. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request
15	the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or
16	violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation
17	and enforcement of the case.
18	DRUG
19	15. Hydrocodone/APAP is a narcotic Schedule III controlled substance, pursuant to
20	H&S Code section 11056(e)(4).
21	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
22	(Unprofessional Conduct: Acts of Dishonesty, Fraud and Deceit)
23	16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(f) for acts of
24	dishonesty, fraud or deceit, as more particularly alleged below:
25	a. On October 22, 2007, Respondent was employed as a pharmacy technician at
26	Pharmacy Express in Riverside, California and had been working there for almost four years.
27	b. On or about October 22, 2007, Respondent admitted to his employer that he had
28	been stealing bottles of Hydrocodone/APAP from Pharmacy Express for the last two years.
	4

• .

Respondent ordered large quantities of Hydrocodone/APAP for Pharmacy Express. Once the
 Hydrocodone/APAP was delivered to the pharmacy, Respondent took the boxes of medication to
 the bathroom or storage room, placed the medications in a bag and later placed the bag of
 medications in his car. Respondent admitted that he began taking two bottles a week and then
 increased the amount after a few weeks. Respondent also admitted to his employer that he
 consumed 50 to 60 Hydrocodone/APAP pills a day for pain in his lower back.

c. Pharmacy Express initiated an audit of the Hydrocodone/APAP purchased and
dispensed at Pharmacy Express during the time period Respondent was stealing
Hydrocodone/APAP from Pharmacy Express. The Board also initiated an audit which showed
that there were 42,546 tablets of Hydrocodone/APAP that were missing and that could not be
accounted for between April 25, 2006 and November 10, 2007. On November 18, 2007,
Respondent admitted to police that he was responsible for taking all of the Hydrocodone/APAP
that was identified as missing in the audits.

14

15

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct: Possession of a Controlled Substance W/O Prescription)

16 17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(j) in conjunction
17 with section 4060 for possession of a controlled substance without a legitimate prescription, as
18 more particularly alleged in paragraphs 16(a), (b) and (c) above and incorporated herein by
19 reference.

20

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct: Illegal Furnishing of a Controlled Substance to Oneself)
 18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(j) in conjunction
 with section 4059(a) and H&S Code section 11170 for illegally furnishing a controlled substance
 to himself, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 16(a), (b) and (c) above and incorporated
 herein by reference.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct: Obtaining a Controlled Substance by Fraud, Subterfuge)
19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(j) in conjunction
with H&S Code section 11173(a) for obtaining a controlled substance by fraud, deceit,
subterfuge or concealment of a material fact, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 16(a),
(b) and (c) above and incorporated herein by reference.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(May 22, 2008 Criminal Conviction - Grand Theft from January 2006 to November 2007)

9 20. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(l) for conviction
10 for a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a pharmacy
11 technician, as more particularly alleged below:

a. On or about May 22, 2008, in Riverside County Superior Court Case No.
 RIF141687, Respondent pled guilty to a violation of Penal Code section 487(a) (grand
 theft/exceeds \$400), a felony.

b. The facts and circumstances surrounding the conviction are that Respondent stole
approximately 42,546 Hydrocodone/APAP pills from his employer Express Pharmacy as set
forth in paragraphs 16(a), (b) and (c) above and incorporated herein by reference.

c. Respondent was sentenced to serve 120 days in jail, placed on formal probation
for 36 months and ordered to pay various fines and restitution.

20

1

7

8

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein
alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 51614,
 issued to Emmanuel Romero;

Ordering Emmanuel Rombero to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs
 of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code
 section 125.3; and

28 ///

1	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
2	DATED: 10/29/09
3	
4	
5	VIRGINIA HEROLD
6	Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy
	Department of Consumer Affairs
7	VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant
8	
9	SD2009803785
10	70200910.wpd
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
-17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	7

,