## BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Case No. 3204

OAH No. 2009020266

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

### KAREN D. GODFREY

543 S. Willowspring Drive Encinitas, CA 92024

Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 35412

Respondent.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby adopted by the

Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This decision shall become effective on August 14, 2009

It is so ORDERED on <u>July 15, 2009</u>

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

KÉNNETH H. SCHELL Board President

,		n	
:	÷ /	· · · · ·	
• • •			
	1	EDMIND G BROWN IP Atterney General	
	2	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California	
		JAMES M. LEDAKIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
	3	KARÊN L. GORDON, State Bar No. 137969 Deputy Attorney General	
	4	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101	
	5	P.O. Box 85266	
	6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266	
	7	Telephone: (619) 645-2073 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
	8	Attorneys for Complainant	
	· 9	BEFORE T	HE
	10	BOARD OF PHA DEPARTMENT OF CONS	
	11	STATE OF CALI	
	12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3204
	13	KAREN D. GODFREY	OAH No. 2009020266
	13	543 S. Willowspring Drive Encinitas, CA 92024	STIPULATED SURRENDER
·		Pharmacy Technician	OF LICENSE AND ORDER
	15	Registration No. TCH 35412	
	16	Respondent.	
	17		
4	18	In the interest of a prompt and speedy	resolution of this matter, consistent with the
	19	public interest and the responsibility of the Board of I	Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer
•	20	Affairs, the parties hereby agree to the following Stip	ulated Surrender of License and Order
	21	which will be submitted to the Board for approval and	d adoption as the final disposition of the
	22	Accusation.	
,	23	PARTIES	5
	24	)	) is the Executive Officer of the Board of
	25	Pharmacy. She brought this action solely in her office	
	26	by Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney General of the Sta	
	27	Deputy Attorney General.	
	28		
	20		

٠,

2. Karen D. Godfrey (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by
 attorney Burton W. Guetz, whose address is 6046 Cornerstone Court West, Suite 201, San
 Diego, CA 92121.

3. On or about December 11, 2000, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy
 Technician Registration No. TCH 35412 to Karen D. Godfrey (Respondent). The registration
 was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 3204
 and will expire on January 31, 2010, unless renewed.

#### JURISDICTION

4. Accusation No. 3204 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board),
Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation
and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on November 7,
2008. Respondent timely filed her Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of
Accusation No. 3204 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

#### ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

15 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and
16 understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 3204. Respondent also has carefully
17 read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Surrender of
18 License and Order.

Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the
 right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and
 cross-examine the witnesses against her; the right to present evidence and to testify on her own
 behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the
 production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision;
 and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable
 laws.

26 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up
27 each and every right set forth above.

28 ///

8

14

#### **CULPABILITY**

8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in
 Accusation No. 3204, agrees that cause exists for discipline and hereby surrenders her Pharmacy
 Technician Registration No. TCH 35412 for the Board's formal acceptance.

9. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation she enables the
Board to issue an order accepting the surrender of her Pharmacy Technician Registration without
further process.

#### **CONTINGENCY**

9 10. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. 10 Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of 11 Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and surrender, 12 without notice to or participation by Respondent or her counsel. By signing the stipulation, 13 Respondent understands and agrees that she may not withdraw her agreement or seek to rescind 14 the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Surrender and Disciplinary Order shall 15 be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action 16 17 between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having 18 considered this matter.

19 11. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated
20 Surrender of License and Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force
21 and effect as the originals.

12. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties
agree that the (Board) may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the
following Order:

25 ///

1

8

- 26 ///
- 27 ///
- 28 ///

#### **ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH
 35412, issued to Respondent Karen D. Godfrey is surrendered and accepted by the Board of
 Pharmacy.

The surrender of Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration and the
 acceptance of the surrendered license by the Board shall constitute the imposition of discipline
 against Respondent. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a
 part of Respondent's license history with the Board.

9 2. Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a Pharmacy Technician
10 in California as of the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.

Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Board both her wall license
 certificate and, if one was issued, pocket license on or before the effective date of the Decision
 and Order.

4. If she ever applies for licensure or petitions for reinstatement in the State of
California, the Board shall treat it as a new application for licensure. Respondent must comply
with all the laws, regulations and procedures for licensure in effect at the time the application or
petition is filed, and all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 3204 shall be
deemed to be true, correct and admitted by Respondent when the Board determines whether to
grant or deny the application or petition.

5. Should Respondent ever apply or reapply for a new license, registration, or
certification, or petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other health care licensing agency
in the State of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 3204
shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement
of Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict licensure.

6. Should Respondent ever apply for a new registration or license, she shall
pay the Board its costs of enforcement in this case in the amount of \$1,525.50, prior to issuance
of a new registration or license.

28 ///

1

1	7. Respondent shall not apply for licensure for three (3) years from the
2	effective date of the Board of Pharmacy's Decision and Order.
3	ACCEPTANCE
4	I have carefully read the above Stipulated Surrender of License and Order and
5	have fully discussed it with my attorney, Burton W. Guetz. I understand the stipulation and the
6	effect it will have on my Pharmacy Technician Registration. I enter into this Stipulated
· 7	Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound
8	by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.
9	DATED: 3/31/09
10	
11	KAREND CODEPEN
12	Respondent
13	
14	APPROVAL
15	I have read and fully discussed with Karen D. Godfrey the terms and conditions
16	and other matters contained in this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I approve its form
17	and content.
18	DATED: $\frac{3}{31} 20^{57}$
19	H o N
20	BURTON W. GUETZ
21	Attorney for Respondent
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1		ENDORSEMENT
2	The foregoing Stipul	ated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully
3	submitted for consideration by the E	Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs.
4		
5	DATED: <u>4-3-09</u>	
6	· · · · · ·	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California
7 8		JAMES M. LEDAKIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General
9	، ۰۰ ر	
10		
11		KAREN L. GORDON
12		Deputy Attorney General
13		Attorneys for Complainant
14	DOJ Matter ID: SD2008802079	
15	Godfrey.Stipulated Surrender.wpd	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24 25		
23 26		
20	, ,	
27		
		6

·

ſ

¢ .

# Exhibit A

Accusation No. 3204

n <sup>s</sup>			
	,		
	1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California	
	2	JAMES M. LEDAKIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
	.3	AREN L. GORDON, State Bar No. 137969 Deputy Attorney General	
	4	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101	
	5	P.O. Box 85266	
•	6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2073	
	7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
	8	Attorneys for Complainant	
	9	BEFORE T BOARD OF PHA	
	10	DEPARTMENT OF CON	SUMER AFFAIRS
	11	STATE OF CAL	IFURNIA
	12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3204
	13	KAREN D. GODFREY	ACCUSATION
	14	543 S. Willowspring Drive Encinitas, CA 92024	
• . •	15	Pharmacy Technician Reg. No. TCH 35412	
•	16	Respondent.	
	17		
	18	Complainant alleges:	
	19	PARTIE	S
	20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant	) brings this Accusation solely in her official
	21	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pha	
	22	· · ·	00, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy
	23	Technician Registration Number TCH 35412 to Kar	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	24	Technician Registration was in full force and effect	
	25	herein and will expire on January 31, 2010, unless re	
	26		
·	27		
	28		
	- •		
		1	

II	
1	JURISDICTION
2	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board),
3	Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section
4	references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
5	4. Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code states "Every license issued may
6	be suspended or revoked."
7	5. Section 4301 of the Code states:
8 9	The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but
10	is not limited to, any of the following:
11	
12	(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or
13	misdemeanor or not.
14	••••
15	(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a
16 17	manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the
18	practice authorized by the license.
19	••••
20	(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.
21	(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony
22	involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.
23	(l) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the
24 25	qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title
25 26	21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of
27	conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the
28	commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine
	2

if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

6. Section 4060 of the Code states:

No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052.

Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may

suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime

17 || substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for

18 which the license was issued.

7.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

8. Section 492 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, successful completion of any diversion program under the Penal Code, or successful completion of an alcohol and drug problem assessment program under Article 5 (commencing with section 23249.50) of Chapter 12 of Division 11 of the Vehicle Code, shall not prohibit any agency established under Division 2 ([Healing Arts] commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division, from taking disciplinary action against a licensee or from denying a license for professional misconduct, notwithstanding that evidence of that misconduct may be recorded in a record pertaining to an arrest.

9. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a

person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has 1 been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be 2 conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of 3 the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in 4 question. 5 As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration." 6 7 10. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may 8 request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or 9 violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case. 10 California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states: 11 11. For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or 12 facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially 13 related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or 14 registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. 15 California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, states: 16 12. 17 (b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a 18 personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been 19 convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria: 20 (1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s). 21 (2) Total criminal record. 22 (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s). 23 (4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, 24 restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee. 25 (5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee. 26 111 27 111 28 111 4

	1	DRUGS
	2	13. <u>Cocaine</u> is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and
	3	Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(6), and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business &
	4	Professions Code section 4022.
	5	14. <u>Marijuana</u> is a Schedule I controlled substance as designated by Health
	6	and Safety Code section 11054, subdivision (d)(13), and is a dangerous drug pursuant to
	7	Business & Professions Code section 4022.
· ·	8	15. <u>Methamphetamine</u> is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by
	9	Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (d)(2), and is a dangerous drug pursuant to
	10	Business and Professions Code section 4022.
· .	11	16. Section 4022 of the Code states:
·	12	"Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for self-use in humans or animals, and includes the following:
	13 14	(a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import.
	15	(b) Any device that bears the statement: "Caution: federal law
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	16	restricts this device to sale by or on the order of a," "Rx only," or words of similar import, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to use or order use of the device.
	17 18	(c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006.
	19	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
	20	(September 18, 2006 Criminal Conviction for Possession of a
	21	Controlled Substance on May 20, 2005)
	22	17. Respondent has subjected her license to discipline under sections 490 and
	23	4301, subdivision (1) of the Code in that she was convicted of a crime that is substantially related
	24	to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as
	25	follows:
	26	a. On or about June 21, 2005, in a criminal proceeding entitled
	27	People of the State of California v. Karen D. Godfrey, San Diego County Superior Court (North
	28	County), case number SCN195199, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty of violating

Health and Safety Code section 11350, subdivision (a), possession of a controlled substance, to
 wit, cocaine, a felony.

b. As a result of the conviction, on or about June 21, 2005,
Respondent was sentenced to one day in the county jail (with credit for time served), three years
formal probation, and payment of fines, fees and restitution in the amount of \$600. Respondent
was further ordered to complete a drug treatment program, attend AA/NA or other self help
group, totally abstain from alcohol and drugs, and other standard terms and conditions.

c. At a hearing on or about September 18, 2006, Respondent's formal
probation was revoked and she was ordered to serve 270 days in the county jail, to be served
concurrently with the sentence imposed in case number SCN206343. (See paragraph 21, below.)

d. The facts that led to the conviction were that on or about the
morning of May 20, 2005, the San Diego County Sheriff's Department Street Narcotic and Gang
Detail served a search warrant at the Cardiff home of Respondent. As the deputies made entry
into the residence, a pit bull exited the open door and began barking loudly, which compromised
the team. The team shouted loudly, identifying themselves and demanding entry. Respondent
was detained, handcuffed, and placed on the floor in the hallway outside the master bedroom. It
was determined that Respondent and a second person were the only occupants of the house.

e. During a search of the living room, detectives found plastic
wrapping in a large trash bag. Based on their training and experience, the detectives recognized
the plastic wrapping as the method for which large amounts of drugs from Mexico are packaged
and transported. An empty prescription bottle with Respondent's name contained white residue
that tested positive for cocaine.

f. In the master bedroom, detectives found .37 grams of cocaine, a
large spoon with residue, numerous zip baggies with cocaine residue, a small zip baggie with an
unknown capsule, and a plastic bottle containing one Diazepam and six Alprazolam pills. In a
red purse on the bed were documents in the name of Respondent, drug transaction pay/owe
sheets, and a copper scour pad. (Copper scour pads, or "Brillo" pads are used as a filter and to
hold the crack cocaine rock in place in the pipe.) On top of the bed was a 9mm handgun

magazine with 10 rounds. A bulk quantity of plastic zip baggies were found throughout the
bedroom. Additional pay/owe sheets and a cell phone were found on a dresser. A glass crack
smoking pipe was found on the floor next to the bed. Thirty-one 25-caliber bullets were found in
a plastic container on a shelf, and another copper scour pad was found in a cup under the
bedroom window.

g. In the kitchen, detectives found more pay/owe sheets along with
documents in Respondent's name in a purse on a table. Drug packaging was found on the
kitchen counter. A second bedroom was searched. It was empty except for a plastic cart with
drawers and a chair. On top of the cart was assorted drug paraphernalia (smoking cans, burnt
spoons, cans of water, mirror, etc.). Inside the top drawer was a baggie containing 16.85 grams
of marijuana, a small baggie containing .78 grams of a white powder, a plastic bottle containing
one unknown pill, drug packaging, and white residue in the corner of the drawer.

13 h. Respondent initiated a conversation with the detectives. She stated 14 that a lot of people come and go from the residence and she did not have control over it. Her 15 boyfriend suffered a stroke three months earlier, and she was left with the house while he 16 recuperated at his ex-wife's house. Respondent stated that she had not worked in three years and was unable to keep up with the rent and bills. Respondent stated that she began using illegal 17 18 drugs 1 ½ years earlier; she started with methamphetamine and progressed to crack cocaine. Her 19 drug lifestyle included a large number of people coming and going, but she looked the other way. 20 Respondent ended the interview by stating "Charge me with it all!" Respondent was booked into 21 the Vista Detention Facility.

i. A felony complaint was filed on or about May 24, 2005 charging
Respondent with maintaining a place for the purpose of unlawfully selling, giving away, and
using a controlled substance (Health & Saf. Code, § 11366); two counts of possession of a
controlled substance (cocaine and methamphetamine) (Health & Saf. Code, § 11350, subd. (a));
possession of paraphernalia used for narcotics (Health & Saf. Code, § 11364); under the
influence of a controlled substance (methamphetamine) (Health & Saf. Code, § 11550, subd. (a));
possession of less 28.5 grams of marijuana (Health & Saf. Code, § 11357, subd. (b)).

ʻ1 SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Unprofessional Conduct - Illegal Possession of Controlled Substances on May 20, 2005) 2 18. 3 Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4301. subdivision (j) and 4060 of the Code in that on or about May 20, 2005, Respondent possessed 4 controlled substances and dangerous drugs without a prescription in violation of the statutes of 5 this state as detailed in paragraph 17, above. Respondent offered the following facts as the basis 6 for her guilty plea in case number CN195199: "On or about May 20, 2005, Karen Godfrey 7 8 possessed a useable amount of cocaine knowingly." 9 THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 10 (Unprofessional Conduct - Illegal Use of Controlled Substances & Dangerous Drugs) 11 19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4301, subdivisions (h) and (i) of the Code, in that on or about May 20, 2005, while being interviewed 12 by detectives from the San Diego County Sheriff's Department, Respondent admitted that she 13 had been using methamphetamine and cocaine for at least 1 1/2 years, and that she had last used 14 15 cocaine the night before her arrest, as detailed in paragraph 17, above. 16 FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 17 (Unprofessional Conduct - Commission of Acts Involving Moral Turpitude & Corruption) 18 20. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, 19 subdivision (f) of the Code, in that on or about May 20, 2005, while being interviewed by 20 detectives from the San Diego County Sheriff's Department, Respondent stated that she 21 knowingly maintained a place for the purpose of using illegal drugs. The arrest report contained 22. statements by sworn law enforcement officers that Respondent's house had evidence of drug 23 sales, including numerous drug transaction pay/owe sheets and drug packaging materials, all 24 within the custody and control of Respondent, as detailed in paragraph 17, above. Two persons 25 who left Respondent's residence minutes prior to the service of the warrant were found in 26 possession of controlled substances and drug paraphernalia and were subsequently arrested. 27 111 28 111

#### 1 FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 2 (March 2, 2006 Criminal Conviction for Possession of Controlled Substances for Sale on January 24, 2006) 3 21. Respondent has subjected her license to discipline under sections 490 and 4 4301, subdivision (1) of the Code in that she was convicted of a crime that is substantially related 5 to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as 6 7 follows: 8 a. On or about March 2, 2006, in a criminal proceeding entitled People of the State of California v. Karen D. Godfrey, San Diego County Superior Court (North 9 County), case number CN206343, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty of violating 10 Health and Safety Code section 11351, unlawful possession of controlled substances for sale, to 11 12 wit, cocaine, a felony. As a result of the conviction, on or about September 18, 2006, 13 b. Respondent was sentenced to serve 270 days in the county jail, with credit for 56 days, to be 14 15 served concurrently with the sentence imposed in case number SCN195199. (See paragraph 17, 16 above.) Respondent was further sentenced to three years formal probation until September 17, 17 2009, and ordered to pay fees, fines, and restitution in the amount of \$459, plus probation costs. 1.8 The facts that led to the conviction were that on or about the c. 19 afternoon of January 24, 2006, a deputy from the San Diego County Sheriff's Department was on 20 patrol in Encinitas when he observed Respondent make an unsafe lane change while driving her 21 vchicle. After stopping Respondent, she provided the deputy with an expired driver's license. 22 Respondent admitted that she had drugs in the car. A search revealed a black shaving kit type 23 bag on the driver's side floorboard. Inside the center pouch of the shaving kit was a ziplock 24 baggie containing approximately 10.45 grams of a white powdery substance that tested positive 25 for cocaine. In a side pouch of the kit was another ziplock baggie containing 22.58 grams of a 26 white powdery substance that Respondent later admitted was Borax, a cutting agent used by drug 27 dealers to increase the quantity of cocaine, thereby increasing the profit from its sale. Also in the shaving kit was an electronic scale covered in cocaine, several spoons with cocaine residue, a 28

glass pipe used for smoking cocaine, and small pieces of steel wool used to hold the cocaine in the pipe. There were two prescription bottles for Respondent for the drug Alprazolam. Inside a 2 brown purse on the driver's floor were numerous, detailed pay/owe sheets that indicated 3 4 Respondent was involved in selling drugs in moderately large amounts and very frequently.

5 d. Respondent was arrested and taken to the sheriff's station. During a search of her person, a female deputy found a small bundle of cocaine in Respondent's back 6 7 pocket. A detective noted that Respondent appeared to be under the influence of a controlled 8 substance; she had dilated pupils, red watery eyes, and a red, flushed face. Respondent admitted 9 that the shaving kit and the drugs belonged to her and that she had been selling cocaine for at least two weeks. Respondent admitted to selling about three ounces of cocaine per day, and 10 confirmed that the documents found in her purse were pay/owe sheets for drug transactions. 11 12 Respondent told the detective that she had been living in various motels in the Encinitas area. A 13 search of Respondent's current motel revealed documents, mail, credit cards, check book and other items in Respondent's name. The detective also found more empty ziplock baggies, two 14 spoons with cocaine residue, medication bottles, and pay/owe sheets. 15

#### SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Illegal Possession of Controlled Substance on January 24, 2006) 17

18 22. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4301, 19 subdivision (i) and 4060 of the Code in that on or about January 24, 2006, Respondent possessed 20 a controlled substance and dangerous drug without a prescription in violation of the statutes of 21 this state as detailed in paragraph 21, above. Respondent offered the following facts as the basis for her guilty plea in case number CN206343; "Possessed a useable amount of cocaine for 22 23 purpose of sale."

24

16

1

#### SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

25 (Unprofessional Conduct - Illegal Use of Controlled Substance on January 24, 2006) .26 23. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4301, 27 subdivisions (h) and (j) of the Code, in that on or about January 24, 2006, while being 28 interviewed by detectives from the San Diego County Sheriff's Department, Respondent

exhibited signs and symptoms consistent with being under the influence of a controlled
 substance, as detailed in paragraph 21, above.

# EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Conviction of More Than One Felony Involving Dangerous Drugs)

Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, 24. subdivisions (k) of the Code, in that Respondent suffered two separate convictions involving dangerous drugs. On or about June 21, 2005, in a criminal proceeding entitled People of the State of California v. Karen D. Godfrey, San Diego County Superior Court (North County), case number SCN195199, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty of violating Health and Safety Code section 11350, subdivision (a), possession of a controlled substance, to wit, cocaine, a felony. On or about March 2, 2006, in a criminal proceeding entitled People of the State of California v. Karen D. Godfrey, San Diego County Superior Court (North County), case number CN206343, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty of violating Health and Safety Code section 11351, unlawful possession of controlled substances for sale, to wit, cocaine, a felony. (See paragraphs 17 and 21, above.)

16 ///

18 ///

1	PRAYER
2	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein
3	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:
4	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH
5	35412, issued to Karen D. Godfrey;
6	2. Ordering Karen D. Godfrey to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable
7	costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions
8	Code section 125.3;
-9	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
10	
11	DATED: 11/7/08
-12	
13	l'inpinich la 1
14	VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer
15	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs
16	State of California Complainant
17	
18	
19	SD2008802079
20	Godfrey.Accusation.wpd
21	
22	
23 24	
24	
26	
27	
28	
	10
Í	12