1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General					
2	of the State of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER, State Bar No. 101336					
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General AMANDA DODDS					
4	Legal Analyst 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100					
5	San Diego, CA 92101					
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266					
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2141 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061					
8	Attorneys for Complainant					
9						
10	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY					
11	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3188				
13	HEIDI DORA MINSON 2092 Jeremy Lane	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER				
14	Escondido, CA 92025					
15	Pharmacy Technician No. TCH 46372	[Gov. Code, §11520]				
16	Respondent.					
17		l ·				
18	FINDINGS OF	FFACT				
19	1. On or about August 28, 2008, Complainant Virginia Herold, in her official					
20	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs,					
21	filed Accusation No. 3188 against Heidi Dora Minson (Respondent) before the Board of					
22	Pharmacy.					
23	2. On or about August 4, 2003, t	he Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued				
24	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 46372 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician					
25	Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and					
26	will expire on October 31, 2010, unless renewed.					
27	3. On or about September 3, 200	08, Charlette Sheppard, an employee of the				
28	Department of Justice, served by Certified and First Class Mail a copy of the Accusation					

upon her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of

27

28

Accusation No. 3188.

1	c. Respondent subjected her registration to discipline under section					
2	4301, subdivision (j) of the Code in that on or about May 14, 2005, Respondent					
3	possessed narcotics paraphernalia, a violation of California's controlled substance					
4	statutes.					
5	<u>ORDER</u>					
6	IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 46372,					
7	heretofore issued to Respondent Heidi Dora Minson, is revoked.					
8	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may					
9	serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on					
10	within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion					
11	may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the					
12	statute.					
13	This Decision shall become effective on <u>January 22, 2009</u> .					
14						
15	It is so ORDERED December 23, 2008					
16						
17	BOARD OF PHARMACY					
18	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
19						
20	By Preced Solid					
21	By KENNETH H. SCHELL					
22	Board President					
23						
24						
25						
26	TO 1.1.1. A GD202001400					
27	DOJ docket number: SD2008801690					
28	Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation No. 3188					

Exhibit A Accusation No. 3188

	·							
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California							
2	LINDA K. SCHNEIDER, State Bar No. 101336							
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General AMANDA DODDS Local Amelyat							
4	Legal Analyst 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101							
5	P.O. Box 85266							
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2141							
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061							
8	Attorneys for Complainant							
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY							
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA							
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA							
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 3188							
13	HEIDI DORA MINSON 2092 Jeremy Lane ACCUSATION							
14	Escondido, CA 92025							
15	Pharmacy Tech. Registration No. TCH 46372							
16	Respondent.							
17								
18	Complainant alleges:							
19	<u>PARTIES</u>							
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her							
21	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer							
22	Affairs.							
23	2. On or about August 4, 2003, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy							
24	Technician Registration No. TCH 46372 to Heidi Dora Minson (Respondent). The Pharmacy							
25	Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought							
26	herein and will expire on October 31, 2010, unless renewed.							
27								
28								

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Section 477 of the Code states:

As used in this division:

- (a) "Board" includes "bureau," "commission," "committee," "department," "division," "examining committee," "program," and "agency."
- (b) "License" includes certificate, registration or other means to engage in a business or profession regulated by this code.
- 5. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension/expiration/surrender/cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

6. Section 490 of the Code states:

A board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action which a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.

7. Section 492 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, successful completion of any diversion program under the Penal Code, or successful completion of an alcohol and drug problem assessment program under Article 5 (commencing with section 23249.50) of Chapter 12 of Division 11 of the Vehicle Code, shall not prohibit any agency established under Division 2 ([Healing Arts] commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division, from taking disciplinary action against a licensee or from denying a license for professional misconduct, notwithstanding that evidence of that misconduct may be recorded in a record pertaining to an arrest.

,	9 9 4 4200 51 6 1						
1	8. Section 4300 of the Code states:						
2	(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.						
3	(b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board						
4	and found guilty, by any of the following methods:						
5	(1) Suspending judgment.						
6	(2) Placing him or her upon probation.						
7	(3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.						
8	(4) Revoking his or her license.						
9	(5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper.						
1							
2	9. Section 4301 of the Code states:						
3	The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but						
4							
5	is not limited to, any of the following:						
6							
7	(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.						
18	as a mediace of outerwise, and whether the act is a relouty of impacticality of information of flot.						
9							
20	(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.						
21							
22	(l) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications,						
23	functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the						
24	United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be						
25	conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction						
26	occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of						
27	a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications,						
28	functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction						

1 2	within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of							
3	sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea							
4	of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.							
5								
6	10. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may							
7	request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or							
8	violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation							
9	and enforcement of the case.							
10	10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:							
11	For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the							
12	Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a							
13	substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a							
14	manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.							
15	11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769 states:							
16	••••							
17	(b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been							
18	convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:							
19	(1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).							
20	(2) Total criminal record.							
21	(3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or							
22	offense(s).							
23	(4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.							
24 25	(5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.							
26								
27								
28								

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(July 18, 2007 Criminal Conviction for Receiving a Stolen Vehicle on July 4, 2007)

- 12. Respondent subjected her license to discipline under sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (l) of the Code in that she was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about July 18, 2007, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Heidi Minson*, in San Diego County Superior Court (Central Division), case number CD207620, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty of violating Penal Code section 496d, receiving a stolen vehicle. The felony charge was reduced to a misdemeanor pursuant to Penal Code section 17, subdivision (b).
- b. As a result of the conviction, on or about July 18, 2007,
 Respondent was sentenced to three years summary probation, completion of 10 days public service, and payment of fees, fines, and restitution.
- c. The facts that led to the conviction were that on or about the evening of July 4, 2007, a patrol officer from the San Diego Police Department ran the license plate of a possible stolen vehicle that was in a Clairemont parking lot. The dispatcher told the officer that the vehicle was reported stolen. As the officer ran the plate, a male occupant exited the stolen vehicle and got into a vehicle driven by Respondent. Respondent and the male companion departed the parking lot. The police conducted a hot stop on Respondent's vehicle wherein she and her passenger where removed from the vehicle at gun point. Respondent gave a voluntary statement to the officer that she knew the vehicle was stolen. She reported that a male (name unknown) had dropped off the vehicle at her Escondido apartment. Respondent's boyfriend, Brian, started the stolen vehicle and had Respondent follow him in her car to the parking lot in Clairemont. Brian told Respondent he was going to trade the stolen vehicle for methamphetamine. When the police arrived, the male companion jumped into Respondent's car and told her to drive. Both Respondent and Brian were arrested and booked for taking a vehicle without the owner's consent (Veh. Code, § 10851(a)); possession of a stolen vehicle (Pen. Code,

PRAYER WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision: 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 46372, issued to Heidi Dora Minson; 2. Ordering Heidi Dora Minson to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. dated: <u>8/28/68</u> Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant SD2008801690 80260818.wpd

1300 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Billing Inquiries: (916) 324-5090



Cost-of-Suit Summary

Matter ID: SD2008801690

Description Minson, Heidi Dora

As Of 10/08/2008

Date Opened: 07/02/2008

Total Legal Costs:

\$1,291.00

Cost-of-Suit:

\$0.00

Grand Total:

\$1,291.00

Description withs	on, neidi D	Oi a				,	Orana		Ψ1,231.00
Matter Time Activi	ty Summar	y	Cost-of-S	uite					
Rate	Hrs Wrkd	Amount	Entry #	Journal Date Vendor#	<u>Vendor</u>		<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Reference</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Professional Type: Att	orney		Client Agen	cy:					
FY: 2008-2009			FY:						
\$158.00	0.50	\$79.00	Compo	nent Description:					
FY 2008-20	009 Total:	\$79.00							\$0.00
Attori	ney Total:	\$79.00						Total:	\$0.00
Professional Type:⊱Pa	ralegal				٠,			FY Total:	\$0.00
FY: 2008-2009				•			Clien	it Agency Total:	\$0.00
\$101.00	12.00	\$1,212.00	100					Cost-of-Suit:	\$0.00
FY 2008-20	009 Total:	\$1,212.00	* Denotes	soft costs which are not inclu	ded in totals.			=	40.00
Parale	gal Total:	\$1,212.00							
Total Lec	gal Costs:	\$1,291.00							

2. Article Number 7160 3901 9845 7121 5548	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY A. Received by (Please Print Clearly) B. Date of Delivery (1) 20 08 C. Signature X. Agent Addressee D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes
3. Service Type CERTIFIED MAIL 4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) Yes 1. Article Addressed to:	If YES, enter delivery address pelow.
Heidi Dora Minson 2092 Jeremy Lane Escondido, CA 92025	9: FR
	SD2008801690 Amanda Dodds 2 nd 190. Packer
PS Form 3811, January 2005 Domestic F	leturn Receipt