1	LEMONE G. BROWN SR.		
2	1 AC D COMBENDATORS		
3	1 THERE IS IN STRICTED		
4	State But 110: 207550		
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2542		
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2342  Attorneys for Complainant		
7	BEFORE THE		
8	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10		No. 3164	
11	Case	110. 3104	
12		AULT DECISION AND ORDER	
13	MENDOZA-NAVARRO	MOLI DECISION AND ORDER	
14		. Code, §11520]	
15	Tespondent.		
16	•		
17	FINDINGS OF FACT		
18	1. On or about April 10, 2009, Complainant Virginia Herold, in her official capacity as		
19 20	the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed		
21	Accusation No. 3164 against Raquel Muradyan aka Raquel Mendoza-Navarro (Respondent)		
22	before the Board of Pharmacy.		
23	2. On or about November 26, 2003, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy		
24	Technician Registration No. TCH 53038 to Responden	Technician Registration No. TCH 53038 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration	
25	was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the c	was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on	
26	May 31, 2011, unless renewed.	May 31, 2011, unless renewed.	
27	3. On or about June 15, 2009, Maria L. Cama	3. On or about June 15, 2009, Maria L. Camacho, an employee of the Department of	
28	Justice, served by Certified and First Class Mail a copy	of the Accusation No. 3164, Statement to	
- 1	11		

Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7 to Respondent's address of record with the Board, which was and is:

14535 Saticoy Street, #202

Van Nuys, CA 91405

A copy of the Accusation is attached as exhibit A, and is incorporated herein by reference.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).
  - 5. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
- (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.

Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 3164.

- 6. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
- (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 7. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the evidence on file herein, finds that the allegations in Accusation No. 3164 are true.
- 8. The total cost for investigation and enforcement in connection with the Accusation are \$2,649.00 as of July 14, 2009.

# DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Raquel Muradyan aka Raquel Mendoza-Navarro has subjected her Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 53038 to discipline.
  - 2. A copy of the Accusation is attached.

- 3. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 4. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation:
- a. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4301(1) and 4202(d) of the Business and Professions Code (Code) in conjunction with section 1770 of title 16 of the California Code of Regulations, in that on or about November 1, 2006, in the case entitled *The People of the State of California v. Raquel Mendoza Navarro* (Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. PA056096), Respondent was convicted of violating Health and Safety Code section 11351 (possession of a controlled substance for purposes of sale.) As a result of this conviction, Respondent was sentenced to serve 180 days in county jail, placed on three years of probation and ordered to pay a fine.

The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about June 22, 2006, armed with a search warrant, Los Angeles Police Department officers were dispatched to an apartment that Respondent shared with her husband. Upon their arrival at the residence, the officers were met by and interviewed Respondent's husband. The officers observed various narcotics in plain view and discovered more narcotics pursuant to a search of the residence.

While the officers were searching the residence, Respondent entered the front door of the apartment. The officers presented Respondent with a copy of the search warrant. While searching Respondent's purse, one of the officers recovered four Pharmacy pill stock bottles. Two bottles were labeled "Hydrocodone 100 tablets" (generic for "Vicodin"). One bottle was labeled "Alprazolam 100 tablets" (generic for "Xanax") and one bottle was labeled "Clonazepam 100 tablets" (generic for "Klonopin".) After being read her Miranda rights, Respondent admitted to taking the above-listed narcotics from the CVS Pharmacy where she worked. She also stated that she had driven home on her lunch break and was dropping the pills off to her husband, who sold the pills for money. Respondent and her husband were placed under arrest.

b. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4301, subdivision (j) and 4060 of the Code, in that Respondent unlawfully possessed controlled substances in violation of

Exhibit A
Accusation No. 3164

7		
1 2	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California GLORIA A. BARRIOS	
2	Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
3	KIMBERLEY J. BAKER-GUILLEMET, State Bar No. 242920  Deputy Attorney General	
4	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	
5	Telephone: (213) 897-2533 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804	
6	Attorneys for Complainant	
7	BEFORE THE	
BOARD OF PHARMACY STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:   Case No. 3164	
10	In the Watter of the Accusation Against.	
1.1	RAQUEL MURADYAN AKA	
11	RAQUEL MENDOZA-NAVARRO  13677 Pinney Street  A C C U S A T I O N	
. 12	Pacoima, CA 91331	
13	Pharmacy Technician	
15	Registration No. TCH 53038	
14	Door on dout	
. 15	Respondent.	
•		
16	Complainant alleges:	
17		
1 0	<u>PARTIES</u>	
18	1. Virginia K. Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her	
19		
· 20	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board).	
•	2. On or about November 26, 2003, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician	
21	Designation Number TCII 52028 to Designal Manadage des Designal Manadage Name	
Registration Number TCH 53038 to Raquel Muradyan aka Raquel Mendoza-Nav		
23	(Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times	
24	relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on May 31, 2009, unless renewed.	
25	<u>JURISDICTION</u>	
	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the	
26	following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise	
27		
20	indicated.	
28		

# 4. Section 4301 of the Code states:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

"(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

- "(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter."
  - 5. Section 4202(d) of the Code states:

"The board may suspend or revoke a license issued pursuant to this section on any ground specified in Section 4301."

### 6. Section 4060 of the Code states:

"No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052. This section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified

nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer.

"Nothing in this section authorizes a certified nurse-midwife, a nurse practitioner, a physician assistant, or a naturopathic doctor, to order his or her own stock of dangerous drugs and devices."

7. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

### **CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES**

8. Health and Safety Code, section 11350(a) states:

"Except as otherwise provided in this division, every person who possesses (†) any controlled substance . . . specified in subdivision (b) or (c) of Section 11055, or specified in subdivision (h) of Section 11056, or (2) any controlled substance classified in Schedule III, IV, or V which is a narcotic drug, unless upon the written prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, or veterinarian licensed to practice in this state, shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison."

9. Health and Safety Code, section 11351 states:

"Except as otherwise provided in this division, every person who possesses for sale or purchases for purposes of sale . . . specified in subdivision (b) or (c) of Section 11055, or specified in subdivision (h) of Section 11056, or (2) any controlled substance classified in Schedule III, IV, or V which is a narcotic drug, shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison for two, three, or four years."

- 10. Health and Safety Code, section 11055 states:
- "(a) The controlled substances listed in this section are included in Schedule II.

Case No. PA056096), Respondent was convicted of violating Health and Safety Code section

28

27 l

11351 (possession of a controlled substance for purposes of sale.) As a result of this conviction, Respondent was sentenced to serve 180 days in county jail, placed on three years of probation and ordered to pay a fine.

The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about June 22, 2006, armed with a search warrant, Los Angeles Police Department officers were dispatched to an apartment that Respondent shared with her husband. Upon their arrival at the residence, the officers were met by and interviewed Respondent's husband. The officers observed various narcotics in plain view and discovered more narcotics pursuant to a search of the residence.

While the officers were searching the residence, Respondent entered the front door of the apartment. The officers presented Respondent with a copy of the search warrant. While searching Respondent's purse, one of the officers recovered four Pharmacy pill stock bottles. Two bottles were labeled "Hydrocodone 100 tablets" (generic for "Vicodin"). One bottle was labeled "Alprazolam 100 tablets" (generic for "Xanax") and one bottle was labeled "Clonazepam 100 tablets" (generic for "Klonopin".) After being read her Miranda rights, Respondent admitted to taking the above-listed narcotics from the CVS Pharmacy where she worked. She also stated that she had driven home on her lunch break and was dropping the pills off to her husband, who sold the pills for money. Respondent and her husband were placed under arrest.

### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Unlawful Possession of Controlled Substances)

14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4301, subdivision (j) and 4060 of the Code, in that Respondent unlawfully possessed controlled substances in violation of state law. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraph 13, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

///

///

# 1 2 3 5 6 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 .17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

### (Dishonest Act)

15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (f) of the Code, in that Respondent committed an act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraph 13, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

### **FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

# (Unprofessional Conduct)

16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301 of the Code in that Respondent engaged in unprofessional conduct. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraph 13, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH
   53038, issued to Raquel Muradyan aka Raquel Mendoza-Navarro.
- 2. Ordering Raquel Muradyan aka Raquel Mendoza-Navarro to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
  - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 4/10/09

VIRGINIAK. HEROLD

Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy State of California Complainant

27

28

26

LA2008600711 60379846.wpd