11	1	
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California	
2	Alfredo Terrazas	
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General ARTHUR D. TAGGART	
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 083047	
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255	
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-5339	
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant	
8		RE THE
9	DEPARTMENT OF C	PHARMACY CONSUMER AFFAIRS
10	STATE OF C	CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3040
12	IMELDA D. OSTEN	OAH No. 2009050443
13	475 Buena Vista Ave., #101 Alameda, CA 94501	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
14	Pharmacist License No. RPH 40002,	[Gov. Code, §11520]
15	Respondent.	
.16		
17		
18	FINDING	S OF FACT
19	On or about October 14, 2008, Com	plainant Virginia Herold, in her official capacity
20	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharma	cy, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed
21	Accusation No. 3040 against Imelda D. Osten (I	Respondent) before the Board of Pharmacy.
22	1. On or about March 20, 1986,	the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacist
23	License No. RPH 40002 to Respondent.	
24	2. On or about October 17, 2008	, Donna H. Parker, an employee of the
25	Department of Justice, served by Certified and I	First Class Mail a copy of the Accusation
26	No. 3040, Statement to Respondent, Request for	r Discovery, Notice of Defense (two copies),
27	Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, a	nd 11507.7, to Respondent's address of record
28	///	
		1

1	with the Board, which was: 475 Buena Vista Avenue, #101, Alameda, California 94501.			
2	A copy of the Accusation is attached as exhibit A, and is incorporated herein by reference.			
3	4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions			
4	of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).			
5	5. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon			
6	her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation			
7	No. 3040.			
8	6. A Notice of Hearing was served by mail at Respondent's address of record, and			
9	it informed her that an administrative hearing in this matter was scheduled for August 17, 2009.			
10	Respondent failed to appear at that hearing.			
11	7. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:			
12	(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent			
13	files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a			
14	waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.			
15	8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:			
16	(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the			
17	hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to			
18	respondent.			
19	9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board			
20	finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on			
21	the evidence on file herein, finds that the allegations in Accusation No. 3040 are true.			
22	10. The total cost for investigation and enforcement in connection with the			
23	Accusation are \$434.54 as of August 12, 2009.			
24	DETERMINATION OF ISSUES			
25	1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Imelda D. Osten has			
26	subjected her Pharmacist License No. 40002 to discipline.			
27	2 A copy of the Accusation is attached.			
28	3. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.			
	2			
	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER (2009050443)			

11	
1	4. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacist
2	License based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation: Violation of Business &
3	Professions Code section 4301, subdivision (a), on the ground of unprofessional conduct in that
4	Respondent was disciplined on September 25, 1999, by a reprimand issued by the Oregon Board
5	of Pharmacy in Case No. 99-0188, and Respondent was disciplined by the Oregon Board on
6	August 24, 2006, in an order revoking her Oregon Pharmacist License.
7	ORDER
8	IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacist License No. RPH 40002, heretofore issued to
9	Respondent Imelda D. Osten, is revoked.
10	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve
11	a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within
12	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may
13	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.
14	This Decision shall become effective on November 25, 2009.
15	IT IS SO ORDERED October 26, 2009
16	Benneth H. Scheel
17	KENNETH H. SCHELL, BOARD PRESIDENT
18	FOR THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
19	10481466.DOC
20	DOJ docket number: SA2006102796
21	Attachments: Exhibit A: Accusation No. 3040
22	Exhibit B: Cost of Suit Summary
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	3

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER (2009050443)

EXHIBIT A

1							
· · · ·							
1 2 3 4 5 6	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-5339						
7	Attorneys for Complainant						
8 9 10	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA						
10	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 3040						
11 12 13	IMELDA D. OSTENCase No. 3040475 Buena Vista Ave., #101A C C U S A T I O NAlameda, CA 94501A C C U S A T I O N						
14	Pharmacist License No. 40002,						
15	Respondent.						
16							
17	Complainant alleges:						
18	PARTIES						
. 19	1. Virginia K. Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her						
20	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer						
21	Affairs.						
. 22	2 2. On or about March 20, 1986, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist						
23	23 License Number 40002 to Imelda D. Osten (Respondent). Respondent's Pharmacist Licens						
24	expire on April 30, 2009, unless renewed.						
. 25	JURISDICTION						
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board),						
27	Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section						
28	references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.						

\.

•		•				
1	4. Section 4300 of the Code states, in pertinent part:					
2	"(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.					
3	"(b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board,					
4	whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods:	•				
5	"(1) Suspending judgment.					
6	"(2) Placing him or her upon probation.					
7	"(3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.					
8	"(4) Revoking his or her license.					
	"(5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining					
10	him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper					
11	5. Section 4301 of the Code states:					
12	"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is					
13 14	guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:					
		• •				
15	ጥ ጥ ጥ 1					
16	"(n) The revocation, suspension, or other discipline by another state of a license to practice pharmacy,					
17	operate a pharmacy, or do any other act for which a license is required by this chapter					
18	COST RECOVERY					
19	6. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may					
20						
21	request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or					
22	violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation					
23	and enforcement of the case.					
24	FIRST_CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE					
25	(Disciplinary Action by the State of Oregon Board of Pharmacy)					
	7. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section					
26	4301, subdivision (a), on the ground of unprofessional conduct, in that she was the subject of two					
27	///					
28						

r

disciplinary orders issued by the State of Oregon Board of Pharmacy, as specified in the following paragraphs:

3 Consent Order (Reprimand). On or about September 20, 1999, the State А. of Oregon Board of Pharmacy (Oregon Board) issued a Notice of Proposed Disciplinary Action 4 5 ("Notice") in Case No. 99-0199 against Respondent. The Notice alleged that on June 19, 1999, while employed at the Rite Aid Pharmacy #5359, located at 11930 S.E. Division Street, Portland, 6 7 Oregon, Respondent erroneously dispensed Lanoxin 250 mcg. (a heart medication used for 8 Congestive Heart Failure or Atrial Fibrillation) in place of the prescribed Lanoxin 125 mcg., in 9 violation of an Oregon regulation and statute governing the practice of pharmacy. In a Consent Order executed on September 25, 1999, Respondent admitted that the allegations in the Notice 10 11 were true, that legal cause existed to discipline her pharmacy license, and that she consented to 12 disciplinary action in the form of a reprimand.

B. <u>Final Order (Revocation)</u>. On or about August 24, 2006, the Oregon Board issued a Final Order in Case No. 2004-0276. The Final Order concluded that Respondent had violated Oregon law in the following manner: (1) Respondent created false prescription records; (2) Respondent possessed and distributed prescription drugs without a practitioner's prescription, and (3) Respondent's violations of Oregon law constitute unprofessional conduct. The Oregon Board revoked Respondent's pharmacist license and denied her renewal application on the basis of these violations.

20

1

2

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein
alleged, and that, following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number RPH 40002, issued
 to Imelda D. Osten;

- 25 ///
- 26 ///
- 27 ///
- 28 ///

Ordering Imelda D. Osten to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable 2. costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 3. DATED: 10/14/08 VIRGINIA K. HEROLD Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant SA2006102796 30530445.wpd

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY CERTIFIED MAIL AND FIRST CLASS MAIL (Separate Mailings)

Case Name: In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Imelda D. Osten Board of Pharmacy Case No. 3040

I declare:

I am employed in the Office of the Attorney General, which is the office of a member of the California State Bar at which member's direction this service is made. I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to this matter. I am familiar with the business practice at the Office of the Attorney General for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. In accordance with that practice, correspondence placed in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General is deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day in the ordinary course of business.

On October 17, 2008, I served the attached ACCUSATION; STATEMENT TO **RESPONDENT; REQUEST FOR DISCOVERY; NOTICE OF DEFENSE (2 blank** forms): and COPY OF GOVERNMENT CODE SECTIONS by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope as certified mail with postage thereon fully prepaid and return receipt requested, and another true copy of the above-listed documents was enclosed in a second sealed envelope as first class mail with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General at 1300 I Street, Suite 125, P.O. Box 944255, Sacramento, CA 94244-2550, addressed as follows:

Imelda D. Osten 475 Buena Vista Ave. #101 Alameda, CA 94501

Courtesy copy sent via U.S. Mail only:

Virginia Herold, Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy 1625 North Market Boulevard, Suite N-219 Sacramento, CA. 95834

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on October 17, 2008, at Sacramento, California.

> Donna H. Parker Declarant

CERTIFIED ARTICLE NUMBER

Celetific Ateriology Infinite

7160 3901 9845 9190 0370

Bandato and a second

30570859.wpd

Signature

7160 3901 9845 9190 0370

TO: 475 Buena Vista Ave. #101 Alameda, CA 94501

SENDER: Arthur D. Taggart

REFERENCE:	SA2006102796
------------	--------------

in the second	PS Form 3800, January 2005					
10.00	RETURN	Postage				
	RECEIPT	Certified Fee				
	SERVICE	Return Receipt Fee				
1		Restricted Delivery				
100		Total Postage & Fees	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
11-21-52	US Postal Service		POSTMAF	IK OR DATE		
121122112	Receipt for			,		
	Certified Mail					
-	No Insurance Coverage Provided			1. A.		

Do Not Use for International Mail

EXHIBIT B

(AMM001)

EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General				1300 1	State of Californ MENT OF JUSTIC Street, Socramento, CA 950 ling Inquiries: (916) 324-5	
Matter Time Activity By Pr	ofessional Type		· ·		A	s Of 8/12/2009
Trans # Date Section	Client	Task	Hours Worked	Rate	Amount Adj	? Sirm Dale
Matter ID: SA2006102796 Description: Osten, Imelda D.	Date Opened: 10/05/2006	3				•
Professional Type: ATTORNEY						
Fiscal Year: 2008-2009						
Professional: Arthur D. Taggart						
300840405 01/27/09 CV-LIC:110	03583 Pleading Preparation		1.00	\$158.00	\$158.00	01/31/09
300840562 01/28/09 CV-LIC:110	03583 Pleading Preparation		1.00	\$158.00	\$158.00	01/31/09
300988074 06/04/09 - CV-LIC:110	03583 Trial Preparation		0.25	\$158.00	\$39.50	06/30/09
300988102 06/05/09 CV-LIC:110	03583 Trial Preparation		0.25	\$158.00	\$39.50	06/30/09
		Arthur D. Taggart Totals:	2.50	_	\$395.00	
		2008-2009 Totals:	2.50	_	\$395.00	
Fiscal Year: 2006-2007			······································			
Professional: Arthur D. Taggari						
105769953 10/05/05 CV-LIC:110	03583 Case Management	•	0.25	\$158.00	\$39.50	10/31/06
	-	Arthur D. Taggart Totals:	0.25	-	\$39.50	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	۰.	2006-2007 Totals:	0.25		\$39.50	
		ATTORNEYTotais:	2.75	-	\$434.50	
		SA2006102796 Totals:	2.75		\$434,50	•
·						-
		•			· .	

Date: 8/12/2009 08:50AM

Page 1 of 1