1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California			
5	JAMES M. LEDAKIS			
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General RITA M. LANE, State Bar No. 171352			
4	Deputy Attorncy General California Department of Justice			
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101			
6	P.O. Box 85266			
7	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2614			
8	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061			
4)	Attorneys for Complainant			
10	BEFORE '			
11	BOARD OF PH DEPARTMENT OF COM	ISUMER AFFAIRS		
12	STATE OF CAL	LIFORNIA		
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3006		
14	MARCOS J. ESPINOZA	DEFAULT DECISION		
15	51-725 Alvarado La Quinta, CA 92253	AND ORDER		
16	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 32897	[Gov. Code, §11520]		
17	Respondent.			
18	•			
10				
20	<u>FINDINGS O</u>	<u>F FACT</u>		
21	1. On or about July 16, 2007, Complainant Virginia Herold, in her official			
22	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Ph	armacy, Department of Consumer Affairs,		
23	filed Accusation No. 3006 against Marcos J. Espinoza (Respondent) before the Board of			
24	Pharmacy.			
25	2. On or about April 4, 2000, th	e Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy		
26	Technician Registration Number TCH 32897 to Res	spondent. The Pharmacy Technician		
27	registration was in full force and effect at all times r	elevant to the charges brought herein and		
28	expired on August 31, 2007.			

v)

- 3. On or about July 25, 2007, Tess Bautista, an employee of the Department of Justice, served by Certified and First Class Mail a copy of the Accusation No. 3006, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7 to Respondent's address of record with the Board, which was and is 51-725 Alvarado, La Quinta, CA 92253. A copy of the Accusation, the related documents, and Declaration of Service are attached as Exhibit A, and are incorporated herein by reference.
- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).
 - 5. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
- "(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing."
- 6. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 3006.
 - 7. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - "(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent."
- 8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on Respondent's express admissions by way of default and the evidence before it, contained in Exhibits A. B and C, finds that the allegations in Accusation No. 3006 are true.
- 9. The total costs for investigation and enforcement are \$4,303.50 as of October 5, 2007. A copy of the Certificate of Costs by the Attorney General's Office is attached

.4

.

as Exhibit B, and is incorporated herein by reference. A copy of the Certificate of Costs by the Board is attached as Exhibit C, and is incorporated herein by reference.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Marcos J. Espinoza has subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 32897 to discipline.
- 2. A copy of the Accusation and the related documents and Declaration of Service are attached.
 - 3. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 4. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation:
- 5. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(f) for an act of dishonesty, fraud or deceit, as more particularly alleged below:
 - a. On or about October 8, 2005, Respondent was employed as a parttime pharmacy technician at Sav-on #9646 Pharmacy in Palm Desert, California.
 - b. On or about October 8, 2005, Respondent stole a bottle of hydrocodone with APAP 10-325 from his employer by subterfuge, transferring the contents of the bottle into his pants pocket.
- 6. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(j) in conjunction with section 4060 for possession of a controlled substance without a legitimate prescription, as more particularly alleged in paragraph 5(a) and (b) above and incorporated herein by reference.
- 7. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(j) in conjunction with H&S Code section 11170 for illegally furnishing a controlled substance to himself, as more particularly alleged in paragraph 5(a) and (b) above and incorporated herein by reference.
- 8. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(j) in conjunction with H&S Code section 11173(a) for obtaining a controlled substance by fraud,

deceit, subterfuge or concealment of a material fact, as more particularly alleged in paragraph 5(a) and (b) above and incorporated herein by reference.

- 9. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(h) for administering a controlled substance to himself or using a controlled substance to the extend or in a manner as to impair his ability to practice under his license, as more particularly alleged below:
 - a. On or about August 25, 2005, while on duty as a pharmacy technician at Sav-on #9646 in Palm Desert, California, Respondent was observed to be "groggy" and acting strangely. The Pharmacist-in-Charge suspected substance abuse and sent Respondent home. Later that same day, the pharmacist phoned Respondent and advised him of Sav-on's counseling program. The next day, Respondent failed to show up for his scheduled work day at the pharmacy.
- 10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(1) for conviction for a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a pharmacy technician, as more particularly alleged below:
 - a. On or about August 24, 2005, in Riverside County Superior Court Case No. INM159470, Respondent pled guilty to violation of Penal Code section 490.5 (theft of retail merchandise).
 - b. The facts and circumstances surrounding the conviction are that Respondent stolc numerous articles of clothing from Robinson's-May on June 17, 2005.
 - c. Respondent was sentenced to summary probation for 36 months, to one day in jail with credit for time served, and to pay various fines and restitution.
 - d. On or about February 1, 2006, Respondent's probation was revoked and the next day a warrant for his arrest issued. He remains at large.

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 32897, heretofore issued to Respondent Marcos J. Espinoza, is revoked.

1		Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may		
2	serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on			
.3	within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion			
-1	may vacate th	c Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the		
5	statute.	· r		
6		This Decision shall become effective on <u>December 20, 2007</u>		
7		It is so ORDERED November 20, 2007		
8		BOARD OF PHARMACY		
ij		DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10				
11	·	William Rawen		
12		By <u>Julian James</u> WILLIAM POWERS		
13	80170518 wpd	Board President		
1-1	DOJ docket number:	SD2006x01548		
15	Attachments:			
16	Exhibit A:	Accusation No.3006, Related Documents, and Declaration of Service		
17	Exhibit B: Exhibit C:	Certification of Costs: Declaration of Rita M. Lane Certification of Costs by the Board of Pharmacy		
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
<u>3</u> .2				

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 3006, Related Documents and Declaration of Service

1 2	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California MARGARET A. LAFKO Supervising Deputy Attorney General			
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General RITA M. LANE, State Bar No. 171352			
4	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice			
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101			
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266			
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2614 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061			
8	Attorneys for Complainant			
9				
10	BEFORE T BOARD OF PHA	ARMACY		
11	DEPARTMENT OF CON STATE OF CAL			
12				
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3006		
14	MARCOS J. ESPINOZA 51-725 Alvarado	ACCUSATION		
15	La Quinta, CA 92253			
16	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 32897			
17	Respondent.			
18				
19	Complainant alleges:			
20	PARTIE	<u> </u>		
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official			
22	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.			
23	2. On or about April 4, 2000, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician			
24	Registration Number TCH 32897 to Marcos J. Espinoza (Respondent). The Pharmacy			
25	Technician registration was in full force and effect a	t all times relevant to the charges brought		
26	herein and will expire on August 31, 2007, unless re	newed.		
27	///			
28	///			

1	JURISDICTION
2	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of
3	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws of the Business and Professions
4	Code:
5	A. Section 4300(a) of the Code states in pertinent part:
6	(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.
7	B. Section 4301 of the Code states in pertinent part:
8 9	The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but
10	is not limited to, any of the following:
11	
12	(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations
13	as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
14	
15	(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter,
16 17	or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.
18	
19	(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of
20	the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.
21	
22	(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of
23	a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the
24	statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of
25	conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the
26	commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine
27	if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty
28	or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time

5

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

15

14

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

C. Section 4060 of the Code states:

No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052. This section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer.

Nothing in this section authorizes a certified nurse-midwife, a nurse practitioner, a physician assistant, or a naturopathic doctor, to order his or her own stock of dangerous drugs and devices.

- Section 123.5 provides that the Board may request the administrative law judge to D. direct any licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay the Board a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of investigation and enforcement of the case, including charges imposed by the Attorney General. Under section 125.3(c), a certified copy of the actual costs or a good faith estimate of costs where actual costs are not available, including investigative and enforcement costs and charges of the Attorney General up to the date of the hearing, signed by the designated representative of the entity bringing the proceeding shall be prima facie evidence of the reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case.
- 4. This Accusation also refers to the following sections of the Health & Safety Code (H&S Code):
- À. H&S Code section 11170 states that "[n]o person shall prescribe, administer, or furnish a controlled substance for himself."
- В. H&S Code section 11173 states in pertinent part that no person shall obtain a controlled substance by fraud, deceit, subterfuge or concealment of a material fact.

DRUG

5. Hydrocodone/APAP is a narcotic Schedule III controlled substance, pursuant to H&S Code section 11056.

CHARGES AND ALLEGATIONS

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct: Act of Dishonesty, Fraud and Deceit)

- 6. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(f) for an act of dishonesty, fraud or deceit, as more particularly alleged below:
- A. On or about October 8, 2005, Respondent was employed as a part-time pharmacy technician at Sav-on #9646 Pharmacy in Palm Desert, California.
- B. On or about October 8, 2005, Respondent stole a bottle of hydrocodone with APAP 10-325 from his employer by subterfuge, transferring the contents of the bottle into his pants pocket.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct: Possession of a Controlled Substance W/O Prescription)

7. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(j) in conjunction with section 4060 for possession of a controlled substance without a legitimate prescription, as more particularly alleged in paragraph 6(A) and (B) above and incorporated herein by reference.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct: Illegal Furnishing of a Controlled Substance to Oneself)

8. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(j) in conjunction with H&S Code section 11170 for illegally furnishing a controlled substance to himself, as more particularly alleged in paragraph 6(A) and (B) above and incorporated herein by reference.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct: Obtaining a Controlled Substance by Fraud, Subterfuge)

9. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(j) in conjunction with H&S Code section 11173(a) for obtaining a controlled substance by fraud, deceit,

26

27

28

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

///

subterfuge or concealment of a material fact, as more particularly alleged in paragraph 6(A) and (B) above and incorporated herein by reference.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct: Administering Controlled Substance to Oneself/Impairment)

10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(h) for administering a controlled substance to himself or using a controlled substance to the extend or in a manner as to impair his ability to practice under his license, as more particularly alleged below:

On or about August 25, 2005, while on duty as a pharmacy technician at Sav-on #9646 in Palm Desert, California, Respondent was observed to be "groggy" and acting strangely. The Pharmacist-in-Charge suspected substance abuse and sent Respondent home. Later that same day, the pharmacist phoned Respondent and advised him of Sav-on's counseling program. The next day, Respondent failed to show up for his scheduled work day at the pharmacy.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct: Substantially Related Criminal Conviction - Incident: 6/17/05)

- 11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(1) for conviction for a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a pharmacy technician, as more particularly alleged below:
- On or about August 24, 2005, in Riverside County Superior Court Case No. Α. INM159470, Respondent pled guilty to violation of Penal Code section 490.5 (theft of retail merchandise).
- В. The facts and circumstances surrounding the conviction are that Respondent stole numerous articles of clothing from Robinson's-May on June 17, 2005.
- C. Respondent was sentenced to summary probation for 36 months, to one day in jail with credit for time served, and to pay various fines and restitution.
- D. On or about February 1, 2006, Respondent's probation was revoked and the next day a warrant for his arrest issued. He remains at large.

AGGRAVATING FACTOR

12. As a result of his theft of the hydrocodone/APAP in October 2005, an arrest warrant issued on or about January 31, 2006 for Respondent in the Superior Court of California, County of Riverside in *People v. Marcos Jesus Espinoza*, Case No. INM164262. Respondent remains at large.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 32897, issued to Marcos J. Espinoza;
- 2. Ordering Marcos J. Espinoza to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 7/16/07

VIRGINIA HEROLD

Executive Officer

Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant

80130780.wpd

1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General		
2	of the State of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General RITA M. LANE, State Bar No. 171352		
4	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100		
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101		
6 -	P.O. Box 85266		
7	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2614 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	BEFORE THE		
10	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
11	STATE OF CAL		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3006	
13	MARCOS J. ESPINOZA	STATEMENT TO RESPONDENT	
14	Respondent.	[Gov. Code §§ 11504, 11505(b)]	
15	110000011111	[301, 3000 33 11001, 11000(0)]	
16			
17	TO RESPONDENT:	•	
18	Enclosed is a copy of the Accusation	that has been filed with the Board of	
19	Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs (E	Board), and which is hereby served on you.	
20	Unless a written request for a hearing	signed by you or on your behalf is delivered	
21	or mailed to the Board, represented by Deputy Attorney General Rita M. Lane, within fifteen (15)		
22	days after a copy of the Accusation was personally served on you or mailed to you, you will be		
23	deemed to have waived your right to a hearing in this matter and the Board may proceed upon the		
24	Accusation without a hearing and may take action thereon as provided by law.		
25	The request for hearing may be made by delivering or mailing one of the enclosed		
26	forms entitled "Notice of Defense," or by delivering or mailing a Notice of Defense as provided		
27	in section 11506 of the Government Code, to		
28	111		

Rita M. Lane Deputy Attorney General 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, California 92101

P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, California 92186-5266.

You may, but need not, be represented by counsel at any or all stages of these proceedings.

The enclosed Notice of Defense, if signed and filed with the Board, shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the Accusation, but you will not be permitted to raise any objection to the form of the Accusation unless you file a further Notice of Defense as provided in section 11506 of the Government Code within fifteen (15) days after service of the Accusation on you.

If you file any Notice of Defense within the time permitted, a hearing will be held on the charges made in the Accusation.

The hearing may be postponed for good cause. If you have good cause, you are obliged to notify the Office of Administrative Hearings, 320 West Fourth Street, Suite 630, Los Angeles, CA 90013, within ten (10) working days after you discover the good cause. Failure to notify the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days will deprive you of a postponement.

Copies of sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7 of the Government Code are

If you desire the names and addresses of witnesses or an opportunity to inspect and copy the items mentioned in section 11507.6 of the Government Code in the possession, custody or control of the Board you may send a Request for Discovery to the above designated Deputy Attorney General.

NOTICE REGARDING STIPULATED SETTLEMENTS

It may be possible to avoid the time, expense and uncertainties involved in an administrative hearing by disposing of this matter through a stipulated settlement. A stipulated settlement is a binding written agreement between you and the government regarding the matters

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

charged and the discipline to be imposed. Such a stipulation would have to be approved by the Board of Pharmacy but, once approved, it would be incorporated into a final order.

Any stipulation must be consistent with the Board's established disciplinary guidelines; however, all matters in mitigation or aggravation will be considered. A copy of the Board's Disciplinary Guidelines will be provided to you on your written request to the state agency bringing this action.

If you are interested in pursuing this alternative to a formal administrative hearing, or if you have any questions, you or your attorney should contact Deputy Attorney General Rita M. Lane at the earliest opportunity.

DATED: July 25, 2007

EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California

LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General

RITA M. LANE

Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Complainant

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:			Case No. 3006	
MARCOS J. ESPINOZA			NOTICE OF DEFENSE	
	Responden	.t.	[Gov. Code §§ 11505 and 11506]	
- +	I, the undersigned Respondent in the above-e of the Accusation; Statement to Respondent; G 7.7, Complainant's Request for Discovery; and	lover		
Accu	I hereby request a hearing to permit me to prosation.	esen [.]	t my defense to the charges contained in the	
	DATED:			
	Respondent's Name			
	Respondent's Signature			
	Respondent's Mailing Address	-		
	City, State and Zip Code			
	Respondent's Telephone Number			
Chec	ek appropriate box:			
	I do not consent to electronic reporting.			
	box to indicate that you do not consent to ele- reported by a stenographic reporter. If you do consent to electronic recording at any point u for hearing, by a written statement served on counsel for Complainant. If the box is not ch	ctrone no not the Checken	fifteen (15) calendar days prior to the date set Office of Administrative Hearings and on ed, and no written withdrawal of consent is nd on counsel for Complainant by fifteen (15)	
	I am represented by counsel, whose name, ad	dres	s and telephone number appear below:	
	Counsel's Name			
	Counsel's Mailing Address			
	City, State and Zip Code			
	Counsel's Telephone Number			

I am not now represented by counsel. If and when counsel is retained, immediate notification of
the attorney's name, address and telephone number will be filed with the Office of
Administrative Hearing and a copy sent to counsel for Complainant so that counsel will be on
record to receive legal notices, pleadings and other papers.

The agency taking the action described in the Accusation may have formulated guidelines to assist the administrative law judge in reaching an appropriate penalty. You may obtain a copy of the guidelines by requesting them from the agency in writing.

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:		Case No. 3006	
MARCOS J. ESPINOZA		NOTICE OF DEFENSE	
	Responden	t.	[Gov. Code §§ 11505 and 11506]
10	I, the undersigned Respondent in the above-of the Accusation; Statement to Respondent; G7.7, Complainant's Request for Discovery; and	love	·
Accu	I hereby request a hearing to permit me to prosation.	esen	t my defense to the charges contained in the
	DATED;		
	Respondent's Name		
	Respondent's Signature		
	Respondent's Mailing Address		
	City, State and Zip Code		
	Respondent's Telephone Number		
Chec	ek appropriate box:		
	I do not consent to electronic reporting.		
	box to indicate that you do not consent to ele reported by a stenographic reporter. If you do consent to electronic recording at any point uf for hearing, by a written statement served on counsel for Complainant. If the box is not ch	o no p to the neck ng a	fifteen (15) calendar days prior to the date set Office of Administrative Hearings and on ed, and no written withdrawal of consent is nd on counsel for Complainant by fifteen (15)
	I am represented by counsel, whose name, ad	ldres	ss and telephone number appear below:
	Counsel's Name		
	Counsel's Mailing Address		
	City, State and Zip Code		
	Counsel's Telephone Number		

I am not now represented by counsel. If and when counsel is retained, immediate notification of
the attorney's name, address and telephone number will be filed with the Office of
Administrative Hearing and a copy sent to counsel for Complainant so that counsel will be on
record to receive legal notices, pleadings and other papers.

The agency taking the action described in the Accusation may have formulated guidelines to assist the administrative law judge in reaching an appropriate penalty. You may obtain a copy of the guidelines by requesting them from the agency in writing.

1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California		
2	LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
3	RITA M. LANE, State Bar No. 171352 Deputy Attorney General		
4	California Department of Justice 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100		
5	San Diego, CA 92101		
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2614 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	BEFORE THE		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
11	STATE OF CAL	IFURNIA	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3006	
13	MARCOS J. ESPINOZA	REQUEST FOR DISCOVERY	
14 15	Respondent.	[Gov. Code § 11507.6]	
16			
17	TO RESPONDENT:		
18		ment Code of the State of California, parties	
19	to an administrative hearing, including the Complain	_	
20	concerning the opposing party's case. A copy of the		
21	Government Code concerning such rights is included among the papers served.		
22	PURSUANT TO SECTION 11507.6 OF THE GOVERNMENT CODE, YOU		
23	ARE HEREBY REQUESTED TO:		
24	1. Provide the names and addresses of witnesses to the extent known to the		
25	Respondent, including, but not limited to, those inter	nded to be called to testify at the hearing, and	
26		ainant to inspect and make a copy of any of	
27			
28	111		

- a. A statement of a person, other than the Respondent, named in the initial administrative pleading, or in any additional pleading, when it is claimed that the act or omission of the Respondent as to this person is the basis for the administrative proceeding;
- b. A statement pertaining to the subject matter of the proceeding made by any party to another party or persons;
- c. Statements of witnesses then proposed to be called by the Respondent and of other persons having personal knowledge of the acts, omissions or events which are the basis for the proceeding, not included in (a) or (b) above;
- d. All writings, including but not limited to reports of mental, physical and blood examinations and things which the Respondent now proposes to offer in evidence;
- e. Any other writing or thing which is relevant and which would be admissible in evidence, including but not limited to, any patient or hospital records pertaining to the persons named in the pleading;
- f. Investigative reports made by or on behalf of the Respondent pertaining to the subject matter of the proceeding, to the extent that these reports (1) contain the names and addresses of witnesses or of persons having personal knowledge of the acts, omissions or events which are the basis for the proceeding, or (2) reflect matters perceived by the investigator in the course of his or her investigation, or (3) contain or include by attachment any statement or writing described in (a) to (e), inclusive, or summary thereof.

For the purpose of this Request for Discovery, "statements" include written statements by the person, signed, or otherwise authenticated by him or her, stenographic, mechanical, electrical or other recordings, or transcripts thereof, of oral statements by the person, and written reports or summaries of these oral statements.

YOU ARE HEREBY FURTHER NOTIFIED that nothing in this Request for
Discovery should be deemed to authorize the inspection or copying of any writing or thing which

is privileged from disclosure by law or otherwise made confidential or protected as attorney's work product. Your response to this Request for Discovery should be directed to the undersigned attorney for the Complainant at the address on the first page of this Request for Discovery within 30 days after service of the Accusation. Failure without substantial justification to comply with this Request for Discovery may subject the Respondent to sanctions pursuant to sections 11507.7 and 11455.10 to 11455.30 of the Government Code. DATED: July 25, 2007 EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant

COPY OF GOVERNMENT CODE SECTIONS 11507.5, 11507.6 AND 11507.7 PROVIDED PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTIONS 11504 AND 11505

SECTION 11507.5: Exclusivity of discovery provisions

The provisions of Section 11507.6 provide the exclusive right to and method of discovery as to any proceeding governed by this chapter.

SECTION 11507.6: Request for discovery

After initiation of a proceeding in which a respondent or other party is entitled to a hearing on the merits, a party, upon written request made to another party, prior to the hearing and within 30 days after service by the agency of the initial pleading or within 15 days after the service of an additional pleading, is entitled to (1) obtain the names and addresses of witnesses to the extent known to the other party, including, but not limited to, those intended to be called to testify at the hearing, and (2) inspect and make a copy of any of the following in the possession or custody or under the control of the other party:

- (a) A statement of a person, other than the respondent, named in the initial administrative pleading, or in any additional pleading, when it is claimed that the act or omission of the respondent as to this person is the basis for the administrative proceeding;
- (b) A statement pertaining to the subject matter of the proceeding made by any party to another party or person;
- (c) Statements of witnesses then proposed to be called by the party and of other persons having personal knowledge of the acts, omissions or events which are the basis for the proceeding, not included in (a) or (b) above;
- (d) All writings, including, but not limited to, reports of mental, physical and blood examinations and things which the party then proposes to offer in evidence;
- (e) Any other writing or thing which is relevant and which would be admissible in evidence;
- (f) Investigative reports made by or on behalf of the agency or other party pertaining to the subject matter of the proceeding, to the extent that these reports (1) contain the names and addresses of witnesses or of persons having personal knowledge of the acts, omissions or events which are the basis for the proceeding, or (2) reflect matters perceived by the investigator in the course of his or her investigation, or (3) contain or include by attachment any statement or writing described in (a) to (e), inclusive, or summary thereof.

For the purpose of this section, "statements" include written statements by the person signed or otherwise authenticated by him or her, stenographic, mechanical, electrical or other recordings, or transcripts thereof, of oral statements by the person, and written reports or summaries of these oral statements.

Nothing in this section shall authorize the inspection or copying of any writing or thing which is privileged from disclosure by law or otherwise made confidential or protected as the attorney's work product.

SECTION 11507.7: Petition to compel discovery; Order; Sanctions

- (a) Any party claiming the party's request for discovery pursuant to Section 11507.6 has not been complied with may serve and file with the administrative law judge a motion to compel discovery, naming as respondent the party refusing or failing to comply with Section 11507.6. The motion shall state facts showing the respondent party failed or refused to comply with Section 11507.6, a description of the matters sought to be discovered, the reason or reasons why the matter is discoverable under that section, that a reasonable and good faith attempt to contact the respondent for an informal resolution of the issue has been made, and the ground or grounds of respondent's refusal so far as known to the moving party.
- (b) The motion shall be served upon respondent party and filed within 15 days after the respondent party first evidenced failure or refusal to comply with Section 11507.6 or within 30 days after request was made and the party has failed to reply to the request, or within another time provided by stipulation, whichever period is longer.
- (c) The hearing on the motion to compel discovery shall be held within 15 days after the motion is made, or a later time that the administrative law judge may on the judge's own motion for good cause determine. The respondent party shall have the right to serve and file a written answer or other response to the motion before or at the time of the hearing.
- (d) Where the matter sought to be discovered is under the custody or control of the respondent party and the respondent party asserts that the matter is not a discoverable matter under the provisions of Section 11507.6, or is privileged against disclosure under those provisions, the administrative law judge may order lodged with it matters provided in subdivision (b) of Section 915 of the Evidence Code and examine the matters in accordance with its provisions.
- (e) The administrative law judge shall decide the case on the matters examined in camera, the papers filed by the parties, and such oral argument and additional evidence as the administrative law judge may allow.
- (f) Unless otherwise stipulated by the parties, the administrative law judge shall no later than 15 days after the hearing make its order denying or granting the motion. The order shall be in writing setting forth the matters the moving party is entitled to discover under Section 11507.6. A copy of the order shall forthwith be served by mail by the administrative law judge upon the parties. Where the order grants the motion in whole or in part, the order shall not become effective until 10 days after the date the order is served. Where the order denies relief to the moving party, the order shall be effective on the date it is served.

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY CERTIFIED MAIL AND FIRST CLASS MAIL

(Separate Mailings)

Case Name:

In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Marcos J. Espinoza

Case No.:

3006

I declare:

I am employed in the Office of the Attorney General, which is the office of a member of the California State Bar at which member's direction this service is made. I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to this matter. I am familiar with the business practice at the Office of the Attorney General for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. In accordance with that practice, correspondence placed in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General is deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day in the ordinary course of business.

On July 25, 2007, I served the attached Accusation, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense (2 copies), Request for Discovery and Discovery Statutes by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope as certified mail with postage thereon fully prepaid and return receipt requested, and another true copy of the Accusation, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense (2 copies), Request for Discovery and Discovery Statutes was enclosed in a second sealed envelope as first class mail with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General at 110 West A Street, Suite 1100, P.O. Box 85266, San Diego, CA 92186-5266, addressed as follows:

Marcos J. Espinoza 51-725 Alvarado La Quinta, CA 92253 Certified Article Number
7160 3901 9845 0147 4410
SENDERS RECORD

In Pro Per

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on July 25, 2007, at San Diego, California.

Tess Bautista

Declarant

Signature

80150628.wpd

cc: Kim deLong, Enforcement Analyst, Board of Pharmacy

7160 3901 9845 0147 4410

TOMarcos J. Espinoza 51-725 Alvarado La Quinta, CA 92253

SENDER: Rita M. Lane

REFERENCE: SD2006801518

PS Form 3800, January 2005						
RETURN	Postage		_			
RECEIPT	Certified Fee					
SERVICE	Return Receipt Fee					
	Restricted Delivery					
-	Total Postage & Fees	3				
US Postal Service Receipt for		POSTMARK OR DATE				

Certified Mail
No Insurance Coverage Provided

No Insurance Coverage Provided Do Not Use for International Mail

Exhibit B

Certification of Costs: Declaration of Rita M. Lane

1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General							
2	of the State of California JAMES M. LEDAKIS							
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General RITA M. LANE, State Bar No. 171352							
	Deputy Attorney General							
4	California Department of Justice 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100							
5	San Diego, CA 92101							
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266							
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2614 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061							
8	Attorneys for Complainant							
9	BEFORE 7	гит						
10	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS							
11	STATE OF CAL							
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3006						
13	MARCOS J. ESPINOZA	CERTIFICATION OF						
14	Respondent.	PROSECUTION COSTS: DECLARATION OF RITA M. LANE						
15		[Business and Professions Code section 125.3]						
16								
17	I, RITA M. LANE, hereby declare an	d certify as follows:						
18	1. I am a Deputy Attorney Gener	ral employed by the California Department of						
19	Justice (DOJ), Office of the Attorney General (Office	e). I am assigned to the Licensing Section in						
20	the Civil Division of the Office. I have been designate	ated as the representative to certify the costs						
21	of prosecution by DOJ and incurred by the Board of Pharmacy in this case. I make this							
22	certification in my official capacity and as an officer of the court and as a public employee							
23	pursuant to Evidence Code section 664.							
24	2. I represent the Complainant, V	Firginia Herold, Executive Officer of the						
25	Board of Pharmacy, in this action. I was assigned to	handle this case on or around July 14, 2006.						
26	3. Our Office's computerized cas	se management system reflect that the						
27	following persons have also performed tasks related	to this matter: Margaret A. Lafko,						
28	Supervising Deputy Attorney General and Susan L. 1	Fitzgerald, Deputy Attorney General.						

- 4. I am familiar with the time recording and billing practices of DOJ and the procedure for charging the client agency for the reasonable and necessary work performed on a particular case. It is the duty of the time keeping employees to keep track of the time spent and to report that time in DOJ's computerized case management system at or near the time of the tasks performed.
- 5. On October 5, 2007, I requested a billing summary for this case from the Accounting Department of the DOJ. In response on, October 5, 2007, I received a document entitled "Matter Time Activity by Professional Type." I hereby certify that the Matter Time Activity by Professional Type, attached hereto as Exhibit A, and herein incorporated by reference, is a true and correct copy of the billing summary for this matter that I received from the Accounting Department. The summary includes the billing costs incurred by myself, as well as other professionals of the DOJ who worked on the matter; and sets forth the tasks undertaken, the amount of time billed for the activity, and the billing rate by professional type. The billing summary is comprehensive of the charges by the Office to the Board of Pharmacy through October 5, 2007. It does not include billing for tasks performed after October 5, 2007.
- 6. Based upon the time reported through October 5, 2007, as set forth in Exhibit A, DOJ has billed the Board of Pharmacy \$2,093.50 for the time spent working on the above entitled case.
- 7. To the best of my knowledge the items of cost set forth in this certification are correct and were necessarily incurred in this case.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on October 5, 2007, in the City of San Diego, California.

RITA M. LANE

Deputy Attorney General

Declarant

Matter Time Activity By Professional Type

As Of 10/5/2007

Trans #	Date	Section	Client	Task	Hours Worked	Rate	Amount Adj?	Stmn D
latter ID: SD20 escription: Es			-	ened: 07/18/2006				
rofessional Type:	ATTORN	IEY						
Fiscal Year: 2007-	2008							
Professional: Rita M	. Lane							
800293532	07/24/07	CV-LIC:110	03583	Client Communication	0.25	\$158.00	\$39.50	07/31/07
800293533		CV-LIC:110	03583	Contract/Document Preparation	0.25	\$158.00	\$39.50	07/31/07
800295515	08/01/07	CV-LIC:110	03583	Case Management	0.25	\$158.00	\$39.50	08/31/07
800296148	08/03/07	CV-LIC:110	03583	Case Management	0.25	\$158.00	\$39.50	08/31/0
800303401	08/31/07	CV-LIC:110	03583	Client Communication	0.25	\$158.00	\$39.50	08/31/0
800303643	09/04/07	CV-LIC:110	03583	Client Communication	0.25	\$158.00	\$39.50	09/30/0
800306266	09/13/07	CV-LIC:110	03583	Case Management	0.25	\$158.00	\$39.50	09/30/0
				Rita M. Lane Totals:	1.75		\$276.50	
				2007-2008 Totals:	1.75		\$276.50	
Fiscal Year: 2006-								
Professional: Marga			00500	O to P to	0.05	4. 50.00	***	
800272623	05/03/07	CV-LIC:110	03583	Supervisory Review	0.25	\$158.00	\$39.50	05/31/0
				Margaret Ann Lafko Totals:	0.25		\$39.50	
Professional: Rita M	. Lane							
800205117	07/18/06	CV-LIC:110	03583	Case Management	0.25	\$158.00	\$39.50	07/31/0
800205584	07/19/06	CV-LIC:110	03583	Document Analysis	0.50	\$158.00	\$79.00	07/31/0
800208984	08/03/06	CV-LIC:110	03583	Document Analysis	0.50	\$158.00	\$79.00	08/31/0
800218217	09/15/06	CV-LIC:110	03583	Case Management	0.25	\$158.00	\$39.50	09/30/0
800225439	10/16/06	CV-LIC:110	03583	Case Management	0.25	\$158.00	\$39.50	10/31/0
800234739	11/27/06	CV-LIC:110	03583	Case Management	0.25	\$158.00	\$39.50	11/30/0
800235186	11/28/06	CV-LIC:110	03583	Case Management	0.25	\$158.00	\$39.50	11/30/0
800237989	12/01/06	CV-LIC:110	03583	Document Analysis	1.00	\$158.00	\$158.00	12/31/0
800245286	01/04/07	CV-LIC:110	03583	Document Analysis	1.00	\$158.00	\$158.00	01/31/0
800272554	05/03/07	CV-LIC:110	03583	Pleading Preparation	0.50	\$158.00	\$79.00	05/31/0
800278226	05/25/07	CV-LIC:110	03583	Case Management	0.25	\$158.00	\$39.50	05/31/0
800283502	06/14/07	CV-LIC:110	03583	Case Management	0.25	\$158.00	\$39.50	06/30/0

Trans #	Date	Section	Client	Task	Hours Worked	Rate	Amount	Adj?	Stmn Date
800288494	06/29/07	CV-LIC:110	03583	Client Communication	0.50	\$158.00	\$79.00		06/30/07
				Rita M. Lane Totals:	5.75		\$908.50		
Professional: Susan	L. Fitzgera	ald							
800272468	05/02/07	CV-LIC:110	03583	Case Evaluation/Assessment	3.50	\$158.00	\$553.00		05/31/07
800272679	05/03/07	CV-LIC:110	03583	Pleading Preparation	2.00	\$158.00	\$316.00		05/31/07
				Susan L. Fitzgerald Totals:	5.50		\$869.00		
				2006-2007 Totals:	11.50		\$1,817.00		
				ATTORNEY Totals:	13.25		\$2,093.50		
				SD2006801518 Totals:	13.25		\$2,093.50		

Date: 10/5/07 01:02PM

STATE AND CONSUMERS AFFAIRS AGENCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, GOVERNOR

CERTIFICATION OF COSTS OF INVESTIGATION BY AGENCY EXECUTIVE OFFICER

I, Virginia Herold, declare that I am the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, and in that capacity certify pursuant to the provisions of the Business and Professions Code Sections 4350 and 4359, that I filed Accusation Number **3006** against **Marcos J. Espinoza**, who holds the pharmacy technician license number **TCH 32897**.

CERTIFICATION OF COSTS INCURRED

In my capacity as the Executive Officer, I review and approve payment for costs incurred by the Board of Pharmacy in the enforcement of the laws and regulations under its jurisdiction. I have reviewed the records of the agency and these reflect that the following costs and fees have been incurred by the agency in connection with the investigation of Accusation Number **3006**.

Inspector's costs for
 4 hours at \$65.00 per hour

\$ 2,210.00

Total Investigative Costs

\$ 2,210.00

CERTIFICATION

I certify pursuant to the provisions of Section 125.3 of the Business and Professions Code of the State of California that, to the best of my knowledge, the foregoing statement of costs incurred by the Board of Pharmacy is true and correct and that the amounts set forth therein do not exceed the actual and reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution in Case Number 3006.

Dated: October 23, 2007

Vrginia/Hèrold Executive Officer