BEFORE THE
BOARD OF PHARMACY
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation and Petition to
Revoke Probation Against:

SYLVAN HAROLD COHEN
P. O. Box 492
New London, Missouri 63459

Pharmacist License No. RPH 33498,
Respondent.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby adopted by the
Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California, as its Decision in this
matter. This decision shall become effective on March 23, 2007.

It is so ORDERED February 21, 2007.

BOARD OF PHARMACY
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

WILLIAM POWERS
Board President
BILLOCKYER, Attorney General
of the State of California

ARTHUR D. TAGGART
Lead Supervising Deputy Attorney General

LESLEIA.BURGERMYER, State Bar No. 117576
Deputy Attorney General
California Department of Justice
1300 I Street, Suite 125
P.O. Box 944255
Sacramento, CA 94244-2550
Telephone: (916) 324-5337
Facsimile: (916) 327-8643

Attorneys for Complainant

BEFORE THE
BOARD OF PHARMACY
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation and Petition to
Revoke Probation Against:

SYLVAN HAROLD COHEN
P. O. Box 492
New London, Missouri 63459

Pharmacist License No. RPH 33498,
Respondent.

Case No. 3004

STIPULATED SURRENDER OF
LICENSE AND ORDER

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the
above-entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:

PARTIES

1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") is the Interim Executive Officer of the
Board of Pharmacy ("Board"). Complainant brought this action solely in her official capacity
and is represented in this matter by Bill Lockyer, Attorney General of the State of California, by
Leslie A. Burgermyer, Deputy Attorney General.

2. Respondent Sylvan Harold Cohen ("Respondent") is representing himself
in this proceeding and has chosen not to exercise his right to be represented by counsel.

3. On or about December 3, 1979, the Board issued Pharmacist Number RPH
JURISDICTION

Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation No. 3004 ("Accusation") was filed before the Board and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on October 11, 2006. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A true and correct copy of Accusation No. 3004 is attached hereto, marked as Exhibit A, and incorporated herein by this reference.

ADVICEMENT AND WAIVERS

5. Respondent has carefully read and understands the charges and allegations in the Accusation. Respondent also has carefully read and understands the effects of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order.

6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel, at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

8. Respondent denies the allegations in the Accusation. However, if Respondent, in the future, should ever come before the Board, or their successors, in any type of matter, Respondent stipulates that the charges set forth in the attached Accusation shall be deemed to be true without the necessity of further proof. The stipulations set forth in this paragraph are made by Respondent herein for purposes of this Stipulation, for any other disciplinary proceedings by the Board, or their successors, and for any petition under Government Code section 11522, or application for licensure, and shall be inadmissible and have
no force or effect in any other case or proceeding. In the event this settlement is not adopted by
the Board, the stipulations will not become effective and may not be used for any purpose.

9. Respondent understands that by signing this Stipulation he enables the
Board to order accepting the surrender of his Pharmacist License No. RPH 33498 without
further process.

CONTINGENCY

10. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board. Respondent
understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board may
communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and surrender, without notice to
or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees
that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the
Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and
Order, the Stipulated Surrender and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for
this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board
shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

11. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated
Surrender of License and Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force
and effect as the originals.

12. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties
agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the
following Order:

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacist License No. RPH 33498 issued to
Respondent is surrendered and accepted by the Board.

1. The surrender of Respondent's Pharmacist License No. RPH 33498 and
the acceptance of the surrendered license by the Board shall constitute the imposition of
discipline against Respondent. This Stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall
become a part of Respondent's license history with the Board.
Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a Pharmacist and to practice pharmacy in the State of California as of the effective date of the Board’s Decision and Order.

Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Board both his wall and pocket license certificate on or before the effective date of the Decision and Order.

Respondent understands and agrees that if he ever applies for licensure or petitions for reinstatement in the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a new application for licensure. Respondent must comply with all the laws, regulations, and procedures for licensure in effect at the time the application or petition is filed, and all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 3004 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent when the Board determines whether to grant or deny the application or petition.

Upon reinstatement of the license, Respondent shall pay to the Board costs associated with its investigation and enforcement pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 125.3 in the amount of $2,719.50. Respondent shall be permitted to pay these costs in a payment plan approved by the Board. Respondent shall pay the costs to the Board prior to issuance of a new or reinstated license.

Respondent may not apply or re-apply for, or petition for reinstatement of, any license, permit, or registration from the Board for three (3) years from the effective date of this Decision and Order.

ACCEPTANCE

I enter into this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

DATED: January 4, 2007

SYLVAN HAROLD COHEN
Respondent

License wallet license only document after all these years - included
ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

DATED: 11/9/07

BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California

LESLIE A. BURGERMYER
Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Complainant
Exhibit A
Accusation No.
ATTORNEYS FOR COMPLAINANT

BEFORE THE
CALIFORNIA STATE BOARD OF PHARMACY
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF THE ACCUSATION AGAINST:

SYLVAN HAROLD COHEN

P. O. BOX 492

NEW LONDON, MISSOURI 63459

PHARMACIST NO. RPH 33498

RESPONDENT.

COMPLAINANT ALLEGES:

PARTIES

1. Virginia Herold ("COMPLAINANT") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Interim Executive Officer of the California Board of Pharmacy ("BOARD"), Department of Consumer Affairs.

2. On or about December 3, 1979, the BOARD issued Pharmacist Number RPH 33498 to Sylvan Harold Cohen ("RESPONDENT"). At all times relevant to the charges brought herein against Respondent, his Pharmacist License Number RPH 33498 was in effect.

RESPONDENT'S LICENSE WILL EXPIRE ON JANUARY 31, 2008, UNLESS RENEWED.

PRIOR DISCIPLINE

3. In a disciplinary action entitled IN THE MATTER OF THE ACCUSATION AGAINST:

SYLVAN HAROLD COHEN, OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS ("OAH") CASE NO. N-36329, THE BOARD

ISSUED A DECISION, EFFECTIVE JANUARY 5, 1991, IN WHICH RESPONDENT'S PHARMACIST LICENSE NUMBER
RPH 33498 was revoked, immediately stayed, and Respondent was placed on probation for three (3) years with specific terms and conditions. The Board’s probationary terms and conditions were tolled during the period(s) that Respondent lived outside the State of California. A true and correct copy of the Decision in OAH Case No. N-36329 is attached hereto, marked as Exhibit A, and incorporated herein by this reference.

**JURISDICTION**

4. This Accusation and Petition to Revoke is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code ("Code") unless otherwise indicated.

5. Section 4301 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

... 

"(g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.

..."

"(n) The revocation, suspension, or other discipline by another state of a license to practice pharmacy, operate a pharmacy, or do any other act for which a license is required by this chapter."

6. Section 4300 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"(d) The board may initiate disciplinary proceedings to revoke or suspend any probationary certificate of licensure for any violation of the terms and conditions of probation. Upon satisfactory completion of probation, the board shall convert the probationary certificate to a regular certificate, free of conditions."

7. California Code of Regulations ("Regulation"), title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and
Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

8. Probation Condition 15 in OAH Case No. N-36329 states:

"Should respondent violate probation in any respect, the Board, after giving respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order which was stayed. If a petition to revoke probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final."

COST RECOVERY

9. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

ACCUSATION

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Knowingly Signed Document Making False Statement)

10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (g), on the ground of unprofessional conduct, in that:

a. On or about June 14, 2004, Respondent responded "no" in response to questions on the Certification of Personnel form which was attached to the application for Change of Pharmacist-in-Charge form submitted to the Board by the Barstow Community Hospital Pharmacy, a Board-licensed pharmacy. The questions inquired whether Respondent’s Pharmacist License had been subject to discipline by this State or any other State, whether he had previously violated provisions of pharmacy law in California or any other State, and whether he had been convicted of, or plead no contest to, a violation of any law of a foreign country, the United States, or of any State or local jurisdiction.

///
b. On or about June 14, 2004, Respondent failed to disclose his prior discipline in OAH Case No. N-36329 and 1976 conviction on the Certification of Personnel form which was attached to the Change of Pharmacist-in-Charge form submitted to the Board by Barstow Community Hospital Pharmacy, a Board-licensed pharmacy.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Out of State Discipline)

11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (n), on the ground of unprofessional conduct, in that:

a. Effective on or about May 13, 2000, pursuant to an order by the Missouri State Board of Pharmacy in the case entitled In the Matter of Sylvan H. Cohen, Case No. 98-002093 PH, Respondent’s Missouri pharmacist license was disciplined for violating Missouri pharmacy law.

b. Effective on or about October 10, 2001, pursuant to a consent order by the Illinois Department of Professional Regulation in the case entitled Department of Professional Regulation of the State of California v. Sylvan H. Cohen, Case No. 2000-01563-1, Respondent’s Illinois pharmacist license was disciplined for violating Illinois law.

PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION

FIRST CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Successfully Participate in and Complete Impaired Pharmacists Program)

12. At all times after the effective date of Respondent’s probation, Condition 1 stated:

"Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall contact the Impaired Pharmacists Program for evaluation and shall successfully participate in and complete the treatment contract as recommended by the IPP. The costs for IPP participation shall be borne by the respondent."

13. Respondent’s probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Condition 1 in that on or about August 25, 2005, MAXIMUS, the IPP provider, terminated Respondent from the IPP in that, including but not limited to, Respondent was no longer participating in the IPP and had a delinquent balance for payment of the program fees.
SECOND CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Employed as Pharmacist-in-Charge While on Probation)

14. At all times after the effective date of Respondent’s probation, Condition 13 stated:

"Respondent shall not supervise any registered intern or technician and shall not perform any of the duties of a preceptor, nor shall respondent be the pharmacist-in-charge of any pharmacy licensed by the Board."

15. Respondent’s probation is subject to revocation because in violation of Condition 13, he was employed on or about June 14, 2004, as the Pharmacist-in-Charge for the Barstow Community Hospital Pharmacy, a Board-licensed pharmacy. The Board had inadvertently approved the application for Change of Pharmacist-in-Charge on or about September 15, 2004, and notified the Barstow Community Hospital Pharmacy of the error on or about October 6, 2004.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist Number RPH 33498, issued to Sylvan Harold Cohen;

2. Ordering Sylvan Harold Cohen to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and

3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 10/3/06

[Signature]

Virginia Herold
Interim Executive Officer
Board of Pharmacy
State of California
Complainant
In the Matter of the Accusation
Against: SYLVAN HAROLD COHEN
Respondent.

No. 1497
OAH No. N-36329

DECISION

The attached Proposed Decision of the Administrative Law Judge is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy as its Decision in the above-entitled matter.

This Decision shall become effective on January 5, 1991.

IT IS SO ORDERED December 5, 1990.

BOARD OF PHARMACY

ROBERT TOOMAJIAN
PRESIDENT

OAH 15 (Rev. 6/84)
PROPOSED DECISION


Paul B. Bishop, Deputy Attorney General, represented complainant.

Sylvan Harold Cohen appeared in person and was not otherwise represented.

Evidence was received and the record remained open for the verification of records from the State of Missouri. The record was closed and the matter was submitted on October 26, 1990.

FINDINGS OF FACT

I

Complainant, Patricia F. Harris, made and filed the Accusation in her official capacity as Interim Executive Officer, Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California, and not otherwise.

II

On December 3, 1979, the Board of Pharmacy (hereinafter referred to as the "Board") issued Original Licentiate Number RPH 33498 to Sylvan Harold Cohen (hereinafter referred to as "respondent"). The license has been in full force and effect at all times pertinent herein and has been renewed through January 31, 1990.
On or about April 20, 1988, the Missouri State Board of Pharmacy and respondent stipulated to a Consent Order before the Administrative Hearing Commission of the State of Missouri, which placed respondent's pharmacy license in that state on suspension for one year and thereafter on probation for five years. Respondent consented to discipline under Counts I and II of the Complaint and neither admitted nor denied the allegation contained in Count III of the Complaint, but admitted that if the matter went to hearing that petitioner would present sufficient evidence to support a finding that cause existed to discipline him under the allegations in that count. Count I in the Complaint filed by the State Board of Pharmacy in Missouri, alleged that respondent took the biennial inventory for Americare Pharmacy and that he failed to show the time in which it was conducted, did not list open or close of business, and did not list the name of the store or its DEA number. In Count II of the Complaint the following allegations were made:

"18. The records maintained by Americare Pharmacy were not complete and accurate in that the 100 mg tablet stock of Demerol actually on hand showed approximately a 34 tablet surplus over the record amount.

"19. The records maintained by Americare Pharmacy were not complete and accurate in that the 100 mg tablet stock of Ritalin actually on hand showed approximately a 161 tablet surplus over the record amount.

"20. The records maintained by Americare Pharmacy were not complete and accurate in that the stock of Dexadrine and Percodan actually on hand showed shortages from the record amounts. The records also were inaccurate in that the stock of Tylox, Demerol tablets, and Percocet tablets actually on hand showed surpluses over the record amounts.

"21. The records maintained by Americare Pharmacy were not complete and accurate in that the injectable stock of Demerol actually on hand showed at least 48 vials not accounted for...

"24. The records maintained at Americare Pharmacy were not complete and accurate in that the injectable stock of Nubain showing a shortage of at least 1,720 doses from the record amount. Nubain is the brand name for nalbuphine hydrochloride and is a prescription drug."
Count III of the Complaint contained the following allegation:

"26. At the time of the audits, the Americare Pharmacy prescription file contained a number of prescriptions suffering from deficiencies as noted below.

"Five prescriptions did not contain the necessary information for controlled substances. Rx0200179 for Paregoric did not contain the pharmacist's signature. Rx199977 for Xanax .25mg did not contain the DEA number of the physician. Rx199971 for Halcion .25mg did not contain the DEA number of the physician. Rx199968 for Darvocet N 1 did not contain the DEA number of the physician. Rx199922 for Valium 10 did not contain the pharmacist's signature."

Respondent is 49 years old, is separated from his wife and has two children ages 8 and 10. In December 1984 he underwent surgery for thrombosed prolapsed hemorrhoids. He was sent home from his surgery with a prescription for Demerol 100 mg to be taken 3 times a day. He traces his dependency on drugs following his surgery. He continued the Demerol after his prescription expired. Following the suspension of his Missouri license in April 1988 respondent entered St. Louis Cope, Inc., a treatment facility. He remained at Cope until December 1988. In December 1988 he continued treatment with Dr. S. Young Choi, M.D. and he is still under Dr. Choi's care. Respondent has undergone routine and random urine tests and the results have all been negative. Since December 1988 respondent has worked as a staff pharmacist at St. Mary's Hospital in Centralia, Illinois. There is a favorable letter of recommendation in evidence from Bruce A. Merrell, the pharmacy director at St. Mary's Hospital. Dr. Choi, in a letter dated July 11, 1990, recommends that respondent receive continuous out-patient follow up and care including antidepressant medication, individual medical psychotherapy and urine drug screens once every two weeks. He further suggests that the respondent be under a doctor's care continuously for at least another three years and he states the opinion that the respondent will be able to practice as a good pharmacist after moving to California.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

Cause for discipline of respondent's license for violation of Business and Professions Code sections 4350.5, 4350(a) and 4355 was established by Findings III and IV.
ORDER

License No. RPH 33498 issued to respondent Sylvan Harold Cohen is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and respondent is placed on probation for three years on the following terms and conditions:

1. Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall contact the Impaired Pharmacists Program for evaluation and shall successfully participate in and complete the treatment contract as recommended by the IPP. The costs for IPP participation shall be borne by the respondent.

2. Respondent shall immediately submit to biological fluid testing, at respondent's cost, upon the request of the Board or its designee.

3. Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, and on a periodic basis as may be required by the Board or its designee, respondent shall undergo psychiatric evaluation by a Board-appointed psychiatrist who shall furnish a psychiatric report to the Board or its designee.

   If the psychotherapist recommends, and the Board or its designee directs respondent to undergo psychotherapy, respondent shall, within 30 days of written notice of the need for psychotherapy, submit to the Board or its designee, for its prior approval, the recommended program for ongoing psychotherapeutic care. Respondent shall undergo and continue psychotherapy until further notice from the Board. Respondent shall have the treating psychotherapist submit quarterly reports to the Board, or its designee.

   If recommended by the psychotherapist and approved by the Board or its designee, respondent shall be barred from practicing pharmacy until the treating psychotherapist recommends, in writing and stating the basis therefor, that respondent can safely practice pharmacy, and the Board approves said recommendation.

4. Respondent shall not have any legal or beneficial interest in any business, firm, partnership, or corporation currently or hereinafter licensed by the Board and shall not own any pharmacy.
5. Respondent shall pay to the Board its costs of investigation in the amount of $500. Respondent shall make said payments as follows:

Due and payable on the effective date of this decision.

6. Respondent shall obey all federal and state laws and regulations substantially related to the practice of pharmacy.

7. Respondent shall report to the Board or its designee quarterly. Said report shall be either in person or in writing, as directed. Should the final probation report not be made as directed, the period of probation shall be extended until such time as the final report is made.

8. Respondent shall submit to peer review as deemed necessary by the Board.

9. Respondent shall provide evidence of efforts to maintain skill and knowledge as a pharmacist as directed by the Board.

10. Respondent shall notify all present and prospective employers of the Board's Decision and the terms, conditions and restriction imposed on respondent by said decision.

11. Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, and within 15 days of respondent undertaking new employment, respondent shall cause his/her employer to report to the Board in writing acknowledging the employer has read the Board's Decision.

12. Should respondent work for or be employed by or through a pharmacy employment service, it shall be the obligation of the respondent to ensure the pharmacy at which he/she is to be employed or used of the fact and terms of this disciplinary order in advance of the respondent commencing work at the pharmacy.

"Employment" within the meaning of this provision shall include any full-time, part-time, temporary or relief service as a pharmacist, whether the respondent is considered an employee or independent contractor.

13. Respondent shall not supervise any registered intern or technician and shall not perform any
of the duties of a preceptor, nor shall respondent be the pharmacist-in-charge of any pharmacy licensed by the Board.

14. Should respondent leave California to reside or practice outside this state, respondent must notify the Board in writing of the dates of departure and return. Periods of residency or practice outside the state shall not apply to reduction of the probationary period.

15. Should respondent violate probation in any respect, the Board, after giving respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order which was stayed. If a petition to revoke probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

16. Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's certificate will be fully restored.

Dated: October 23, 1950

KEITH A. LEVY
Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Hearings
2. Article Number
(Transfer from service label)

7003 1680 0002 3841 6188

Sylvan Harold Cohen
P.O. Box 492
New London, Missouri 63459

SENDERS: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

☑ Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
☑ Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
☑ Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:
   Sylvan Harold Cohen
   P.O. Box 492
   New London, Missouri 63459

2. Article Number
   (Transfer from service label)

   7003 1680 0002 3841 6188

PS Form 3811, February 2004
Domestic Return Receipt
102595-02-M-1540

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
   X Jamie K. Cohen
   ☐ Agent
   ☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)
   Jamie K. Cohen

C. Date of Delivery
   10-16-04

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes
   ☐ No

3. Service Type
   ☐ Certified Mail
   ☐ Express Mail
   ☐ Registered
   ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
   ☐ Insured Mail
   ☐ C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee)
   ☐ Yes
1. Please include your License No. with any correspondence to this office.
2. Notify the Board of Pharmacy of any name or address change in writing.
3. Report any loss immediately in writing to the Board.
4. Please sign and carry the Pocket License with you.

Please retain this receipt in a safe location.