ERRATA

Corrections to Stipulated Revocation of License and Order and Exhibit A Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation No. 2949

Stipulated Revocation of License and Order and Exhibit A, the Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation No. 2949, contains references to Pharmacist License No. RPH 49485 in the underlying disciplinary action entitled "In the Matter of the Accusation against Cesar B. Cabrera" which, pursuant to a decision of the Board of Pharmacy effective May 27, 2005, revoked Respondent's Pharmacist License but stayed revocation in favor of probation for five (5) years. Those references mistakenly use Pharmacist License No. RPH 49485 rather than Pharmacist License No. RPH 41132. This clerical error is hereby corrected as part of the Board's Stipulated Revocation of License and Order. This Errata page shall become part of Respondent's disciplinary history with the Board. For the purposes of correcting the record, the following changes are hereby made to the Stipulated Revocation of License and Order and Exhibit A:

Stipulated Revocation of License and Order:

Page 1, Line 17: Substitute "41132" for "49485" Page 2, Line 4: Substitute "41132" for "49485" Page 3, Line 24: Substitute "41132" for "49485"

Exhibit A

Page 1, Line 15: Substitute "41132" for "49485" Page 1, Line 23: Substitute "41132" for "49485" Page 6, Line 6: Substitute "41132" for "49485" Page 6, Line 7: Substitute "41132" for "49485"

1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California	
2	MARGARET A. LAFKO Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
3	JAMES M. LEDAKIS, State Bar No. 132645 Deputy Attorney General	
4	California Department of Justice 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100	
5	San Diego, CA 92101	
6	P.O. Box 85266	
7	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2105	
8	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
9	Attorneys for Complainant	
10	BEFORE THE	
11	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER OF AFFAIRS	
12	STATE OF CAL	AFORNIA
13	In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke Probation	Case No. 2949
14	Against:	OAH No. L 2006070432
15	CESAR B. CABRERA 31921 Old Hickory Road	STIPULATED REVOCATION OF
16	Trabuco Canyon, ČA 92679	LICENSE AND ORDER
17	Pharmacist License No. 49485	
18	Respondent.	
19		
20		resolution of this matter, consistent with the
21	public interest and the responsibility of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), the parties hereby agree	
22	to the following Stipulated Revocation of License and Order which will be submitted to the	
23	Board for approval and adoption as the final disposition of the Petition.	
24	PARTIES	
25	1. Patricia F. Harris (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of	
26	Pharmacy. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter	
27	by Bill Lockyer, Attorney General of the State of California, by James M. Ledakis, Deputy	
28	Attorney General.	
ı	1	

27

CULPABILITY

- 8. Respondent understands that the charges and allegations in Petition No. 2949, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Pharmacist License.
- 9. For the purpose of resolving the Petition without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Petition and that those charges constitute cause for discipline. Respondent hereby gives up his right to contest that cause for discipline exists based on those charges.
- 10. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation he enables the Board to order the Revocation of his Pharmacist License without further process.

RESERVATION

The admissions made by Respondent herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Board or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.

CONTINGENCY

- 12. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Revocation of License and Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 13. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacist License No. 49485, issued to Respondent CESAR B. CABRERA is revoked and accepted by the Board.

14. The Revocation of Respondent's Pharmacist License and the acceptance of the revoked license by the Board shall constitute the imposition of discipline against Respondent. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part of Respondent's

2	
3	
4	
5	
б	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	1
24	
24 25	
26 27	
2 7	
28	
	11

license history with the Board.

- 15. Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a pharmacist in California as of the effective date of the Director's Decision and Order.
- 16. Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Board all his licenses on or before the effective date of the Decision and Order.
- 17. Respondent understands and agrees that if he ever applies for licensure or petitions for reinstatement in the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a new application for licensure. Respondent must comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for licensure in effect at the time the application or petition is filed, and all of the charges and allegations contained in Petition No. 2949 shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by Respondent when the Board determines whether to grant or deny the application or petition.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Revocation of License and Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Donald Brown. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacist License. I enter into this Stipulated Revocation of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board.

DATED: 12/5/06

CESAR B. CARRERA (Respondent)

I have read and fully discussed with CESAR B. CABRERA the terms and conditions and other matters contained in this Stipulated Revocation of License and Order. I approve its form and content.

DATED: _____NOV 3 0 2006

Attorney for Respondent

4

TREADPAIRTOTE 4 BD (18NC 40 *148

90:21

9002/02/11

The foregoing Stipulated Revocation of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board.

DATED: Dumber 4, 2004

BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California

JAMES M. LEDAKIS
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Complainant

DOJ Matter ID: SD2005800300 Stipulated Revocation.wpd

_1.7

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER OF AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Petition Against:	Case No. 2949
CESAR B. CABRERA 31921 Old Hickory Road Trabuco Canyon, CA 92679	OAH No. L 2006070432
Pharmacist License No. 49485	
Respondent	
DECISION A The attached Stipulated Revocatio	ND ORDER on of License and Order is hereby adopted by the
Board, as its Decision in this matter.	
This Decision shall become effecti	ive on <u>February 21, 2007</u>
It is so ORDERED <u>January 22</u>	2, 2007

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Ву

WILLIAM POWERS
Board President

Exhibit A
Petition No. 2949

1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General		
2,	of the State of California JAMES M. LEDAKIS, State Bar No. 132645		
3	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice		
4	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101		
5	P.O. Box 85266		
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2105	•	
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
	Attorneys for Complainant		
8			
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2949	
13	CESAR B. CABRERA	PETITION TO REVOKE	
14	31921 Old Hickory Road	PROBATION	
15	Trabuco Canyon, CA 92679 Pharmicist No. RPH 49485		
16	Respondent.		
17			
18	Complainant alleges:		
19	PARTIE	<u>.S</u>	
20	1. Patricia F. Harris (Complainant) brings this Petition to Revoke Probation		
21	solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy.		
22	2. On or about August 18, 1987,	the Board issued Pharmacist No. RPH	
23	49485 to CESAR B. CABRERA (Respondent). Said license will expire on December 31, 2006.		
24	unless renewed.		
25	3. In a disciplinary action entitle	d "In the Matter of the Accusation Against	
26.	Cesar B. Cabrera," Case No. 2777, the Board issued a decision, effective March 5, 2005, in		
27	which Respondent's Pharmacist license was revoked.	However, the revocation was stayed and	
28	Respondent's pharmacist license was placed on proba	ation for a period of five (5) years with	

1	certain terms and conditions. A copy of that decision is attached as Exhibit A and is incorporated	
2	by reference.	
3	JURISDICTION	
4	4. This Petition to Revoke Probation is brought before the Board, under the	
5	authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code	
6	unless otherwise indicated.	
7	5. Section 4300 of the Code states:	
8	(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.	
9	any probationary certificate of licensure for any violation of the terms and conditions of probation. Upon satisfactory completion of probation, the board shall convert the	
10		
11	probationary certificate to a regular certificate, free of conditions.	
12	6. Section 4301 of the Code states:	
13	The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation	
14		
15	(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty,	
16		
17	needsee of otherwise, and whether the act is a felony of infisienteanor of fiot.	
18	7. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may	
19	request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or	
20	violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation	
21	and enforcement of the case.	
2,2	8. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension,	
23	expiration, surrender, cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to	
24	proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed,	
25	restored, reissued or reinstated.	
26	Facts:	
27	9. On January 8, 2003, respondent was arrested by the Orange County	
28	Sheriff's Department for possession for sale of Hydrocodone, which he admitted stealing from	

his employer in Long Beach, California. On December 18, 2003, respondent pled guilty to felony possession for sale of Hydrocodone. He was sentenced to serve 90 days in jail, pay \$400.00 in restitution, complete a one year outpatient drug treatment, and given three years probation.

- 10. On July 27, 2004, the Board filed an Accusation against respondent for a conviction substantially related his licensure as a pharmacist.
- 11. On December 27, 2004, respondent signed a stipulated settlement placing his license on five years probation with suspension and other terms and conditions.
- 12. On February 4, 2005, the Board adopted the stipulated agreement with respondent to be effective March 5, 2005. On March 8, 2005, respondent appeared at his probation conference to review all the terms and conditions of his probation, including his suspension from pharmacy practice from March 5, 2005 through June 4, 2005.
- 13. As part of the Pharmacists Recovery Program Treatment Contract, respondent agreed to be bound by the terms of the program. As part of his recovery, respondent agreed to the following:
 - a. Abstain from the use of alcohol and all other mind altering drugs;
 - b. Return to work as a pharmacist up to 24 hours per week;
 - c. Not work in a pharmacy where a family member is employed;
 - d. Be supervised 75% of each work week by another licensed pharmacist;
 - e. Not supervise others, act a Pharmacist in Charge, Manager or preceptor to interns or new employees;
 - f. Report all relapses to Maximus and cease practice upon relapse;
 - g. Understand that he could be terminated from the PRP for failure to comply with the contract.
- 14. On June 27, 2005 and July 6, 2005, respondent tested positive for alcohol. On July 6, 2005, respondent denied alcohol use and denied knowing that the PRP Program prohibited alcohol use. Finally, he admitted to drinking. Maximus verbally suspended respondent from the practice of pharmacy and told him to leave work.
- 15. Maximus advised respondent that he did not have a work-site monitor in place and that he had not completed the work-site monitor paperwork. Respondent was advised that his current work place, Home Care Pharmacy in Riverside, violated his PRP contract because his wife was the Pharmacist in Charge. Later, respondent was notified in writing that he

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

returned to work at Home Care Pharmacy without notification to Maximus; continued to work at		
Home Care Pharmacy where his wife was the Pharmacist in Charge, which was in conflict with		
nis PRP contract; and that continued violations could result in his termination from the PRP		
Program due to non-compliance. Respondent was ordered to enter an inpatient residential		
chemical dependency treatment program for 30 days treatment.		

- 16. On July 8, 2005, respondent was working at Home Care Pharmacy, and was the only pharmacist present. There were two pharmacy technicians present. The Board confronted respondent with working in violation of his probation, and working in violation of his suspension. Respondent had a key to the pharmacy.
- 17. Maximus notified the Board that respondent had been terminated from the Pharmacists' Recovery Program effective July 13, 2005 for these violations:
 - a. Tested positive for alcohol on June 27, 2005 and July 5, 2006;
 - b. Returned to work without a work site monitor agreement in place with a licensed pharmacist;
 - c. Returned to work where a relative worked;
 - d. Failed to enter inpatient chemical dependency treatment;
 - e. Returned to work after relapse;
 - f. Returned to work after suspension by Maximus;
 - g. Non compliance with PRP Contract.
- On July 14, 2005, the Board sent respondent a letter informing him that his 18. license was suspended due to his termination from the PRP program. Respondent was advised not to enter any pharmacy during his suspension and not to practice pharmacy.
- 19. On August 11, 2005, the Board advised respondent that he failed to reimburse the Board its costs per the Board decision.
- On October 6, 2005, the Board inspected Home Care Pharmacy and 20. discovered that respondent was the only pharmacist on duty at the store. Respondent was confronted by the Board, but he had no excuses for working in violation of the Board's prior order suspending him for 90 days. Respondent worked on the following dates in violation of the 90 day suspension: March 7, 10, 21, 2005; April 13, 16, 2005; May 16, 20, 26, 31, 2005; and June 3, 2005.

1	FIRST CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION	
2	(Suspension Period)	
3	21. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition	
4	No. 1 stated: Respondent shall be suspended from the practice of pharmacy for a period of 90	
5	days.	
6	22. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to	
7	comply with Probation Condition No. 1, as set forth in paragraphs 9 through 20 above, that is, he	
8	continued to work during his 90 day suspension.	
9	SECOND CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION	
10	(Reimbursement of Board Costs)	
11	23. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition	
12	No. 7 stated: Respondent shall reimburse the Board its costs.	
13	24. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to	
14	comply with Probation Condition No. 7, as set forth in paragraphs 9 through 20 above.	
15	THIRD CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION	
16	(Rehabilitation Program)	
17	25. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition	
18	No. 15 stated: Respondent shall comply with the terms of the Pharmacists' Recovery Program.	
19	26. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to	
20	comply with Probation Condition No. 15, as set forth above in paragraphs 9 through 20.	
21	FOURTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION	
22	(Abstain from Drugs and Alcohol)	
23	27. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition	
24	No. 17 stated: Respondent shall not use alcohol or drugs.	
25	28. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to	
26	comply with Probation Condition No. 17, as set forth in paragraphs 9 through 20.	
27		
28		
1	en e	

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held of	on the matters herein
alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:	

- 1. Revoking the probation that was granted by the Board of Pharmacy in Case No. 2777 and imposing the disciplinary order that was stayed thereby revoking Pharmacist license no. RPH 49485 issued to CESAR B. CABRERA;
- 2. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist license no. RPH 49485, issued to CESAR B. CABRERA;
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 4/21/06

PATRICIA F. HARRIS

Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy State of California

Complainant

SD2005800300

Petition to Revoke Probation.wpd