

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**BEFORE THE
BOARD OF PHARMACY
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

LESLIE J. DES ROCHES
1106 Reggio Place
Pomona, CA 91766

Original Pharmacy Technician Registration No.
TCH 36106

Respondent.

Case No. 2846

**DEFAULT DECISION
AND ORDER**

[Gov. Code, §11520]

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. On or about April 15, 2005, Complainant Patricia F. Harris, in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs (Board), filed Accusation No. 2846 against Leslie J. Des Roches (Respondent) before the Board.
2. On or about February 23, 2001, the Board issued Original Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 36106 to Respondent. The Original Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on May 31, 2006, unless renewed.
3. On or about April 21, 2005, Judith A. Baerresen, an employee of the Department of Justice, served by Certified and First Class Mail a copy of the Accusation No. 2846, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7 to Respondent's address of record with the Board, which was and is 1106 Reggio Place, Pomona, CA 91766. A copy of the Accusation is attached

1 as exhibit A and is incorporated herein by reference.

2 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the
3 provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).

4 5. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:

5 "(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent
6 files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the
7 accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of
8 respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing."

9 6. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service
10 upon her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of
11 Accusation No. 2846.

12 7. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:

13 "(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the
14 hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon
15 other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent."

16 8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board
17 finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on
18 Respondent's express admissions by way of default and the evidence before it, contained in
19 exhibit A and finds that the allegations in Accusation No. 2846 are true.

20 9. The total costs for investigation and enforcement are \$1,172.75 as of May
21 31, 2005.

22 DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

23 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Leslie J. Des Roches
24 has subjected her Original Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 36106 to discipline.

25 2. A copy of the Accusation is attached.

26 3. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.

27 4. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Original
28 Pharmacy Technician Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

a. Business and Profession Code section 4301, subdivision (j) - for possession of a controlled substance.

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED that Original Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 36106, heretofore issued to Respondent Leslie J. Des Roches, is revoked.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.

This Decision shall become effective on August 5, 2005.


It is so ORDERED July 6, 2005

BOARD OF PHARMACY
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Attachment:

Exhibit A: Accusation No.2846

By


STANLEY W. GOLDENBERG
Board President

DOJ docket number:03583110-LA2005500532
50043020.wpd

Exhibit A
Accusation No. 2846

1 BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General
of the State of California
2 LINDA L. SUN, State Bar No. 207108
Deputy Attorney General
3 California Department of Justice
300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702
4 Los Angeles, CA 90013
Telephone: (213) 897-6375
5 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804

6 Attorneys for Complainant

7

8

**BEFORE THE
BOARD OF PHARMACY
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

9

10

11 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 2846

12 LESLIE J. DES ROCHES
1106 Reggio Place
13 Pomona, CA 91766

A C C U S A T I O N

14 Original Pharmacy Technician Registration No.
TCH 36106

15

Respondent.

16

17

18

PARTIES

19

20 1. Patricia F. Harris (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her
official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer
21 Affairs (Board).

22

23 2. On or about February 23, 2001, the Board issued Original Pharmacy
Technician Registration No. TCH 36106 to Leslie J. Des Roches (Respondent). The pharmacy
24 technician registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought
25 herein and will expire on May 31, 2006, unless renewed.

26

///

27

///

28

///

JURISDICTION

1
2 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the
3 following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless
4 otherwise indicated.

5 4. Section 4300 of the Code permits the Board to take disciplinary action to
6 suspend or revoke a license issued by the Board.

7 5. Section 4301 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

8 “The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of
9 unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or
10 issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the
11 following:

12 ...

13 “(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state or of the United States
14 regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.”

15 6. Section 4060 states:

16 “No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a
17 person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, or veterinarian, or
18 furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section
19 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, a physician assistant pursuant to
20 Section 3502.1, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause
21 (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052. This section shall
22 not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy,
23 pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, certified nurse-midwife, nurse
24 practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name
25 and address of the supplier or producer.

26 “Nothing in this section authorizes a certified nurse-midwife, a nurse practitioner,
27 or a physician assistant to order his or her own stock of dangerous drugs and devices.”

28 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 4/15/05

P. F. Harris
PATRICIA F. HARRIS
Executive Officer
Board of Pharmacy
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California
Complainant

LA2005500532
50027508.wpd
cak (03/05)