

RECEIVED BY CLERK  
BOARD OF PHARMACY  
2005 NOV 28 PM 3:33

BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General  
of the State of California  
KIMBERLEE D. KING, State Bar No. 141813  
Deputy Attorney General  
California Department of Justice  
300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702  
Los Angeles, CA 90013  
Telephone: (213) 897-2581  
Facsimile: (213) 897-2804

Attorneys for Complainant

**BEFORE THE  
BOARD OF PHARMACY  
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 2823

LEILA NOEL HENRY  
11113 Moorpark St., #206  
North Hollywood, CA 91602

OAH No.

**STIPULATED SURRENDER OF  
LICENSE AND ORDER**

Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH  
22978

Respondent.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties in this proceeding that the following matters are true:

**PARTIES**

1. Patricia F. Harris (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Bill Lockyer, Attorney General of the State of California, by Kimberlee D. King, Deputy Attorney General.

2. LEILA NOEL HENRY (Respondent) is representing herself in this proceeding and has chosen not to exercise her right to be represented by counsel.

3. On or about June 27, 1997, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 22978 to LEILA NOEL HENRY (Respondent). The Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation

1 No. 2823 and will expire on June 30, 2005, unless renewed.

2 JURISDICTION

3 4. Accusation No. 2823 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy, and is  
4 currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required  
5 documents were properly served on Respondent on March 3, 2005. Respondent timely filed her  
6 Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 2823 is attached as  
7 exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

8 ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

9 5. Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations  
10 in Accusation No. 2823. Respondent also has carefully read, and understands the effects of this  
11 Stipulated Surrender of License and Order.

12 6. Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the  
13 right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by  
14 counsel, at her own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against her;  
15 the right to present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of  
16 subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to  
17 reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the  
18 California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

19 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up  
20 each and every right set forth above.

21 CULPABILITY

22 8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in  
23 Accusation No. 2823, agrees that cause exists for discipline and hereby surrenders her Pharmacy  
24 Technician Registration No. TCH 22978 for the Board's formal acceptance.

25 9. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation she enables the  
26 Board's order accepting the surrender of her Pharmacy Technician Registration to be issued and  
27 entered without further process.

28 ///

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

- 2
- 3
- 4

5  
6  
7

## 8

9  
10

11  
12  
13  
14

15  
16

17

18

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

25  
26  
27  
28

1 other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict licensure.

2 17. Respondent shall pay the Board its costs of investigation and enforcement  
3 in the amount of \$ 3,701.00 prior to issuance of a new or reinstated license.

4 ACCEPTANCE

5 I have carefully read the Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I understand  
6 the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacy Technician Registration. I enter into  
7 this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and  
8 agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board.

9 DATED: 10/3/05.

10  
11   
12 LEILA NOEL HENRY (Respondent)  
13 Respondent  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully  
submitted for consideration by the Board.

DATED: 11/22/2005.

BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General  
of the State of California

Kimberlee D King  
KIMBERLEE D. KING  
Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Complainant

*by*  
*James R. [Signature]*  
SDAG

DOJ Matter ID: LA2004602342  
henry-surrender-stip.wpd

**BEFORE THE**

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

LEILA NOEL HENRY  
11113 Moorpark St., #206  
North Hollywood, CA 91602

Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH  
22978

Respondent.

Case No. 2823

OAH No.

**DECISION AND ORDER**


The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby adopted by the Board, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective on February 17, 2006.

It is so ORDERED January 18, 2006.

BOARD OF PHARMACY  
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

  
STANLEY W. GOLDENBERG  
Board President

**Exhibit A**  
**Accusation No. 2823**

BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General  
of the State of California  
KIMBERLEE D. KING, State Bar No. 141813  
Deputy Attorney General  
California Department of Justice  
300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702  
Los Angeles, CA 90013  
Telephone: (213) 897-2581  
Facsimile: (213) 897-2804

Attorneys for Complainant

**BEFORE THE  
BOARD OF PHARMACY  
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 2823

LEILA NOEL HENRY  
11113 Moorpark St., #206  
North Hollywood, CA 91602

**A C C U S A T I O N**

Pharmacy Technician Registration  
No. TCH 22978,

Respondent.

Complainant alleges:

**PARTIES**

1. Patricia F. Harris (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.

2. On or about June 27, 1997, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 22978 to Leila Noel Henry (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on June 30, 2005, unless renewed.

///

///



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

JURISDICTION

3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

4. Section 4300 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that every license issued by the Board is subject to discipline, including suspension or revocation. its discretion may deem proper.

5. Section 118, subdivision (b) states:  
“The suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license issued by a board in the department, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by order of the board or by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written consent of the board, shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order suspending ore revoking the license or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the licensee on any such ground.”

6. Section 4301 of the Code states:  
“The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

....  
“(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

....

///

1                   “(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state or of the United States  
2 regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

3                   . . . .

4                   “(p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license.

5                   7.       Section 4060 of the Code states:

6                   “No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a  
7 person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, or veterinarian, or furnished  
8 pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse  
9 practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1. This  
10 section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer,  
11 wholesaler, pharmacy, physician, podiatrist, dentist, veterinarian, certified nurse-midwife, nurse  
12 practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name  
13 and address of the supplier or producer.

14                   “Nothing in this section authorizes a certified nurse-midwife, a nurse practitioner,  
15 or a physician assistant to order his or her own stock of dangerous drugs and devices.”

16                   8.       Health and Safety Code section 11170 provides: “no person shall  
17 prescribe, administer, or furnish a controlled substance for himself.”

18                   9.       Health and Safety Code section 11173, subdivision (a) provides: “no  
19 person shall obtain or attempt to obtain controlled substances, or procure or attempt to procure  
20 the administration of or prescription for controlled substances, (1) by fraud, deceit,  
21 misrepresentation, or subterfuge; or (2) by the concealment of a material fact.”

22                   10.      Business and Professions Code section 125.3, subdivision (a), states, in  
23 pertinent part:

24                   “Except as otherwise provided by law, in any order issued in resolution of a  
25 disciplinary proceeding before any board within the department . . . the board may request the  
26 administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation or violations  
27 of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and  
28 enforcement of the case.”

11. CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES AND DANGEROUS DRUGS

A. "Clonazepam, generic for Klonopin, is an anti-anxiety benzodiazepine, and a Schedule IV controlled substances as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11057(d) and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022 of the Code.

B. "Carisoprodol", generic for Soma, is a dangerous drug according to Business and Professions Code section 4022. Its indicated use is as an adjunct to rest, physical therapy and other measures for acute painful musculoskeletal conditions.

C. "Darvocet", a combination drug containing propoxyphene napsylate and acetaminophen, is a Schedule IV controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11057(c)(2) and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022 of the Code.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Administering Controlled Substances to Oneself)

12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300 and 4301, subdivisions (h) and (j) of the Code on the grounds of unprofessional conduct for violating Health and Safety Code section 11170 in that Respondent administered controlled substances and/or dangerous drugs to herself. The circumstances are as follows:

a. On or about February 18, 2004, the Highway Patrol responded to a report that a vehicle was blocking two lanes off a freeway exit. The officers who arrived at the scene observed Respondent inside her vehicle, attempting to start the car when it was already running. The front right tire was flat and shredded. The Highway Patrol officer observed that Respondent gazed absently at him with red eyes and half shut. Upon exiting the vehicle, Respondent staggered, stumbled and had to hold on to the rear of the car for balance. One of the Highway Patrol officers administered field sobriety tests on Respondent but discontinued the tests when Respondent was unable to perform the tests.

b. The Highway Patrol officer determined that Respondent was under the influence of drugs or alcohol. Respondent was subsequently arrested for driving under the influence and taken to the West Valley California Highway Patrol station where she was submitted to an urine screen test. At the police station, a drug recognition evaluator evaluated

1 Respondent and determined that she was under the influence of CNS depressants. By her own  
2 admission, Respondent had taken Clonopin and Soma. She had previously indicated that she had  
3 no medical problems.

4 SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

5 (Possession of a Controlled Substance)

6 13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300 and 4301,  
7 subdivisions (j) and (p), of the Code on the ground of unprofessional conduct for violating  
8 section 4060 of the Code, and Health and Safety Code section 11173 in that Respondent was in  
9 possession of controlled substances and/or dangerous drugs, without a prescription. The  
10 circumstances are as follows:

11 a. On or about February 18, 2004, Respondent was approached by West  
12 Valley Highway Patrol officers because her vehicle was stalled and blocking two street lanes.  
13 After it was determined that Respondent was under the influence of a controlled substance, one  
14 of the officers conducted an inventory of her vehicle and her purse. The officer found a quantity  
15 of controlled substances in Respondent's purse. They recovered nine (9) white pills labeled  
16 Carisoprodol; six (6) pills labeled Clonazepam, ten (10) pink pills labeled Propoxyphene  
17 Napsylate and two (2) pills labeled Soma Compound. All the pills were in plastic blister  
18 packaging without a prescription or dosing information. Respondent told the drug evaluator that  
19 she did not have any medical problems.

20 PRAYER

21 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein  
22 alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

23 A. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH  
24 22978, issued to Leila Noel Henry.

25 B. Ordering Leila Noel Henry to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable  
26 costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions  
27 Code section 125.3;

28 ///

1 C. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

2 DATED: 2/22/05

3

4

5

6

7

8

LA2004602342  
50008787.wpd  
CML (12/29/2004)

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

*P. J. Harris*

PATRICIA F. HARRIS  
Executive Officer  
Board of Pharmacy  
Department of Consumer Affairs  
State of California  
Complainant