RECEIVED BY CALLE 1 BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General 2005 AUG -5 PM 3: 28 of the State of California 2 JENNIFER S. CADY, State Bar No. 100437 Supervising Deputy Attorney General 3 California Department of Justice 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 4 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2442 5 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 6 Attorneys for Complainant 7 BEFORE THE **BOARD OF PHARMACY** 8 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 2771 10 OAH No. L-2005030160 S & S VALLEY HOMECARE PHARMACY 11 d.b.a.. VALLEY HOMECARE PHARMACY, STELLA ROYTMAN, President STIPULATED SURRENDER OF 12 NEIL STEPNER, Pharmacist-in-Charge, LICENSE AND ORDER AS TO 8349 Reseda Blvd., Ste. B RESPONDENT NEIL A. STEPNER 13 (PHARMACIST LICENSE NO. RPH Northridge, CA 91325 23460) ONLY 14 Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 45565 15 and 16 NEIL A. STEPNER 439 S. Oakwood Dr., #6 17 Beverly Hills, CA 90212 18 Pharmacist License No. RPH 23460 19 Respondent. 20 21 22 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties in this 23 proceeding that the following matters are true: 24 **PARTIES** 25 1. Patricia F. Harris (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of 26 Pharmacy. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter 27 by Bill Lockyer, Attorney General of the State of California, by Jennifer S. Cady, Supervising 28 Deputy Attorney General.

- 2. Respondent Neil A. Stepner (Respondent Stepner) is represented in this proceeding by attorney Bryan C. Altman, whose address is 1880 Century Park East, Suite 600, Los Angeles, CA 90067.
- 2. On or about November 30, 2001, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 45565 to S & S Valley Homecare Pharmacy d.b.a. Valley Homecare Pharmacy, Stella Roytman, President (Respondent Pharmacy). Neil Stepner was the Pharmacist-in-Charge from November 30, 2001 through November 12, 2003. The Pharmacy Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 2771; Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 45565 expired on November 1, 2004 and has not been renewed.
- 4. On or about May 13, 1964, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License No. RPH 23460 to Neil A. Stepner (Respondent Stepner). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to Charges brought herein and will expire on August 31, 2005, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

5. Accusation No. 2771 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on November 16, 2004. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 2771 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 2771. Respondent also has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel, at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of

subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 9. Respondent understands that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 2771, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Pharmacist License No. RPH 23460.
- 10. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation and that those charges constitute cause for discipline. Respondent hereby gives up his right to contest that cause for discipline exists based on those charges.
- 11. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation he enables the Board to issue an order accepting the surrender of his Pharmacist License No. RPH 23460 without further process.

CONTINGENCY

Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Surrender and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

- 13. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the (Board) may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacist License No. RPH 23460, issued to Respondent NEIL A. STEPNER is surrendered and accepted by the Board of Pharmacy.

- 1. The surrender of Respondent's Pharmacist License No. RPH 23460 and the acceptance of the surrendered license by the Board shall constitute the imposition of discipline against Respondent. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part of Respondent's license history with the Board.
- 2. Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a Pharmacist in California as of the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.
- 3. Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Board both his wall and pocket license certificate on or before the effective date of the Decision and Order.
- 4. Respondent may not reapply for any license, permit, or registration from the Board for three (3) years from the effective date of this decision.
- 5. Respondent understands and agrees that if he ever applies for licensure or petitions for reinstatement in the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a new application for licensure. Respondent must comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for licensure in effect at the time the application or petition is filed, and all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 2771 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent when the Board determines whether to grant or deny the application or petition.
- 6. Should Respondent ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other heath care licensing agency in the State of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation, No. 2771 shall be deemed

1	to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any
2	other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict licensure.
3	7. Respondent stipulates that should he apply for any license, permit or
4	registration from the Board on or after the effective date of this decision, the investigation and
5	enforcement costs in the amount of \$1,500.00 shall be paid to the Board prior to issuance of the
6	new license, permit or registration.
7	<u>ACCEPTANCE</u>
8	I have carefully read the above Stipulated Surrender of License and Order and
9	have fully discussed it with my attorney, Bryan C. Altman. I understand the stipulation and the
10	effect it will have on my Pharmacist License No. RPH 23460. I enter into this Stipulated
11	Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound
12	by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.
13	DATED:
14	
15	NEIL A. STEPNER
16	Respondent
17	
18	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent NEIL A. STEPNER the terms
19	and conditions and other matters contained in this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I
20	approve its form and content.
21	DATED:
22	
23	BRYAN C. ALTMAN
24	Attorney for Respondent
25	
26	
27	
28	

P.06

ATTY GENERAL OFFICE

U7/18/2005 10:17 FAX 3102778485 ALTMAN&MORRIS

2008/009 F.05

to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict licensure.

7. Respondent stipulates that should be apply for any lucense, permit or registration from the Board on or after the effective date of this decision, the investigation and enforcement costs in the amount of \$1,500.00 shall be paid to the Board prior to issuance of the new license, permit or registration.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Surrencer of License and Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Bryan C. Altman. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacist License No. RPH 23460. I enter into this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

DATED: 7 / 19/03

NEIDA, STEPNER Stepner

Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent NEIL A. STEPNER the terms and conditions and other matters contained in this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I approve its form and content.

DATED: 7//9/00

BRYANC. ALTMAN

Attorney for Respondent

The foregoing Stipulate submitted for consideration by the Bod DATED: July 19, 2005

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California

JENNIFER S. CADY

Supervising Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Complainant

DOJ Docket Number/Matter ID: 03583110-LA2004600701 step-set.12

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2771
S & S VALLEY HOMECARE PHARMACY D.B.A. VALLEY HOMECARE PHARMACY, STELLA ROYTMAN, NEIL STEPNER 8349 Reseda Blvd., Ste. B Northridge, CA 91325	OAH No. L-2005030160
Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 45565	
and	
NEIL A. STEPNER 439 S. Oakwood Dr., #6 Beverly Hills, CA 90212	
Pharmacist License No. RPH 23460	
Respondent.	
DECISION AN	D ORDER
The attached Stipulated Settlement a	and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by
the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer A	Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.
This Decision shall become effective	e on <u>October 6, 2005</u> .
It is so ORDERED <u>September 6</u>	5, 2005
DEPARTMI	PHARMACY ENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA
By $\frac{\checkmark}{STA}$	NLEY W. GOLDENBERG

Board President

8	1		
	1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California	
	2	JENNIFER S. CADY, State Bar No. 100437 Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
	3	California Department of Justice 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702	
	4	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2442	
	5	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804	•
	6	Attorneys for Complainant	
	7		
	8	BEFORE T BOARD OF PHA	ARMACY
	9	DEPARTMENT OF CON STATE OF CAL	SUMER AFFAIRS IFORNIA
	10	,	
	11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2771
	12	S & S VALLEY HOMECARE PHARMACY d.b.a. VALLEY HOMECARE PHARMACY	ACCHEATION
	13	STELLA ROYTMAN, President NEIL STEPNER, Pharmacist-in-Charge	ACCUSATION
)	14	8349 Reseda Blvd., Ste. B Northridge, CA 91325	
	15	Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 45565	·
	16 17	and	
	18	NEIL A. STEPNER 439 S. Oakwood Dr., #6	
	19	Beverly Hills, CA 90212	
	20	Pharmacist License No. RPH 23460	
	21	Respondents.	
	22	Complainant alleges:	
	23	<u>PARTIE</u>	<u>S</u>
	24	1. Patricia F. Harris (Complaina	nt) brings this Accusation solely in her
	25	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Boar	ed of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer
	26	Affairs.	
	27	2. On or about November 30, 20	01, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy
	28	Permit No. PHY 45565 to S & S Valley Homecare P	harmacy, d.b.a. Valley Homecare Pharmacy,

28 1///

Stella Roytman, President. (Respondent Pharmacy). Neil Stepner has been the Pharmacist-in-Charge since November 30, 2001. The Pharmacy Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on November 1, 2004, unless renewed.

3. On or about May 13, 1964, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License No. RPH 23460 to Neil A. Stepner (Respondent Stepner). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on August 31, 2005, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board),
 Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section
 references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 5. Section 4300 permits the Board to take disciplinary action to suspend or revoke a license or permit.
 - 6. Section 118, subdivision (b) states:

"The suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license issued by a board in the department, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by order of the board or by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written consent of the board, shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the license or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the licensee on any such ground."

7. Section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

///

1	Section 1200) of the Health and Safety Code or under Part 4 (commencing with Section 16000)		
2	of Division 9 of the Welfare and Institutions Code who maintains a stock of dangerous drugs or		
3	dangerous devices.		
4	"(b) The owner, officer, and partner of any pharmacy, wholesaler, or veterinary		
5	food-animal drug retailer shall be jointly responsible, with the pharmacist-in-charge or exemptee,		
6	for maintaining the records and inventory described in this section."		
. 7	10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1718, states:		
8	"'Current Inventory' as used in Sections 4081 and 4332 of the Business and		
9	Professions Code shall be considered to include complete accountability for all dangerous drugs		
10	handled by every licensee enumerated in Sections 4081 and 4332."		
11	11. Health and Safety Code section 11056 states:		
12	"(a) The controlled substances listed in this section are included in Schedule III.		
13			
14	"(e) Narcotic drugs. Unless specifically excepted or unless listed in another		
15	schedule, any material, compound, mixture, or preparation containing any of the following		
16	narcotic drugs, or their salts calculated as the free anhydrous base or alkaloid, in limited		
17	quantities as set forth below:		
18			
19	"(2) Not more than 1.8 grams of codeine per 100 milliliters or not more than 90		
20	milligrams per dosage unit, with one or more active, nonnarcotic ingredients in recognized		
21	therapeutic amounts.		
22	•••		
23	"(4) Not more than 300 milligrams of dihydrocodeinone per 100 milliliters or not		
24	more than 15 milligrams per dosage unit, with one or more active nonnarcotic ingredients in		
25	recognized therapeutic amounts. Additionally, oral liquid preparations of dihydrocodeinone		
26	containing the above specified amounts may not contain as its nonnarcotic ingredients two or		

///

28 ///

15. Section 125.3, subdivision (a), states, in pertinent part:

"Except as otherwise provided by law, in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before any board within the department . . . the board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case."

16. CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES/DANGEROUS DRUGS

- a. "Clonazepam," is a Schedule IV controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11057(d)(7) and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022 of the Code
- b. "Phenergan with Codeine," a brand name for Promethazine with Codeine, is a Schedule V controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11058(c)(1) and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022 of the Code.
- c. "Serostim," a brand name for generic Somatropin, is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- d. "Tylenol with Codeine #3" and "Tylenol with Codeine #4," a brand name for generic Codeine with Acetaminophen (30 mg. Codeine for #3, 60 mg. Codeine for #4), is a Schedule III controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11056(e)(2) and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022 of the Code.
- e. "Valium," a brand name for Diazepam, a benzodiazepam derivative, is a Schedule IV controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11057(d)(9) and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022 of the Code.
- f. "Vicodin," a brand name for generic Hydrocodone with Acetaminophen is a Schedule III controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 11056, subdivision (e)(4), and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to defined by Business and Professions Code section 4022.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Verify and Document Legitimacy of, and Excessive

Furnishing of, Controlled Substance Prescriptions)

17. Respondent Stepner is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4301(d), (j), and (o), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that from on or about and between November 11, 2002, and May 1, 2003, Respondent Stepner, filled prescriptions for controlled substances for patients without verifying and documenting that the prescriptions were for legitimate medical purposes, and/or which were clearly excessive, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11153, as follows:

10	a.	Patient Sharon W.		
11		11/11/02	Codeine/APAP 60-300mg Hydrocodone/APAP 7.5-750mg	100 tablets 100 tablets
12		01/13/03	Codeine/APAP 60-300mg	100 tablets
13		•	Hydrocodone/APAP 7.5-750mg	100 tablets
14		04/23/03	Codeine/APAP 60-300mg Hydrocodone/APAP 7:5-750mg	100 tablets 100 tablets
15				
16	b.	Patient Quinten B.		
17		11/13/02	Codeine/APAP 60-300mg Hydrocodone/APAP 7.5-750mg	100 tablets 100 tablets
18			Diazepam, 10 mg.	100 tablets
19	c.	Patient Patricia S.		
20		05/01/03	Codeine/APAP 60-300mg Hydrocodone/APAP 7.5-750mg	100 tablets 100 tablets
21			Diazepam, 10 mg.	100 tablets
22	d.	Patient Kevin H.		
23		10/31/02	Codeine/APAP 60-300mg Hydrocodone/APAP 7.5-750mg	100 tablets 100 tablets
24		10/07/02	•	100 tablets
25	٠	12/27/03	Codeine/APAP 60-300mg Hydrocodone/APAP 7.5-750mg	100 tablets
26			Diazepam, 10 mg.	100 tablets

1 2 3	•	01/28/03	Hydrocodone/APAP 7.5-750mg Diazepam, 10 mg. Codeine/APAP 60-300mg Hydrocodone/APAP 10-500mg Promethazine/Codeine 6.25/10mg	100 tablets 100 tablets 100 tablets 100 tablets 480
4 5 6		02/26/03	Hydrocodone/APAP 10-500mg Diazepam, 10 mg. Hydrocodone/APAP 7.5-750mg Promethazine/Codeine 6.25/10mg Codeine/APAP 60-300mg	100 tablets 100 tablets 100 tablets 480 100 tablets
7 8 9		04/25/03	Hydrocodone/APAP 7.5-750mg Diazepam, 10 mg. Promethazine/Codeine 6.25/10mg Codeine/APAP 60-300mg Hydrocodone/APAP 10-500mg	100 tablets 100 tablets 480 100 tablets 100 tablets
10				
11	e.	Patient James C.		
12	**	11/13/02	Promethazine/Codeine 6.25/10mg Codeine/APAP 60-300mg Hydrocodone/APAP 7.5-750mg	480 100 tablets 100 tablets
13			•	
14 15		04/25/03	Promethazine/Codeine 6.25/10mg Hydrocodone/APAP 7.5-750mg Codeine/APAP 60-300mg	480 100 tablets 100 tablets 100 tablets
16	_		Diazepam, 10 mg.	100 tablets
17	f.	Patient Jimmie B.		
18		12/02/02	Promethazine/Codeine 6.25/10mg Clonazepam, 2mg Codeine/APAP 30-300mg	480 100 tablets 45 tablets
19		01/03/03	Clonazepam, 2mg	100 tablets
20		01/03/03	Promethazine/Codeine, 6.25/10mg Codeine/APAP 30-300mg,	480 45 tablets
21		02/03/03	Promethazine/Codeine, 6.25/10mg	480
22			Codeine/APAP 30-300mg Hydrocodone/APAP 7.5-750mg	45 tablets 100 tablets
23		03/05/03	Codeine/APAP 30-300mg	45 tablets
24			Promethazine/Codeine 6.25/10mg Clonazepam, 2mg,	480 100 tablets
25		04/07/03	Clonazepam, 2mg	100 tablets
23	1			45 tablets
26			Codeine/APAP 30-300mg Promethazine/Codeine 6.25/10mg	43 tablets 480

		*			
1	g.	Patient Eldin B.			
2		01/22/03	Hydrocodone/APAP 7.5-750mg Codeine/APAP 60-300mg	100 tablets 100 tablets	
3		03/03/03	Codeine/APAP 60-300mg Hydrocodone/APAP 7.5-750mg Diazepam, 10 mg.	100 tablets 100 tablets 60 tablets	
5 6 7		04/09/03	Promethazine/Codeine 6.25/10mg Codeine/APAP 60-300mg Diazepam, 5 mg. Hydrocodone/APAP 7.5-750mg	480 100 tablets 60 tablets 100 tablets	
8		SECO	ND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
9	·	(Failure to Maintain	1 Current Inventory for All Dangerous	Drugs)	
10	·	18. Respondent S	Stepner and Respondent Pharmacy are	subject to disciplinary	
11	action pursuar	nt to Business and Pro	fessions Code sections 4301(j) and (o)	, for violation of	
12	Business and Professions Code section 4081(a) and (b), and of California Code of Regulations,				
13	title 16, section 1718, on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that from on or about and				
14	between July 1, 2001, and September 18, 2003, Respondent Pharmacy had a shortage of				
15	approximately 864 boxes of Serostim 6mg., for which Respondents Stepner and Pharmacy failed				
16	to keep a current inventory to include complete accountability for all dangerous drugs, including				
17	Serostim.				
18			PRAYER		
19		WHEREFORE, Com	plainant requests that a hearing be hel	d on the matters herein	
20	alleged, and th	nat following the heari	ng, the Board of Pharmacy issue a dec	cision:	
21		1. Revoking or s	suspending Pharmacy License Numbe	r PHY 45565, issued to	
22	S & S Valley Homecare Pharmacy, d.b.a. Valley Homecare Pharmacy, Stella Roytman,				
23	President.				
24		2. Revoking or s	suspending Pharmacist License Numb	er RPH 23460, issued	
25	to Neil A. Step	pner.			
26		3. Ordering S &	S Valley Homecare Pharmacy, d.b.a.	Valley Homecare	
27	Pharmacy, Ste	ella Roytman, Presiden	t, and Neil A. Stepner to pay the Boar	d of Pharmacy the	
28					

1	reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and
2	Professions Code section 125.3;
3	4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
4	DATED:
5	
6	P 7 danse
7	PATRICIA F. HARRIS
8	Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy Board of Consumer Affeirs
9	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
10	Complainant
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	1.4.700.4600701
26	LA2004600701 val-acc.10.wpd prepared by cak (05/04)

val-acc.10