BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General
of the State of California
RITA M. LANE, State Bar No. 171352
Deputy Attorney General
California Department of Justice
110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100
San Diego, CA 92101

P.O. Box 85266
San Diego, CA 92186-5266
Telephone: (619) 645-2614
Facsimile: (619) 645-2061

Attorneys for Complainant

BEFORE THE
BOARD OF PHARMACY
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against: WILLIAM LOUIS ALBRIGHT, RPH Respondent.	Case No. 2721 **DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER** [Gov. Code, §11520]

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. On or about March 30, 2005, Complainant Patricia F. Harris, in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed Accusation No. 2721 against William Louis Albright, RPH (Respondent) before the Board of Pharmacy.

2. On or about June 9, 1971, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacist License No. RPH 27645 to Respondent. The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and expired on September 30, 2004.

3. On or about April 4, 2005, Carrie Johnson, an employee of the Department of Justice, served by Certified and First Class Mail a copy of Accusation No. 2721, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7 to Respondent's address of record with the Board, which was and is13370

Barbados Way, Del Mar, CA 92014. A copy of the Accusation, the related documents, and Declaration of Service are attached as Exhibit A, and are incorporated herein by reference.

4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).

5. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:

(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.

6. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 2721.

7. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:

(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.

8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on Respondent's express admissions by way of default and the evidence before it contained in Exhibit A, finds that the allegations in Accusation No. 2721 are true.

9. The total costs for investigation and enforcement are $4,730.75 as of April 22, 2005.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent William Louis Albright, RPH has subjected his Pharmacist License No. RPH 27645 to discipline.

///

2. A copy of the Accusation and the related documents and Declaration of Service are attached.

3. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.

4. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacist License based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation:

a. Respondent's license is subject to discipline for unprofessional conduct under sections 4301(o) and 4060 in that he furnished a controlled substance without a prescription. The circumstances are as follows:

1. On or about November 28, 2002, December 21, 2002, January 4, 2003, January 10, 2003, January 18, 2003, January 31, 2003 and other unknown dates between August 19, 2002 to January 31, 2003, while Respondent was employed at Kaiser Permanente Pharmacy as a pharmacist, Respondent furnished Dextroamphetamine, a controlled substance, and Hydrocodone with non-narcotic APAP, a controlled substance, to himself without a prescription or authorization to do so in violation of Code section 4060.

b. Respondent's license is subject to discipline for unprofessional conduct under sections 4301(h) and (o) in that he self-administered a controlled substance to himself without a prescription. The circumstances are as follows:

1. On or about November 28, 2002, December 21, 2002, January 4, 2003, January 10, 2003, January 18, 2003, January 31, 2003 and other unknown dates between August 19, 2002 to January 31, 2003, while Respondent was employed at Kaiser Permanente Pharmacy as a pharmacist, Respondent administered to himself Dextroamphetamine, a controlled substance, and/or Hydrocodone with non-narcotic APAP, a controlled substance, that he took from the Kaiser Permanente Pharmacy without a prescription or authorization to do so.

///

///

///

c. Respondent's license is subject to discipline for unprofessional conduct under sections 4301(f) and (o), 4060, Health and Safety Code sections 11350(a) and11173(a) in that respondent obtained and possessed controlled substances by fraud. The circumstances are as follows:

1. On or about November 28, 2002, December 21, 2002, January 4, 2003, January 10, 2003, January 18, 2003, January 31, 2003 and other unknown dates between August 19, 2002 to January 31, 2003, while Respondent was employed at Kaiser Permanente Pharmacy as a pharmacist, Respondent obtained and possessed Dextroamphetamine, a controlled substance, and/or Hydrocodone with non-narcotic APAP, a controlled substance, by fraud, deceit and subterfuge from Kaiser Permanente Pharmacy without a prescription or authorization to do so.

d. Respondent's license is subject to discipline for unprofessional conduct under sections 4301(p) and 4060 in that respondent participated in conduct that would have warranted denial of a license. The circumstances are as follows:

1. On or about November 28, 2002, December 21, 2002, January 4, 2003, January 10, 2003, January 18, 2003, January 31, 2003 and other unknown dates between August 19, 2002 to January 31, 2003, while Respondent was employed at Kaiser Permanente Pharmacy as a pharmacist, Respondent took and possessed Dextroamphetamine, a controlled substance, and/or Hydrocodone with non-narcotic APAP, a controlled substance, by fraud and deceit and without a prescription or authorization to do so.

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacist License No. RPH 27645, heretofore issued to Respondent William Louis Albright, RPH, is revoked.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion

may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.

This Decision shall become effective on July 1, 2005.

It is so ORDERED June 1, 2005

BOARD OF PHARMACY
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

STANLEY W. GOLDENBERG
Board President

70019923.wpd
DOJ docket number:SD2003800709

Attachments:

Exhibit A: Accusation No.2721, Related Documents, and Declaration of Service

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 2721,
Related Documents and Declaration of Service

BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General
of the State of California
RITA M. LANE, State Bar No. 171352
Deputy Attorney General
California Department of Justice
110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100
San Diego, CA 92101

P.O. Box 85266
San Diego, CA 92186-5266
Telephone: (619) 645-2614
Facsimile: (619) 645-2061

Attorneys for Complainant

BEFORE THE
BOARD OF PHARMACY
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against: WILLIAM LOUIS ALBRIGHT, RPH Respondent.	Case No. 2721 **STATEMENT TO RESPONDENT** [Gov. Code §§ 11504, 11505(b)]

TO RESPONDENT:

Enclosed is a copy of the Accusation that has been filed with the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs (Board), and which is hereby served on you.

Unless a written request for a hearing signed by you or on your behalf is delivered or mailed to the Board, represented by Deputy Attorney General Rita M. Lane, within fifteen (15) days after a copy of the Accusation was personally served on you or mailed to you, you will be deemed to have waived your right to a hearing in this matter and the Board may proceed upon the Accusation without a hearing and may take action thereon as provided by law.

The request for hearing may be made by delivering or mailing one of the enclosed forms entitled "Notice of Defense," or by delivering or mailing a Notice of Defense as provided in section 11506 of the Government Code, to

///

Rita M. Lane
Deputy Attorney General
110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100
San Diego, California 92101

P.O. Box 85266
San Diego, California 92186-5266.

You may, but need not, be represented by counsel at any or all stages of these proceedings.

The enclosed Notice of Defense, if signed and filed with the Board, shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the Accusation, but you will not be permitted to raise any objection to the form of the Accusation unless you file a further Notice of Defense as provided in section 11506 of the Government Code within fifteen (15) days after service of the Accusation on you.

If you file any Notice of Defense within the time permitted, a hearing will be held on the charges made in the Accusation.

The hearing may be postponed for good cause. If you have good cause, you are obliged to notify the Office of Administrative Hearings, 1350 Front Street, Suite 6022, San Diego, California 92101, within ten (10) working days after you discover the good cause. Failure to notify the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days will deprive you of a postponement.

Copies of sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7 of the Government Code are enclosed.

If you desire the names and addresses of witnesses or an opportunity to inspect and copy the items mentioned in section 11507.6 of the Government Code in the possession, custody or control of the Board you may send a Request for Discovery to the above designated Deputy Attorney General.

///

///

///

NOTICE REGARDING STIPULATED SETTLEMENTS

It may be possible to avoid the time, expense and uncertainties involved in an administrative hearing by disposing of this matter through a stipulated settlement. A stipulated settlement is a binding written agreement between you and the government regarding the matters charged and the discipline to be imposed. Such a stipulation would have to be approved by the Board of Pharmacy but, once approved, it would be incorporated into a final order.

Any stipulation must be consistent with the Board's established disciplinary guidelines; however, all matters in mitigation or aggravation will be considered. A copy of the Board's Disciplinary Guidelines will be provided to you on your written request to the state agency bringing this action.

If you are interested in pursuing this alternative to a formal administrative hearing, or if you have any questions, you or your attorney should contact Deputy Attorney General Rita M. Lane at the earliest opportunity.

******

SD2003800709
~h$d0003.hdd

BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General
of the State of California
RITA M. LANE, State Bar No. 171352
Deputy Attorney General
California Department of Justice
110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100
San Diego, CA 92101

P.O. Box 85266
San Diego, CA 92186-5266
Telephone: (619) 645-2614
Facsimile: (619) 645-2061

Attorneys for Complainant

BEFORE THE
BOARD OF PHARMACY
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against: WILLIAM LOUIS ALBRIGHT 13370 Barbados Way Del Mar, CA 92014 Pharmacist License No. RPH 27645 Respondent.	Case No. 2721 **ACCUSATION**

Complainant alleges:

PARTIES

1. Patricia F. Harris (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.

2. On or about June 9, 1971, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License Number RPH 27645 to WILLIAM LOUIS ALBRIGHT (Respondent). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and expired on September 30, 2004.

///

///

JURISDICTION

3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

4. Section 4300 of the Code states:

(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.

(b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods:

(1) Suspending judgment.

(2) Placing him or her upon probation.

(3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.

(4) Revoking his or her license.

(5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper.

5. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

. . .

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

. . .

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or

injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

. . .

(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board.

(p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license.

6. Section 4022 of the Code states:

"Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for self-use, except veterinary drugs that are labeled as such, and includes the following:

(a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import.

(b) Any device that bears the statement: "Caution: federal law restricts this device to sale by or on the order of a _____," "Rx only," or words of similar import, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to use or order use of the device.

(c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006.

7. Section 4060 of the Code states:

No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, or veterinarian, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1. This section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, physician, podiatrist, dentist, veterinarian, certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer.

Nothing in this section authorizes a certified nurse-midwife, a nurse practitioner, or a physician assistant to order his or her own stock of dangerous drugs and devices.

8. Health and Safety Code Section 11173(a) states that no person shall obtain or attempt to obtain controlled substances, or procure or attempt to procure the administration of or prescription for controlled substances, (1) by fraud, deceit, misrepresentation, or subterfuge; or (2) by the concealment of a material fact.

9. Health and Safety Code Section 11350(a) provides in pertinent part that every person who possesses (1) any controlled substance specified in subdivision (b) or (c), or paragraph (1) of subdivision (f) of Section 11054, specified in paragraph (14), (15), or (20) of subdivision (d) of Section 11054, or specified in subdivision (b) or (c) of Section 11055, ... or (2) any controlled substance classified in Schedule III, IV, or V which is a narcotic drug, unless upon the written prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, or veterinarian licensed to practice in this state, shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison.

10. Health and Safety Code Section 11377(a) provides in pertinent part that every person who possesses any controlled substance which is (1) classified in Schedule III, IV, or V and which is not a narcotic drug, ... unless upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, or veterinarian, licensed to practice in this state, shall be punished by imprisonment in a county jail for a period of not more than one year or in the state prison.

11. Section 118 of the Code states:

. . .

(b) The suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license issued by a board in the department, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by order of the board or by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written consent of the board, shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the license or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the licensee on any such ground.

(c) As used in this section, 'board' includes an individual who is authorized by any provision of this code to issue, suspend, or revoke a license, and "license" includes "certificate," "registration," and "permit."

12. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

13. **Drugs.**

a. "Lortab" is a dangerous drug pursuant to Code section 4022 and is a Schedule III controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11056(e)(4). It is used to treat moderate to severe pain. Its generic name is Hydrocodone with Acetaminophen.

b. "Dextrostat" is a dangerous drug pursuant to Code section 4022 and is a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11055(d)(1). It is used to treat Narcolepsy and Attention Deficit Disorder. Its generic name is Dextroamphetamine.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Furnishing a Controlled Substance Without a Prescription)

14. Respondent's license is subject to discipline for unprofessional conduct under sections 4301(o) and 4060 in that he furnished a controlled substance without a prescription. The circumstances are as follows:

a. On or about November 28, 2002, December 21, 2002, January 4, 2003, January 10, 2003, January 18, 2003, January 31, 2003 and other unknown dates between August 19, 2002 to January 31, 2003, while Respondent was employed at Kaiser Permanente Pharmacy as a pharmacist, Respondent furnished Dextroamphetamine, a controlled substance, and Hydrocodone with non-narcotic APAP, a controlled substance, to himself without a prescription or authorization to do so in violation of Code section 4060.

///

///

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Self-Administration of a Controlled Substance)

15. Respondent's license is subject to discipline for unprofessional conduct under sections 4301(h) and (o) in that he self-administered a controlled substance to himself without a prescription. The circumstances are as follows:

a. On or about November 28, 2002, December 21, 2002, January 4, 2003, January 10, 2003, January 18, 2003, January 31, 2003 and other unknown dates between August 19, 2002 to January 31, 2003, while Respondent was employed at Kaiser Permanente Pharmacy as a pharmacist, Respondent administered to himself Dextroamphetamine, a controlled substance, and/or Hydrocodone with non-narcotic APAP, a controlled substance, that he took from the Kaiser Permanente Pharmacy without a prescription or authorization to do so.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Obtaining and Possession of Controlled Substances by Fraud)

16. Respondent's license is subject to discipline for unprofessional conduct under sections 4301(f) and (o), 4060, Health and Safety Code sections 11350(a) and11173(a) in that respondent obtained and possessed controlled substances by fraud. The circumstances are as follows:

a. On or about November 28, 2002, December 21, 2002, January 4, 2003, January 10, 2003, January 18, 2003, January 31, 2003 and other unknown dates between August 19, 2002 to January 31, 2003, while Respondent was employed at Kaiser Permanente Pharmacy as a pharmacist, Respondent obtained and possessed Dextroamphetamine, a controlled substance, and/or Hydrocodone with non-narcotic APAP, a controlled substance, by fraud, deceit and subterfuge from Kaiser Permanente Pharmacy without a prescription or authorization to do so.

///

///

///

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conduct That Would Have Warranted Denial of a License)

17. Respondent's license is subject to discipline for unprofessional conduct under sections 4301(p) and 4060 in that respondent participated in conduct that would have warranted denial of a license. The circumstances are as follows:

a. On or about November 28, 2002, December 21, 2002, January 4, 2003, January 10, 2003, January 18, 2003, January 31, 2003 and other unknown dates between August 19, 2002 to January 31, 2003, while Respondent was employed at Kaiser Permanente Pharmacy as a pharmacist, Respondent took and possessed Dextroamphetamine, a controlled substance, and/or Hydrocodone with non-narcotic APAP, a controlled substance, by fraud and deceit and without a prescription or authorization to do so.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number RPH 27645, issued to WILLIAM LOUIS ALBRIGHT;

2. Ordering WILLIAM LOUIS ALBRIGHT to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and

3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 3/30/05

P. F. Harris

PATRICIA F. HARRIS
Executive Officer
Board of Pharmacy
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California
Complainant

SD2003-800709
80038084.wpd

BEFORE THE
BOARD OF PHARMACY
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against: WILLIAM LOUIS ALBRIGHT, RPH Respondent.	Case No. 2721 **NOTICE OF DEFENSE** [Gov. Code §§ 11505 and 11506]

I, the undersigned Respondent in the above-entitled proceeding, hereby acknowledge receipt of a copy of the Accusation; Statement to Respondent; Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6 and 11507.7, Complainant's Request for Discovery; and two copies of a Notice of Defense.

I hereby request a hearing to permit me to present my defense to the charges contained in the Accusation.

DATED: _____

Respondent's Name _____

Respondent's Signature _____

Respondent's Mailing Address _____

City, State and Zip Code _____

Respondent's Telephone Number _____

Check appropriate box:

☐ I do not consent to electronic reporting.

The hearing in this case will be electronically reported/recorded, unless you check the above-left box to indicate that you do not consent to electronic recording, in which case the hearing will be reported by a stenographic reporter. If you do not check this box, you may withdraw your consent to electronic recording at any point up to fifteen (15) calendar days prior to the date set for hearing, by a written statement served on the Office of Administrative Hearings and on counsel for Complainant. If the box is not checked, and no written withdrawal of consent is served on the Office of Administrative Hearing and on counsel for Complainant by fifteen (15) calendar days prior to the hearing, you waive any right to stenographic reporting.

☐ I am represented by counsel, whose name, address and telephone number appear below:

Counsel's Name _____

Counsel's Mailing Address _____

City, State and Zip Code _____

Counsel's Telephone Number _____

☐ I am not now represented by counsel. If and when counsel is retained, immediate notification of the attorney's name, address and telephone number will be filed with the Office of Administrative Hearing and a copy sent to counsel for Complainant so that counsel will be on record to receive legal notices, pleadings and other papers.

The agency taking the action described in the Accusation may have formulated guidelines to assist the administrative law judge in reaching an appropriate penalty. You may obtain a copy of the guidelines by requesting them from the agency in writing.

~h$d0003.hdd

BEFORE THE
BOARD OF PHARMACY
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against: WILLIAM LOUIS ALBRIGHT, RPH Respondent.	Case No. 2721 **NOTICE OF DEFENSE** [Gov. Code §§ 11505 and 11506]

I, the undersigned Respondent in the above-entitled proceeding, hereby acknowledge receipt of a copy of the Accusation; Statement to Respondent; Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6 and 11507.7, Complainant's Request for Discovery; and two copies of a Notice of Defense.

I hereby request a hearing to permit me to present my defense to the charges contained in the Accusation.

DATED: _____

Respondent's Name _____

Respondent's Signature _____

Respondent's Mailing Address _____

City, State and Zip Code _____

Respondent's Telephone Number _____

Check appropriate box:

☐ I do not consent to electronic reporting.

The hearing in this case will be electronically reported/recorded, unless you check the above-left box to indicate that you do not consent to electronic recording, in which case the hearing will be reported by a stenographic reporter. If you do not check this box, you may withdraw your consent to electronic recording at any point up to fifteen (15) calendar days prior to the date set for hearing, by a written statement served on the Office of Administrative Hearings and on counsel for Complainant. If the box is not checked, and no written withdrawal of consent is served on the Office of Administrative Hearing and on counsel for Complainant by fifteen (15) calendar days prior to the hearing, you waive any right to stenographic reporting.

☐ I am represented by counsel, whose name, address and telephone number appear below:

Counsel's Name _____

Counsel's Mailing Address _____

City, State and Zip Code _____

Counsel's Telephone Number _____

☐ I am not now represented by counsel. If and when counsel is retained, immediate notification of the attorney's name, address and telephone number will be filed with the Office of Administrative Hearing and a copy sent to counsel for Complainant so that counsel will be on record to receive legal notices, pleadings and other papers.

The agency taking the action described in the Accusation may have formulated guidelines to assist the administrative law judge in reaching an appropriate penalty. You may obtain a copy of the guidelines by requesting them from the agency in writing.

~h$d0003.hdd

BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General
of the State of California
RITA M. LANE, State Bar No. 171352
Deputy Attorney General
California Department of Justice
110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100
San Diego, CA 92101

P.O. Box 85266
San Diego, CA 92186-5266
Telephone: (619) 645-2614
Facsimile: (619) 645-2061

Attorneys for Complainant

BEFORE THE
BOARD OF PHARMACY
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against: WILLIAM LOUIS ALBRIGHT, RPH Respondent.	Case No. 2721 **REQUEST FOR DISCOVERY** [Gov. Code § 11507.6]

TO RESPONDENT:

Under section 11507.6 of the Government Code of the State of California, parties to an administrative hearing, including the Complainant, are entitled to certain information concerning the opposing party's case. A copy of the provisions of section 11507.6 of the Government Code concerning such rights is included among the papers served.

PURSUANT TO SECTION 11507.6 OF THE GOVERNMENT CODE, YOU ARE HEREBY REQUESTED TO:

1. Provide the names and addresses of witnesses to the extent known to the Respondent, including, but not limited to, those intended to be called to testify at the hearing, and

2. Provide an opportunity for the Complainant to inspect and make a copy of any of the following in the possession or custody or under control of the Respondent:

///

a. A statement of a person, other than the Respondent, named in the initial administrative pleading, or in any additional pleading, when it is claimed that the act or omission of the Respondent as to this person is the basis for the administrative proceeding;

b. A statement pertaining to the subject matter of the proceeding made by any party to another party or persons;

c. Statements of witnesses then proposed to be called by the Respondent and of other persons having personal knowledge of the acts, omissions or events which are the basis for the proceeding, not included in (a) or (b) above;

d. All writings, including but not limited to reports of mental, physical and blood examinations and things which the Respondent now proposes to offer in evidence;

e. Any other writing or thing which is relevant and which would be admissible in evidence, including but not limited to, any patient or hospital records pertaining to the persons named in the pleading;

f. Investigative reports made by or on behalf of the Respondent pertaining to the subject matter of the proceeding, to the extent that these reports (1) contain the names and addresses of witnesses or of persons having personal knowledge of the acts, omissions or events which are the basis for the proceeding, or (2) reflect matters perceived by the investigator in the course of his or her investigation, or (3) contain or include by attachment any statement or writing described in (a) to (e), inclusive, or summary thereof.

For the purpose of this Request for Discovery, "statements" include written statements by the person, signed, or otherwise authenticated by him or her, stenographic, mechanical, electrical or other recordings, or transcripts thereof, of oral statements by the person, and written reports or summaries of these oral statements.

///

///

YOU ARE HEREBY FURTHER NOTIFIED that nothing in this Request for Discovery should be deemed to authorize the inspection or copying of any writing or thing which is privileged from disclosure by law or otherwise made confidential or protected as attorney's work product.

Your response to this Request for Discovery should be directed to the undersigned attorney for the Complainant at the address on the first page of this Request for Discovery **within 30 days after service** of the Accusation.

Failure without substantial justification to comply with this Request for Discovery may subject the Respondent to sanctions pursuant to sections 11507.7 and 11455.10 to 11455.30 of the Government Code.

DATED: April 4, 2005

BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General
of the State of California



RITA M. LANE
Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Complainant

~h$d0003.hdd

COPY OF GOVERNMENT CODE SECTIONS 11507.5, 11507.6 AND 11507.7 PROVIDED PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTIONS 11504 AND 11505

SECTION 11507.5: Exclusivity of discovery provisions

The provisions of Section 11507.6 provide the exclusive right to and method of discovery as to any proceeding governed by this chapter.

SECTION 11507.6: Request for discovery

After initiation of a proceeding in which a respondent or other party is entitled to a hearing on the merits, a party, upon written request made to another party, prior to the hearing and within 30 days after service by the agency of the initial pleading or within 15 days after the service of an additional pleading, is entitled to (1) obtain the names and addresses of witnesses to the extent known to the other party, including, but not limited to, those intended to be called to testify at the hearing, and (2) inspect and make a copy of any of the following in the possession or custody or under the control of the other party:

(a) A statement of a person, other than the respondent, named in the initial administrative pleading, or in any additional pleading, when it is claimed that the act or omission of the respondent as to this person is the basis for the administrative proceeding;

(b) A statement pertaining to the subject matter of the proceeding made by any party to another party or person;

(c) Statements of witnesses then proposed to be called by the party and of other persons having personal knowledge of the acts, omissions or events which are the basis for the proceeding, not included in (a) or (b) above;

(d) All writings, including, but not limited to, reports of mental, physical and blood examinations and things which the party then proposes to offer in evidence;

(e) Any other writing or thing which is relevant and which would be admissible in evidence;

(f) Investigative reports made by or on behalf of the agency or other party pertaining to the subject matter of the proceeding, to the extent that these reports (1) contain the names and addresses of witnesses or of persons having personal knowledge of the acts, omissions or events which are the basis for the proceeding, or (2) reflect matters perceived by the investigator in the course of his or her investigation, or (3) contain or include by attachment any statement or writing described in (a) to (e), inclusive, or summary thereof.

For the purpose of this section, "statements" include written statements by the person signed or otherwise authenticated by him or her, stenographic, mechanical, electrical or other recordings, or transcripts thereof, of oral statements by the person, and written reports or summaries of these oral statements.

Nothing in this section shall authorize the inspection or copying of any writing or thing which is privileged from disclosure by law or otherwise made confidential or protected as the attorney's work product.

SECTION 11507.7: Petition to compel discovery; Order; Sanctions

(a) Any party claiming the party's request for discovery pursuant to Section 11507.6 has not been complied with may serve and file with the administrative law judge a motion to compel discovery, naming as respondent the party refusing or failing to comply with Section 1 1507.6. The motion shall state facts showing the respondent party failed or refused to comply with Section 11507.6, a description of the matters sought to be discovered, the reason or reasons why the matter is discoverable under that section, that a reasonable and good faith attempt to contact the respondent for an informal resolution of the issue has been made, and the ground or grounds of respondent's refusal so far as known to the moving party.

(b) The motion shall be served upon respondent party and filed within 15 days after the respondent party first evidenced failure or refusal to comply with Section 11507.6 or within 30 days after request was made and the party has failed to reply to the request, or within another time provided by stipulation, whichever period is longer.

(c) The hearing on the motion to compel discovery shall be held within 15 days after the motion is made, or a later time that the administrative law judge may on the judge's own motion for good cause determine. The respondent party shall have the right to serve and file a written answer or other response to the motion before or at the time of the hearing.

(d) Where the matter sought to be discovered is under the custody or control of the respondent party and the respondent party asserts that the matter is not a discoverable matter under the provisions of Section 11507.6, or is privileged against disclosure under those provisions, the administrative law judge may order lodged with it matters provided in subdivision (b) of Section 915 of the Evidence Code and examine the matters in accordance with its provisions.

(e) The administrative law judge shall decide the case on the matters examined in camera, the papers filed by the parties, and such oral argument and additional evidence as the administrative law judge may allow.

(f) Unless otherwise stipulated by the parties, the administrative law judge shall no later than 15 days after the hearing make its order denying or granting the motion. The order shall be in writing setting forth the matters the moving party is entitled to discover under Section 11507.6. A copy of the order shall forthwith be served by mail by the administrative law judge upon the parties. Where the order grants the motion in whole or in part, the order shall not become effective until 10 days after the date the order is served. Where the order denies relief to the moving party, the order shall be effective on the date it is served.

****

~h$d0003.hdd

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY CERTIFIED MAIL AND FIRST CLASS MAIL
(Separate Mailings)

Case Name: **In the Matter of the Accusation Against William Louis Albright, R.P.H.**

Case No.: **2721**

I declare:

I am employed in the Office of the Attorney General, which is the office of a member of the California State Bar at which member's direction this service is made. I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to this matter. I am familiar with the business practice at the Office of the Attorney General for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. In accordance with that practice, correspondence placed in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General is deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day in the ordinary course of business.

On April 4, 2005, I served the attached **STATEMENT TO RESPONDENT, ACCUSATION, NOTICE OF DEFENSE (2 copies), REQUEST FOR DISCOVERY, AND DISCOVERY STATUTES** by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope as certified mail with postage thereon fully prepaid and return receipt requested, and another true copy of the **STATEMENT TO RESPONDENT, ACCUSATION, NOTICE OF DEFENSE (2 copies), REQUEST FOR DISCOVERY, AND DISCOVERY STATUTES** was enclosed in a second sealed envelope as first class mail with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General at San Diego, addressed as follows:

William Louis Albright, RPH
13370 Barbados Way
Del Mar, CA 92014

Certified Article Number
7160 3901 9848 8027 9613
SENDERS RECORD

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on April 4, 2005, at San Diego, California.

Carrie L. Johnson
Declarant

Signature

cc: Patricia Harris, Executive Officer - Board of Pharmacy

70016883.wpd

Article Number

7160 3901 9848 8027 9613

Service Type CERTIFIED MAIL

Restricted Delivery? *(Extra Fee)* ☐ Yes

Article Addressed to:
William Louis Albright, RPH
13370 Barbados Way
Del Mar, CA 92014

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Received by (Please Print Clearly)

B. Date of Delivery 4-5-05

C. Signature

X

☐ Agent
☐ Addressee

D. Is delivery address different from item 1?
If YES, enter delivery address below:

☐ Yes
☐ No

RE: William Louis Albright, I
Accusation
April 4, 2005

PS Form 3811, July 2001 Domestic Return Receipt

7160 3901 9848 8027 9613

TO: William Louis Albright, RPH
13370 Barbados Way
Del Mar, CA 92014

SENDER: RML/Carrie Johnson

REFERENCE: William Louis Albright, RPH
Accusation
April 4, 2005

PS Form 3800, June 2000

RETURN RECEIPT SERVICE		
	Postage	
	Certified Fee	
	Return Receipt Fee	
	Restricted Delivery	
	Total Postage & Fees	

US Postal Service

Receipt for Certified Mail

No Insurance Coverage Provided
Do Not Use for International Mail

POSTMARK OR DATE