1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California SUSAN FITZGERALD, State Bar No. 112278		
2			
3	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice		
4	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101		
5	P.O. Box 85266		
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2066		
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2547	
13	EVAN BERT STEIN, RPH RPH License No. RPH 25919	OAH No. L-2002090396	
14	and EVAN STEIN PHARMACY, INC., a California	STIPULATED REVOCATION AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER	
15	corporation Evan Stein, President		
16	Barbara Stein, Secretary Original Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 43747		
17			
18			
19	Respondents.		
20	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the		
21	above-entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:		
22	<u>PARTIES</u>		
23	1. Patricia F. Harris (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of		
24	Pharmacy. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter		
25	by Bill Lockyer, Attorney General of the State of California, by Susan Fitzgerald, Deputy		
26	Attorney General.		
27	2. Evan Bert Stein (Respondent Stein) and Evan Stein Pharmacy, Inc.		
28	(Respondent Pharmacy) are represented in this proce	eeding by Ronald S. Marks, Esq., 6320	

Canoga Avenue, Suite 1550, Woodland Hills, CA 91367. Respondent Stein has discussed each and every term and condition of this Stipulated Revocation and Disciplinary Order and the ramifications of it with Mr. Marks and knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently enters into this stipulation.

3. On or about November 8, 1968, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist No. RPH 25919 to Respondent. The pharmacist license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 2547 and will expire on October 31, 2003, unless renewed. On or about July 3, 1998, the Board issued Original Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 43747 to Respondent Pharmacy, with Evan Bert Stein as President and Barbara Stein as Secretary. On August 20, 2002, the permit was canceled through a change of ownership.

<u>JURISDICTION</u>

4. First Amended Accusation No. 2547 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondents. The First Amended Accusation, together with all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondents on October 25, 2002. Respondents had previously timely filed their Notice of Defense contesting the original Accusation. A copy of First Amended Accusation No. 2547 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondents have carefully read, and understand the charges and allegations in the First Amended Accusation. Respondents also have carefully read, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Revocation and Disciplinary Order.
- 6. Respondents are fully aware of their legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the First Amended Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against them; the right to present evidence and to testify on their own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act

and other applicable laws.

- 7. Respondents voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waive and give up each and every right set forth above.
- 8. Costs of investigation and enforcement of this action, through February 6, 2003 are \$11,000. In consideration for this Stipulated Revocation, the Board agrees to reduce cost recovery to \$7,500 and to defer recovery from Respondent Stein of the above amount until such time, if ever, that Respondent Stein applies to the Board for reinstatement of his RPH license and such reinstatement petition is, in the Board's discretion, granted.

CULPABILITY

- 9. Respondents understand and agree that the charges and allegations in First Amended Accusation No. 2547, if proven at hearing, constitute cause for discipline.

 For the purpose of resolving the First Amended Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondents agree that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the First Amended Accusation and that Respondents hereby give up their right to contest those charges.
- 10. Respondents agree that they are subject to discipline and they agree to be bound by the Board 's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CIRCUMSTANCE IN MITIGATION

11. Respondents have never been the subject of any prior disciplinary action by the Board.

RESERVATION

12. The admissions made by Respondents herein are only for the purposes of this proceed or any other proceedings in which the Board or any other professional licensing agency is involved and shall not be admissible in any other cri9minal or civil proceeding.

CONTINGENCY

13. This Stipulated Revocation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondents understand and agree that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation, without notice to or

participation by Respondents or their counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondents

understand and agree that they may not withdraw their agreement or seek to rescind the

force and effect as the originals.

stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Revocation and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

14. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Revocation and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same

stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this

15. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacist License No. RPH 25919 issued to Respondent Evan Bert Stein, RPH is revoked.

IT IS FURTHER HEREBY ORDERED that Original Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 43747, issued to Evan Stein Pharmacy, Inc., Evan Bert Stein, President, is hereby revoked.

Pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 4309, Respondent Stein may petition the Board for reinstatement of his Pharmacist License three (3) years from the effective date of the decision in this case.

At the time that the Board, in its sole discretion, shall grant Respondent Stein reinstatement of his Pharmacist License or grant an application for a new Pharmacist License, Respondent shall pay the deferred cost recovery of \$7,500 to the Board.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Revocation and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Ronald S. Marks. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacist License and Original Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 43747. I

P.06

APR-09-2003 04:25 PM RONALD S. MARKS, A PLC. 8183473834 WORT Dy: DEPT ()F JUSTICE 8:9 845 7081: 04/09/03 14:20: 14

8'9 645 2061;

04/09/03 14:20; Jaffer_#6690; Page 7/21

ı			
	enter into this Stipulated Revocation and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and		
2	intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.		
3	DATED: April /L_, 2003.		
4			
5	Bet Slan		
6	Respondent		
7	1000 100 1500		
8	BVAN BERT STEIN, Prosident		
9	Byan Stein Pharmacy, Inc. Respondent Pharmacy		
10	Y have used and fully discussed with December Brunn Best Code WELL the verses		
11	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Evan Bert Stein, RPH the terms		
12	and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Revocation and Disciplinary		
13	Order. I approve its form and content.		
14	DATED: April 16, 2003.		
15	It has a		
16	RONALD S. MARKS.		
17	Attorney for Respondent		
18			
19	ENDORSEMENT		
20	The foregoing Stipulated Revocation and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully		
21	submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs.		
22	DATED: April 7, 2003		
23	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California		
24			
25	maan Angrald		
26	Deputy Attorney General		
27	Attorneys for Complainant		

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2547
EVAN BERT STEIN, RPH RPH License No. RPH 25919 and EVAN STEIN PHARMACY, INC., a California corporation Evan Stein, President Barbara Stein, Secretary Original Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 43747	OAH No. L-2002090396
Respondents.	
DECISION AND	O ORDER
The attached Stipulated Revocation a	and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by
the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer A	
This Decision shall become effective	on <u>June 2, 2003</u> .
It is so ORDEREDMay 22, 2	003
BOARD OF P DEPARTMEN STATE OF CA	NT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
By JOHN Board	D. JONES President

Exhibit A First Amended Accusation No. 2547

- 1				
1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California			
2	SUSAN FITZGERALD, State Bar No. 112278 Deputy Attorney General			
3	California Department of Justice 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100			
4	San Diego, CA 92101			
5	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266			
6	Telephone: (619) 645-2066 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	- -		
7	Attorneys for Complainant	•		
8	Thursday for Companion	V .		
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY			
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11	STATE OF CAL	·		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2547		
13	EVAN BERT STEIN, RPH 10092 Crailet Drive	•		
14	Huntington Beach, CA 92646	FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION		
15	Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 25919	ACCUSATION		
16	and			
17	EVAN STEIN PHARMACY, INC., a California	·		
18	Corporation EVAN STEIN, President; BARBARA STEIN,			
19	Secretary 400 Newport Center Dr., #106	•.		
20	Newport Beach, CA 92660			
21	Original Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 43747	•		
22	Respondents.			
23	Complainant alleges:	•		
24	PARTIE	<u>.S</u>		
25	Patricia F. Harris (Complainant) brings this First Amended Accusation			
26	solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of			
27	Consumer Affairs. This pleading supersedes the Accusation filed on August 2, 2002.			
28	///			

2.	On or about November 8, 1968, the Board of Pharmacy issued Original
Pharmacist Licens	e Number RPH 25919 to Evan Bert Stein, RPH (Respondent Stein). The
Original Pharmaci	st License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges
brought herein and	will expire on October 31, 2003, unless renewed.

3. On or about July 3, 1998, the Board of Pharmacy issued Original Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 43747 to Evan Stein Pharmacy, Inc., a California corporation, Evan Stein, President; Barbara Stein, Secretary (Respondent Pharmacy). The Original Pharmacy Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on July 1, 2003, unless renewed.

From July 3, 1998 through April 2, 2002, Respondent Stein was the pharmacist-in-charge at Respondent Pharmacy.

JURISDICTION

- 4. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), under the authority of the following sections of the Business and Professions Code (Code):
- A. Section 4300 of the Code states that every license issued by the Board may be suspended or revoked.
 - B. Section 4301 of the Code states in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

- "(a) Gross immorality.
- **
- "(c) Gross negligence.
- ". . .
- "(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

- "(g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts."
- "(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.
- "(i) Except as otherwise authorized by law, knowingly selling, furnishing, giving away, or administering or offering to sell, furnish, give away, or administer any controlled substance to an addict.
- "(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

"...

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the

judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

"(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board.

"(q) Engaging in any conduct that subverts or attempts to subvert an investigation of the board.

11 11

C. Section 4081 of the Code states:

"(a) All records of manufacture and of sale, acquisition, or disposition of dangerous drugs or dangerous devices shall be at all times during business hours open to inspection by authorized officers of the law, and shall be preserved for at least three years from the date of making. A current inventory shall be kept by every manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, veterinary food-animal drug retailer, physician, dentist, podiatrist, veterinarian, laboratory, clinic, hospital, institution, or establishment holding a currently valid and unrevoked certificate, license, permit, registration, or exemption under Division 2 (commencing with Section 1200) of the Health and Safety Code or under Part 4 (commencing with Section 16000) of Division 9 of the Welfare and Institutions Code who maintains a stock of dangerous drugs or dangerous devices.

"(b) The owner, officer, and partner of any pharmacy, wholesaler, or veterinary food-animal drug retailer shall be jointly responsible, with the

pharmacist-in-charge or exemptee, for maintaining the records and inventory described in this section.

". . .

" . . . "

"..."

D. Section 4302 of the Code states:

"The board may deny, suspend, or revoke any license of a corporation where conditions exist in relation to any person holding 10 percent or more of the corporate stock of the corporation, or where conditions exist in relation to any officer or director of the corporation that would constitute grounds for disciplinary action."

E. Section 4116 of the Code states in pertinent part:

- "(a) No person other than a pharmacist, an intern pharmacist, an authorized officer of the law, or a personal authorized to prescribe shall be permitted in that area, place, or premises described in the license issue by the board wherein controlled substances or dangerous drugs or dangerous devices are stored, possessed, prepared, manufactured, derived, compounded, dispensed, or repackaged. . .
- F. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
- G. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension/expiration/surrender/cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
- 5. This Accusation is also brought before the Board under the authority of the following sections of the California Code of Regulations (CCR):

A. Title 16, section 1718, states:

"Current Inventory" as used in Section 4232 of the Business and Professions Code shall be considered to include complete accountability for all dangerous drugs handled by every licensee enumerated in Section 4232.

"The controlled substances inventories required by Title 21, CFR, Section 1304 shall be available for inspection upon request for at least 3 years after the date of the inventory."

- B. Title 16, section 1714 states in pertinent part that each pharmacy licensed by the board shall maintain its premises so that drugs are safely and properly secured and that each pharmacist while on duty shall be responsible for the security of the prescription department against theft or diversion of dangerous drugs.
- 6. This Accusation is also brought before the Board under the authority of section 11171 of the California Health & Safety Code (H&S Code), which provides that no one shall prescribe, administer, or furnish a controlled substance except as provided for in the Uniformed Controlled Substances Act.

Drugs

7. "OxyContin" is a brand name for oxycodone, a narcotic painkiller, a dangerous drug under Code section 4022, and a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to H&S Code section 11055(b).

CHARGES AND ALLEGATIONS

8. In mid-October, 2001, Respondent Stein, while in a substance abuse rehabilitation center for alcohol abuse, left the facility with two other patients of the facility, known to him to be heroin addicts. They left the facility in order to "party." At that time, Respondent Stein was under a restraining order from the court not to be near or in Respondent Pharmacy, which he owned jointly with his wife, who was divorcing him. Respondent Stein and his friends from the rehabilitation center went to Respondent Pharmacy immediately on leaving the rehabilitation center. Respondent Stein broke into the pharmacy through a door that was not secured properly and which he had known for some time was not secured properly. He invited

his friends to take whatever drugs they wanted, indicating to them where the OxyContin was. Respondent Stein stole cash from the cash register and the three men left. They proceeded to a local bar, which they were thrown out of, then to a liquor store, where they bought Peppermint Schnapps and beer. They then went to a local motel where Respondent Stein rented a room. In the room, they proceeded to drink the purchased liquor and his two companions also ingested OxyContin with Respondent Stein's knowledge. One of the men passed out. When Respondent and the other companion could not waken him up, they then left the motel, telling the clerk to call "911." Respondent Stein returned to Respondent Pharmacy to put back some of the OxyContin that had been taken. He and his remaining companion then returned to the rehabilitation facility and went to bed. Their other companion, left at the motel, died of a drug overdose, despite efforts of medical personnel to save him.

- 9. On or about January 27, 2002, Respondent Stein lied to the Board in a letter he sent to it in response to its inquiry concerning the circumstances of his report of theft of controlled substances from his pharmacy. Further, on or about February 28 and again on or about March 29, 2002, Respondent Stein lied to the Board's inspector and the DEA agent regarding the events of October, 2001.
- 10. On or about February 28, 2002, a DEA agent and a Board inspector conducted an audit at Respondent Pharmacy of OxyContin in various strengths for the period from May 20, 1999 through December 12, 2001. The audit results showed a shortage of 1,838 20mg tablets, a shortage of 2,714 80mg tablets, and a shortage of 10 160 mg. tablets. Respondent Stein could not explain the shortages and could not produce all of the required records.
- 11. On or about March 29, 2002, the DEA agent returned to Respondent Pharmacy and conducted an audit of OxyContin in various strengths for the period from December 12, 2001 to March 29, 2002. Respondent Pharmacy had an additional shortage of 306 20 mg tablets and an overage of 32 80 mg. tablets.
- 12. As a result of his actions in mid-October outlined above, Respondent Stein was charged criminally with involuntary manslaughter and furnishing controlled substances.

Ninth Cause for Discipline

(Unprofessional Conduct: Conduct Attempting to Subvert Board Investigation §4301(q))

22. Respondent Stein is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(q) for attempting to subvert the Board's investigation of the events of October, 2001 based on the allegations in paragraphs 8 and 9 above.

Tenth Cause for Discipline

(Unprofessional Conduct: Violation of Board Law §4301(o))

23. Respondent Stein is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(o) in conjunction with section 4081 for not complying with Board law concerning record keeping with regard to dangerous drugs and controlled substances based on the allegations in paragraphs 10 and 11 above.

Eleventh Cause for Discipline

(Unprofessional Conduct: Substantially Related Criminal Conviction §4301(I))

24. Respondent Stein is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(l) for conviction of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a pharmacist based on the allegations in paragraphs 8 and 12 above.

Twelfth Cause for Discipline

(Unprofessional Conduct: Substantially Related Criminal Conviction §4301(l)

25. Respondent Stein is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(l) for conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a pharmacist based on the allegations in paragraph 13 above.

Thirteenth Cause for Discipline

(Corporate Liability of the Pharmacy)

26. Respondent Evan Stein Pharmacy, Inc., a California corporation, is subject to disciplinary action under section 4302 based on the violations of Board law committed by Respondent Stein, a more than 10% stockholder and the President of Evan Stein Pharmacy, Inc. at all times relevant herein, based on the allegations in paragraphs 8 through 12 above.

28 | ///

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 25919, issued to Evan Bert Stein, RPH;
- 2. Revoking or suspending Original Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 43747, issued to Evan Stein Pharmacy, Inc., a California corporation, Evan Stein, President; Barbara Stein, Secretary;
- 3. Ordering Evan Bert Stein, RPH and Evan Stein Pharmacy, Inc., a
 California corporation to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and
 enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
 - 4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 10 18 02

PATRICIA F. HARRIS

Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant