1	KAMALA D. HARRIS	
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER	
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General Antoinette B. Cincotta	
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 120482	
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101	
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266	
7	Telephone: (619) 738-9457 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9.	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY	
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11		1
12	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 5871
13	ALEX DEMOYE HOWARD	STATEMENT OF ISSUES
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration Applicant	
15	Respondent,	
16	Complainant alleges:	
17	PARTIES	
18	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings	this Statement of Issues solely in her official
19	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer	
20	Affairs.	
21	2. On or about November 2, 2015, the Board received an application for a Pharmacy	
22	Technician Registration from Alex Demoye Howard (Respondent). On or about May 24, 2015,	
23	Respondent certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and	
24	representations in the application. Because the application was signed more that 60 days prior to	
25	its receipt by the Board, Respondent was directed to re-submit his application. In his second	
26	application dated December 31, 2015, Respondent certified under penalty of perjury to the	
27	truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the application. The Board denied	
28	the application on May 20, 2016.	

- **JURISDICTION** 3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated. 4. Section 4300, subdivision (c) of the Code states: The board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct. The board may, in its sole discretion, issue a probationary license to any applicant for a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct and who has met all other requirements for licensure. . . . STATUTORY PROVISIONS 5. Section 475 of the Code states: (a) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall govern the denial of licenses on the grounds of: (1) Knowingly making a false statement of material fact, or knowingly omitting to state a material fact, in an application for a license. (2) Conviction of a crime.
  - (3) Commission of any act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or another, or substantially injure another.
  - (4) Commission of any act which, if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
  - (b) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall govern the suspension and revocation of licenses on grounds specified in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subdivision (a).
  - (c) A license shall not be denied, suspended, or revoked on the grounds of a lack of good moral character or any similar ground relating to an applicant's character, reputation, personality, or habits.
  - 6. Section 477 of the Code states:

As used in this division:

- (a) "Board" includes "bureau," "commission," "committee," "department," "division," "examining committee," "program," and "agency."
- (b) "License" includes certificate, registration or other means to engage in a business or profession regulated by this code.

///

28 | ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

# 7.

### Section 480 of the Code states:

- (a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- (1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code.
- (2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.
- (3)(A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- (3)(B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made.
- (b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this code, a person shall not be denied a license solely on the basis that he or she has been convicted of a felony if he or she has obtained a certificate of rehabilitation under Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 4852.01) of Title 6 of Part 3 of the Penal Code or that he or she has been convicted of a misdemeanor if he or she has met all applicable requirements of the criteria of rehabilitation developed by the board to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when considering the denial of a license under subdivision (a) of Section 482.
- (c) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, a person shall not be denied a license solely on the basis of a conviction that has been dismissed pursuant to Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code. An applicant who has a conviction that has been dismissed pursuant to Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code shall provide proof of the dismissal.
- (d) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the ground that the applicant knowingly made a false statement of fact that is required to be revealed in the application for the license.

### 8. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

///

### 9. Section 493 of the Code states:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."

### 10. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment. . . .

111

27 | //

28 | //

#### REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- 11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769 states:
- (a) When considering the denial of a facility or personal license under Section 480 of the Business and Professions Code, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of the applicant and his present eligibility for licensing or registration, will consider the following criteria:
- (1) The nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s) under consideration as grounds for denial.
- (2) Evidence of any act(s) committed subsequent to the act(s) or crime(s) under consideration as grounds for denial under Section 480 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or crime(s) referred to in subdivision (1) or (2).
- (4) Whether the applicant has complied with any terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the applicant.
  - (5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the applicant. . . .
- 12. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

## (December 2, 2008 Criminal Conviction for First Degree Burglary)

- 13. Respondent's application for registration as a pharmacy technician is subject to denial under sections 480, subdivisions (a)(1) and (a)(3)(A) of the Code in that he was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician, and would be a ground for discipline under section 4301, subdivision (l) of the Code for a registered pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about November 20, 2008, after failing to appear at his felony arraignment, Respondent was arrested by the Palm Springs Police Department on \$25,000 bench warrant issued by the court.

1//

- b. On or about December 2, 2008, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California vs. Alex Demoye Howard*, in Riverside County Superior Court, case number INF063819, Respondent was convicted of violating Penal Code section 459, first degree burglary, a felony.
- c. As a result of the conviction, Respondent was sentenced to serve six months in jail, and was granted probation for 36 months. On May 21, 2013, the court reduced the conviction to a misdemeanor. Respondent was permitted to withdraw his plea of guilty, enter a plea of not guilty, and the conviction was dismissed under Penal Code section 1203.4.

## SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

## (October 22, 2009 Criminal Conviction for Grand Theft on December 17, 2008)

- 14. Respondent's application for registration as a pharmacy technician is subject to denial under sections 480, subdivisions (a)(1) and (a)(3)(A) of the Code in that he was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician, and would be a ground for discipline under section 4301, subdivision (I) of the Code for a registered pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about October 22, 2009, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California vs. Alex Demoye Howard*, in Riverside County Superior Court, case number INF064440, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty of violating Penal Code section 487, subdivision (c), grand theft of property from another person, a felony reduced to a misdemeanor under Penal Code section 17, subdivision (b).
- b. As a result of the conviction, Respondent was sentenced to serve nine days in the Riverside County Jail, with pre-custody credit for nine days. On May 21, 2013, the court permitted Respondent to withdraw his plea of guilty, enter a plea of not guilty, and the conviction was dismissed under Penal Code section 1203.4.
- c. The facts that led to the conviction are that on or about noon on December 17, 2008, Respondent approached a woman and asked her if she wanted to buy some "weed." After she declined the offer, Respondent asked the woman for \$1.00 for bus fare. As the woman was attempting to give Respondent a dollar, he grabbed all the money from her hand (\$200.00) and ran

away. The victim ran after Respondent and saw him run towards a residence. The victim knocked on the door and asked the male who answered if he knew anything about the robbery. He told her he did not. The victim then called the Palm Springs Police Department who went to Respondent's residence. Respondent's clothing was an exact match of the description provided by the victim. She was able to pick his photo in a photo line-up, and she positively identified Respondent as the person who robbed her in an infield show-up. Respondent was arrested for robbery.

### THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

## (Commission of Acts Involving Dishonesty, Moral Turpitude, Deceit and/or Corruption)

15. Respondent's application for registration as a pharmacy technician is subject to denial under sections 480, subdivisions (a)(2) and (a)(3)(A) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that on or about December 2, 2008 and October 22, 2009, Respondent was convicted of criminal acts that involve dishonesty, moral turpitude, deceit, and/or corruption with the intent to substantially benefit himself, as detailed in paragraphs 13 and 14, above. Said conduct would be a cause for discipline under Business and Professions Code section 4301, subdivision (f) of the Code for a licensed Registered Dental Assistant.

### DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

- 16. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, Complainant alleges the following:
- a. On or about the morning of August 15, 2010, the Palm Springs Police

  Department was called to respond to a disturbance. After investigating the matter and speaking to witnesses, officers learned that Respondent was part of a group of people who threw rocks, golf clubs, and metal objects at a passing vehicle. The victim driver stated her vehicle stalled temporarily, which was when she was assaulted by one of the group's members. The victim was familiar with the suspects because they lived in the neighborhood, and she had had confrontations with Respondent and his family members in the past. Respondent was later arrested.
- b. On March 7, 2011, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California vs. Alex Demoye Howard*, in Riverside County Superior Court, case number INM10005480, Respondent pled guilty to Penal Code section 415, offensive/loud noise, an