1	XAVIER BECERRA		
2	Attorney General of California KENT D. HARRIS		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General JOSHUA B. EISENBERG		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 279323		
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255		
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 327-1466		
7	Telephone: (916) 327-1466 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant		
8		RE THE	
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10		CALIFORNIA	
11	In the Matter of the First Amended Statement	Case No. 5732	
12	of Issues Against:	FIRST AMENDED STATEMENT OF	
13	BRENT EUGENE BJERKE	ISSUES	
14	Pharmacist License Applicant		
15	Respondent.		
16	Complainant alleges:		
	_	<u>rties</u>	
16	PAI	RTIES gs this First Amended Statement of Issues solely	
16 17	PAI	gs this First Amended Statement of Issues solely	
16 17 18	PAI 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brin	gs this First Amended Statement of Issues solely	
16 17 18 19	PAF 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring in her official capacity as the Executive Officer Consumer Affairs.	gs this First Amended Statement of Issues solely	
16 17 18 19 20	PAF 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring in her official capacity as the Executive Officer Consumer Affairs.	gs this First Amended Statement of Issues solely of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of d of Pharmacy (Board) received an application	
16 17 18 19 20 21	PAF 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring in her official capacity as the Executive Officer Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about May 23, 2013, the Board	gs this First Amended Statement of Issues solely of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of d of Pharmacy (Board) received an application erke (Respondent). On or about April 26, 2013,	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	PAI 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring in her official capacity as the Executive Officer Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about May 23, 2013, the Boar for a Pharmacist License from Brent Eugene Bjo	gs this First Amended Statement of Issues solely of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of ed of Pharmacy (Board) received an application erke (Respondent). On or about April 26, 2013, perjury to the truthfulness of all statements,	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	PAI 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring in her official capacity as the Executive Officer Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about May 23, 2013, the Board for a Pharmacist License from Brent Eugene Bjerke certified under penalty of page 1.	gs this First Amended Statement of Issues solely of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of ed of Pharmacy (Board) received an application erke (Respondent). On or about April 26, 2013, perjury to the truthfulness of all statements,	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	PAF 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring in her official capacity as the Executive Officer Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about May 23, 2013, the Boar for a Pharmacist License from Brent Eugene Bjorke Certified under penalty of panswers, and representations in the application.	gs this First Amended Statement of Issues solely of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of ed of Pharmacy (Board) received an application erke (Respondent). On or about April 26, 2013, perjury to the truthfulness of all statements,	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring in her official capacity as the Executive Officer Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about May 23, 2013, the Boar for a Pharmacist License from Brent Eugene Bjorn Brent Eugene Bjorn Eugene Bjerke certified under penalty of panswers, and representations in the application. 20, 2015.	gs this First Amended Statement of Issues solely of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of ed of Pharmacy (Board) received an application erke (Respondent). On or about April 26, 2013, perjury to the truthfulness of all statements,	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring in her official capacity as the Executive Officer Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about May 23, 2013, the Board for a Pharmacist License from Brent Eugene Bjorner Eug	gs this First Amended Statement of Issues solely of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of ed of Pharmacy (Board) received an application erke (Respondent). On or about April 26, 2013, perjury to the truthfulness of all statements,	

In the Matter of the First Amended Statement of Issues Against Brent Eugene Bjerke

JURISDICTION

3. This First Amended Statement of Issues is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 480 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- "(1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code.
- "(2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.
- (3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- "(B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made.
- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this code, a person shall not be denied a license solely on the basis that he or she has been convicted of a felony if he or she has obtained a certificate of rehabilitation under Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 4852.01) of Title 6 of Part 3 of the Penal Code or that he or she has been convicted of a misdemeanor if he or she has met all applicable requirements of the criteria of rehabilitation developed by the board to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when considering the denial of a license under subdivision (a) of Section 482.

- "(c) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, a person shall not be denied a license solely on the basis of a conviction that has been dismissed pursuant to Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code. An applicant who has a conviction that has been dismissed pursuant to Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code shall provide proof of the dismissal.
- "(d) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the ground that the applicant knowingly made a false statement of fact that is required to be revealed in the application for the license."
 - 5. Section 490 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.
- "(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. An action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."

6. Section 493 states:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the

qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

7. Section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

. . . .

- "(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
- "(g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.
- "(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

. . . .

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime,

in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

. . .

"(p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license."

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states, in pertinent part:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Conviction of Substantially Related Crimes)

9. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(1), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent was convicted of crimes that, to a substantial degree, evidence a present or potential unfitness to perform the functions of a licensed pharmacist in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

-///

///

A. On or about or about February 1, 2017, Respondent was convicted of a felony violation of Penal Code section 243, subdivision (d), (battery with serious bodily injury), in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Brent Eugene Bjerke* (Super. Ct. Ventura County Case No. 2013027335). The underlying circumstances are that on or about August 31, 2013, following a verbal argument, Respondent battered the victim resulting in serious bodily injury to the victim's face and body and Respondent fled from the scene. The victim was transported to the hospital with a broken nose, broken sinus, broken left orbital bone, left ear contusion, and chest contusion. An officer observed Respondent to be intoxicated with blood on his clothes and arms. Respondent admitted that he had been involved in a fight.

B. On or about May 29, 2001, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 602, subdivision (L) [trespass: occupying property without consent] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Brent Eugene Bjerke* (Super. Ct. Santa Barbara County, 2001, No. 1055771). The court sentenced Respondent to serve 10 days in jail and placed him on 12 months probation, with terms and conditions. The circumstances underlying the conviction are that on or about April 25, 2001, Respondent entered the land under cultivation or enclosed by fence, belonging to, or occupied by another where signs forbidding trespass are displayed, without the permission of the owner of the land, the owner's agent, or the person in lawful possession.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Acts Involving Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)

10. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(2), in that on or about April 26, 2013, Respondent committed dishonest acts, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself, or substantially injure another, by failing to disclose his May 29, 2001 conviction on his application for licensure. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 9 and below in paragraph 11, as though set forth fully.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Knowingly Made A False Statement of Fact on Application for Licensure)

11. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (d), in that Respondent knowingly made a false statement of fact on his application for licensure by failing to disclose his complete criminal history. On or about April 26, 2013, when Respondent filled out his application for licensure he was asked: "Have you ever been convicted of any crime in any state, the USA and its territories, military court or foreign country? Respondent answered: "Yes" to this question. However, he only disclosed his June 2001 conviction for possession while driving. Respondent failed to disclose his May 29, 2001 conviction case. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 9, as though set forth fully. In addition, Respondent signed under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing was true and correct on his application for licensure.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Acts Warranting Denial of Licensure)

- 12. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivisions
 (a)(3)(A) and (a)(3)(B), in that Respondent committed acts which if done by a licentiate of the business and profession in question would be grounds for discipline of his license, as follows:
- a. Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a licensed pharmacist which to a substantial degree evidences his present or potential unfitness to perform the functions authorized by his license in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare, in violation of sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (I) and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 9, as though set forth fully.
- b. On or about April 26, 2013, Respondent committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit, with the intent to substantially benefit himself, or substantially injure another, by failing to disclose his May 29, 2001 conviction on his application for licensure, which would be grounds for denial of an application for a license, in violation of section 4301, subdivision (f).

Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 9 and 10, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

- c. On or about April 26, 2013, Respondent knowingly made or signed a certificate or document that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts, by failing to disclose his May 29, 2001 conviction case on his application for licensure, which would be grounds for denial of an application for a license, in violation of section 4301, subdivision (g). Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 9 and 11, inclusive, as though set forth fully.
- d. Respondent used alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to himself, another person, or the public, which would be grounds for denial of an application for a license, in violation of section 4301, subdivision (h). Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 9, as though set forth fully.
- e. Respondent committed acts which would be grounds for denial of an application for a license, in violation of section 4301, subdivision (p). Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 9 through 12, subparagraphs (a) through (d), inclusive, as though set forth fully.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Denying the application of Brent Eugene Bjerke for a Pharmacist License; and
- 2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 3/6/17

VIRGINIA HEROLD

Executive Officer
Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

LA2016600885

Bjerke Amended Statment of Issues.docx

1 2	Kamala D. Harris Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER		
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General LINDA L. SUN		
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 207108		
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013		
6	Telephone: (213) 897-6375 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE		
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 5732	
12	BRENT EUGENE BJERKE	STATEMENT OF ISSUES	
13	Pharmacist License Applicant		
	11		
14	Respondent.		
15			
15 16	Complainant alleges:		
15 16 17	Complainant alleges:	RTIES	
15 16	Complainant alleges: PAR	ETIES s this Statement of Issues solely in her official	
15 16 17	Complainant alleges: PAR	s this Statement of Issues solely in her official	
15 16 17 18	Complainant alleges: PAR 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of	s this Statement of Issues solely in her official	
15 16 17 18 19	Complainant alleges: PAR 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of	s this Statement of Issues solely in her official f Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. rd of Pharmacy (Board) received an application	
15 16 17 18 19 20	Complainant alleges: PAR 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of 2. On or about May 23, 2013, the Boar	s this Statement of Issues solely in her official F Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. ed of Pharmacy (Board) received an application rke (Respondent). On or about April 26, 2013,	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Complainant alleges: PAR 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of 2. On or about May 23, 2013, the Boar for a Pharmacist License from Brent Eugene Bjer	s this Statement of Issues solely in her official Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. ed of Pharmacy (Board) received an application rke (Respondent). On or about April 26, 2013, erjury to the truthfulness of all statements,	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Complainant alleges: 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of 2. On or about May 23, 2013, the Boar for a Pharmacist License from Brent Eugene Bjerke Certified under penalty of p	s this Statement of Issues solely in her official Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. ed of Pharmacy (Board) received an application rke (Respondent). On or about April 26, 2013, erjury to the truthfulness of all statements,	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Complainant alleges: 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of 2. On or about May 23, 2013, the Board for a Pharmacist License from Brent Eugene Bjert Brent Eugene Bjerke certified under penalty of p answers, and representations in the application. 20, 2015.	s this Statement of Issues solely in her official Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. ed of Pharmacy (Board) received an application rke (Respondent). On or about April 26, 2013, erjury to the truthfulness of all statements,	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Complainant alleges: 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of 2. On or about May 23, 2013, the Board for a Pharmacist License from Brent Eugene Bjert Brent Eugene Bjerke certified under penalty of panswers, and representations in the application. 20, 2015. JURISE	s this Statement of Issues solely in her official F Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. ed of Pharmacy (Board) received an application rke (Respondent). On or about April 26, 2013, erjury to the truthfulness of all statements, The Board denied the application on November	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Complainant alleges: 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of 2. On or about May 23, 2013, the Board for a Pharmacist License from Brent Eugene Bjert Brent Eugene Bjerke certified under penalty of panswers, and representations in the application. 20, 2015. JURISE	s this Statement of Issues solely in her official Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. It of Pharmacy (Board) received an application rke (Respondent). On or about April 26, 2013, erjury to the truthfulness of all statements, The Board denied the application on November DICTION Defore the Board under the authority of the	

"(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:

Section 480 states, in pertinent part:

- "(1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code.
- "(2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.
- (3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- "(B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made.
- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this code, a person shall not be denied a license solely on the basis that he or she has been convicted of a felony if he or she has obtained a certificate of rehabilitation under Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 4852.01) of Title 6 of Part 3 of the Penal Code or that he or she has been convicted of a misdemeanor if he or she has met all applicable requirements of the criteria of rehabilitation developed by the board to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when considering the denial of a license under subdivision (a) of Section 482.
- "(c) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, a person shall not be denied a license solely on the basis of a conviction that has been dismissed pursuant to Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code. An applicant who has a conviction that has been

dismissed pursuant to Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code shall provide proof of the dismissal.

- "(d) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the ground that the applicant knowingly made a false statement of fact that is required to be revealed in the application for the license."
 - 5. Section 490 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.
- "(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. An action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."

6. Section 493 states:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order

to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

7. Section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

. . .

- "(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
- "(g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.
- "(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

. . .

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of

9

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

"(p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license."

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states, in pertinent part: 8.

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Conviction of a Substantially Related Crime)

9. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(1), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent was convicted of a crime that, to a substantial degree, evidenced a present or potential unfitness to perform the functions of a licensed pharmacist in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. Specifically, On or about May 29, 2001, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 602, subdivision (L) [trespass: occupying property without consent] in the criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of California v. Brent Eugene Bjerke (Super. Ct. Santa Barbara County, 2001, No. 1055771). The court sentenced Respondent to serve 10 days in jail and placed him on 12 months probation, with terms

and conditions. The circumstances underlying the conviction are that on or about April 25, 2001, Respondent entered the land under cultivation or enclosed by fence, belonging to, or occupied by another where signs forbidding trespass are displayed, without the permission of the owner of the land, the owner's agent, or the person in lawful possession.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Acts Involving Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)

10. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(2), in that on or about April 26, 2013, Respondent committed dishonest acts, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself, or substantially injure another, by failing to disclose his May 29, 2001 conviction case on his application for licensure. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 9 and below in paragraph 11, as though set forth fully.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Knowingly Made A False Statement of Fact on Application for Licensure)

Respondent knowingly made a false statement of fact on his application for licensure by failing to disclose his complete criminal history. On or about April 26, 2013, when Respondent filled out his application for licensure he was asked: "Have you ever been convicted of any crime in any state, the USA and its territories, military court or foreign country? Respondent answered: "Yes" to this question. However, he only disclosed his June 2001 conviction for possession while driving. Respondent failed to disclose his May 29, 2001 conviction case. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 9, as though set forth fully. In addition, Respondent signed under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing was true and correct on his application for licensure.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Acts Warranting Denial of Licensure)

- 12. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivisions (a)(3)(A) and (a)(3)(B), in that Respondent committed acts which if done by a licentiate of the business and profession in question would be grounds for discipline of his license, as follows:
- a. Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a licensed pharmacist which to a substantial degree evidences his present or potential unfitness to perform the functions authorized by his license in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare, in violation of sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (1) and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 9, as though set forth fully.
- b. On or about April 26, 2013, Respondent committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit, with the intent to substantially benefit himself, or substantially injure another, by failing to disclose his May 29, 2001 conviction case on his application for licensure, which would be grounds for denial of an application for a license, in violation of section 4301, subdivision (f). Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 9 and 10, inclusive, as though set forth fully.
- c. On or about April 26, 2013, Respondent knowingly made or signed a certificate or document that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts, by failing to disclose his May 29, 2001 conviction case on his application for licensure, which would be grounds for denial of an application for a license, in violation of section 4301, subdivision (g). Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 9 and 11, inclusive, as though set forth fully.
- d. Respondent used alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to himself, another person, or the public, which would be grounds for denial of an application for a license, in violation of section 4301, subdivision (h). Specifically, on or about May 23, 2013, Respondent was arrested by the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department for violating Penal Code section 243, subdivision (d) [battery with serious bodily injury]. During a