1	KAMALA D. HARRIS		
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Senior Assistant Attorney General JAMES M. LEDAKIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 132645		
3			
4			
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266		
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2105 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE		
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11		G 37 5650	
12	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 5652	
13	LONZO LEROY JOHNSON	STATEMENT OF ISSUES	
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration Applicant		
15	• •		
16	Respondent.		
17	Complainant alleges:		
18	PARTIES		
19	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official		
20	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs		
21	(Board).		
22	2. On or about March 4, 2015, the Board received an application for a Pharmacy		
23	Technician Registration from Lonzo Leroy Johnson (Respondent). On or about March 3, 2015,		
24	Lonzo Leroy Johnson certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements,		
25	answers, and representations in the application. The Board denied the application on September		
26	25, 2015.		
27	111		
28	111		
	·	1	

## 

## JURISDICTION

- 3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board),
  Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references
  are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 4300(c) of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct. The Board may, in its sole discretion, issue a probationary license to any applicant for a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct and who has met all other requirements for licensure.

## STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 5. Section 475 of the Code states:
- (a) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall govern the denial of licenses on the grounds of:
- (1) Knowingly making a false statement of material fact, or knowingly omitting to state a material fact, in an application for a license.
  - (2) Conviction of a crime.
- (3) Commission of any act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or another, or substantially injure another.
- (4) Commission of any act which, if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- (b) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall govern the suspension and revocation of licenses on grounds specified in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subdivision (a).
- (c) A license shall not be denied, suspended, or revoked on the grounds of a lack of good moral character or any similar ground relating to an applicant's character, reputation, personality, or habits.
- 6. Section 480 of the Code states:
- (a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- (1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code.

28

III

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

27

28

On the afternoon of September 22, 2010, officers with the Fountain Valley a. Police Department were dispatched to a Bank of America (BoA) regarding a forgery in progress. A female suspect had attempted to cash a check in the amount of \$5,000 at one BoA location, but was turned away. A few hours later, she attempted to cash the same check at a second BoA branch. The suspect initially told the officer that she was given the check by her grandmother, but changed her story and said the check had been given to her by a friend. She was going to receive \$2,000 in exchange for cashing the check. The check was traced to the account of a 99-year-old terminally ill woman. The female suspect was arrested. On the same day, a check printing company contacted a BoA fraud investigator stating that someone attempting to impersonate the victim called three times to order checks using the victim's account number. Meanwhile, at another BoA branch, the Fountain Valley Police Department was dispatched to investigate a suspected forgery involving Respondent. Respondent had presented a check for \$6,200 to the teller; bank personnel were suspicious and contacted BoA corporate security who confirmed that the check was fraudulent. An officer made contact with Respondent in the BoA lobby. Respondent explained that he received the check from his grandmother. The officer contacted the victim and learned from the victim's caretaker that they had already been notified regarding other fraudulent checks. Respondent told the officers that two friends contacted him the previous day to confirm that he had a BoA account, and that they offered Respondent \$500 in "easy money" to cash a check for them. Respondent told the officers he believed the friends could not cash the check themselves because they had no identification. Respondent could not provide any names or identification for his friends. Respondent admitted that when he told the teller that he received the check from his grandmother, he knew that to be a lie.

b. On or about October 5, 2010, in a criminal proceeding entitled *State of California v. Lonzo Leroy Johnson Jr.*, in Orange County Superior Court, case number 10WF2368, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to violating Penal Code section 459-460(b), second degree commercial burglary, a felony reduced to a misdemeanor offense pursuant to Penal Code section 17(b). As a result of a plea agreement, the court dismissed an additional count of attempting to pass a fraudulent check (Pen. Code, § 470(d)).

1	c. As a result of the conviction, Respondent was granted informal probation for	
2	three years, and ordered to complete four days of CalTrans work service, pay fees, fines and	
3	restitution, and comply with standard probation terms. On June 15, 2015, the court granted	
4	Respondent's petition, and the conviction was dismissed pursuant to Penal Code section 1203.4.	
5	PRAYER	
6	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,	
7	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:	
8	1. Denying the application of Lonzo Leroy Johnson for a Pharmacy Technician	
9	Registration;	
10	2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
11		
12	DATED: 11/4/15 Canal Redd VIRGINIA HEROLD	
13	Executive Officer	
14	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California	
15	Complainant	
16	GDOOL 5000GAC	
17	SD2015802746 81179270.doc	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	,	
26		
27		
28		