1	Kamala D. Harris	
2	Attorney General of California KENT D. HARRIS	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General STERLING A. SMITH	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 84287	
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255	
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 445-0378	
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE	
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 5629
12	JESSICA MARIE PACHECO	Case No. 3023
13	Pharmacy Technician License Applicant	STATEMENT OF ISSUES
14	Respondent.	STATEMENT OF ISSUES
15	Respondent.	
16	Complainant alleges:	
17	PARTIES	
18	1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official	
19	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy ("Board"), Department of Consumer	
20	Affairs.	
21	·	pard received an application for a pharmacy
22	technician license from Jessica Marie Pacheco ("Respondent"). On or about February 20, 2015,	
23	Respondent certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and	
24	representations in the application. The Board denied the application on August 26, 2015.	
25	3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board under the authority of the	
26 27	following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise	
		vasinose and i rotosetone Code amose offici MISC
28	indicated.	

1 2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28

4. Section 480 states, in pertinent part:

- (a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- (1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of noto contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code.
- (2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.
- (3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- (B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made . . .

5. Section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

(I) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under

1

3

5 6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

2.1 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL

(Criminal-Conviction)

- 6. Respondent's application is subject to denial pursuant to section 480, subdivisions (a)(1) and (a)(3)(A), in that on or about July 20, 2006, in the criminal proceeding entitled *People* vs. Jessica Marie Pacheco (Marin County Super. Ct., Case No. SC146926), Respondent pled guilty to violating Penal Code section 496, subdivision (a) (knowingly receiving stolen property), a felony, a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a pharmacy technician. Respondent's conviction would constitute cause for discipline against her pursuant to section 4301, subdivision (l), were she a licentiate of the Board.
- The circumstances of the above crime are as follows: On or about April 7, 2006, Tiburon Police Department ("TPD") officers responded to the Waters Edge Hotel on Main Street on a report of subjects using a fraudulent credit card to rent a room. The officers went to the room and located Respondent and a male subject. One of the officers left the room and went to the front desk. The officer called Citibank and was informed that one of the credit card numbers had been reported stolen earlier that morning. The officer contacted the other officers by portable radio and advised them that the stolen credit card number had been used to rent the hotel room. The officer returned to the room and observed that Respondent and the male subject had been detained in handcuffs. The officer asked Respondent for consent to search her purse, and Respondent agreed. Respondent told the officer that she had some medical marijuana in the purse. The officer located a wooden flip-top box in Respondent's purse containing a California driver's license and social security card in the name of victim M. G. and various credit cards in the names of victims M. G. and J. G. Respondent initially told the officers that the items belonged to her grandmother. The officer walked Respondent out to his patrol car and transported her to the TPD. The officer asked Respondent about the credit card number which she and the male subject had used to rent the hotel room. Respondent admitted that they used the card numerous times in the past couple of weeks to rent a U-Haul and to purchase a number of

items. Respondent told the officer that the wooden box and credit cards belonged to her grandmother, then stated, "All right, stop, I lied." Respondent claimed that she did not know where the items came from and that she and the male subject had not used the cards as they had all-been-declined. Later, the officer transported-Respondent and the male subject to the Marin County Jail for booking. Respondent told the officer that a few weeks ago, she was in a friend's car. Respondent's friend went into a house in Stockton, California, to purchase methamphetamine. Respondent stayed in the car and was "tweaking on meth". Respondent came across the wooden box full of credit cards. Respondent stated that she knew they were stolen and decided to take them from her friend to use.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL

(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

8. Respondent's application is subject to denial pursuant to section 480, subdivision (a)(2), in that Respondent committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit herself or another, or substantially injure another, as set forth in paragraph 7 above. Respondent's acts would constitute cause for discipline against her pursuant to section 4301, subdivision (f), were she a licentiate of the Board.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Denying the application of Jessica Marie Pacheco for a pharmacy technician license;
- 2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 1/25/16

VKGINIÁ HÉROLD

Executive Officer
Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SA2015105379