Ĩ						
1	Kamala D. Harris					
2	Attorney General of California GREGORY J. SALUTE					
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General ANTOINETTE B. CINCOTTA					
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 120482					
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101					
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266					
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2095 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061					
8	Attorneys for Complainant					
9	BEFORE THE					
10	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS					
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
12	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Case No. 5540					
13	Against:					
14	HAROLD EUGENE GILLUNG STATEMENT OF ISSUES					
15	Pharmacist License Applicant					
16	Respondent.					
17	Complainant alleges:					
18	PARTIES					
19	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official					
20	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer					
21	Affairs.					
22	2. On or about October 2, 2014, the Board received an application for a Pharmacist					
23	License from Harold Eugene Gillung (Respondent). On or about September 29, 2014, Harold					
24	Eugene Gillung certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers,					
25	and representations in the application. The Board denied the application on May 19, 2015.					
26						
27	///					
28	///					
	1					
	STATEMENT OF ISSUES					

1	JURISDICTION
2	3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board under the authority of the
3	following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise
4	indicated.
5	4. Section 480 of the Code states:
6	(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
7	(1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo
8	contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the
9	establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order
10	granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code.
11	(2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to
12	substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.
13	(3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
14	(B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the
15 16	crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made.
10	(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this code, a person shall not be denied a license solely on the basis that he or she has been convicted of a felony if
18	he or she has obtained a certificate of rehabilitation under Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 4852.01) of Title 6 of Part 3 of the Penal Code or that
19	he or she has been convicted of a misdemeanor if he or she has met all applicable requirements of the criteria of rehabilitation developed by the board to evaluate the
20	rehabilitation of a person when considering the denial of a license under subdivision (a) of Section 482.
21	(c) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, a person shall not be
22	denied a license solely on the basis of a conviction that has been dismissed pursuant to Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code. An applicant
23	who has a conviction that has been dismissed pursuant to Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code shall provide proof of the dismissal.
24	(d) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the ground that the
25	applicant knowingly made a false statement of fact required to be revealed in the application for the license.
26	
27	
28	
	2
	STATEMENT OF ISSUES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

5.

Section 4300 of the Code states in relevant part:

(c) The board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct. The board may, in its sole discretion, issue a probationary license to any applicant for a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct and who has met all other requirements for licensure. The board may issue the license subject to any terms or conditions not contrary to public policy, including, but not limited to, the following:

(1) Medical or psychiatric evaluation.

(2) Continuing medical or psychiatric treatment.

(3) Restriction of type or circumstances of practice.

(4) Continuing participation in a board-approved rehabilitation program.

(5) Abstention from the use of alcohol or drugs.

(6) Random fluid testing for alcohol or drugs.

(7) Compliance with laws and regulations governing the practice of pharmacy.

(e) The proceedings under this article shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the Government Code, and the board shall have all the powers granted therein. The action shall be final, except that the propriety of the action is subject to review by the superior court pursuant to Section 1094,5 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

STATUTORY AUTHORITY

6. Section 493 of the Code states:

. . .

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate,' 'permit," "authority," and "registration."

3

27

///

28 || ///

1	7. Section 4301 of the Code states in relevant part:	
2	The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of	
3	unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but	
4	is not limited to, any of the following:	
5	(n) The revocation, suspension, or other discipline by another state of a	
6 7	license is required by this chapter.	
8		
9	REGULATIONS	
10	8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:	
11	For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility	
12	license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial	
13	degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner	ļ
14	consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."	
15	DRUGS	
16	9. Cocaine is a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code	
17	section 11055, subdivision (b), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code	
18	section 4022.	
19	10. Dilaudid, a brand name for hydromorphone, is a Schedule II controlled substance	
20	pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b), and a dangerous drug pursuant	
21	to Business and Professions Code section 4022.	
22	11. Hydrocodone is a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety	
23	Code section 11055, subdivision (b), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions	
24	Code section 4022.	
25	12. Meprozine, also known as Meperidine and pethidine, is a Schedule II controlled	
26	substance to Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (c)(17), and a dangerous drug	
27	pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.	
28		
	4	+
i i	STATEMENT OF ISSUES	l

Morphine is a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code
 section 11055, subdivision (b), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code
 section 4022.

4 14. Piperacillin, an antibiotic, is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions
5 Code section 4022.

6

7

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(September 22, 2008 – Felony Conviction for Drug Tampering)

8 15. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code 480, subsection (a)(1) in that
9 Respondent was convicted of drug tampering, a felony, which is substantially related to the duties
10 and responsibilities of a pharmacist. The circumstances are as follows:

On or about February 26, 2008, in United States of America v. Harold Gillung, 11 a. in the District Court of the United States, District of South Carolina, Florence Division, Criminal 12 Case No. 4:08-175, Respondent was indicted by a grand jury for violation of Title 18. United 13 States Code, section 1365(a)(4), tampering with drugs, a felony, based on a finding that from 14 February 2006 to in or about August 2006, while working as a pharmacist in the District of South 15 Carolina, Respondent removed Meprozine, a Schedule III controlled substance, from capsules that 16 were to be dispensed by the pharmacy and substituted Hydrocodone, a Schedule III controlled 17 substance, and other substances, into the capsules to replace the Meprozine that he had removed, 18 and such altered capsules were subsequently dispensed to customers of the pharmacy where 19 Respondent worked. 20

b. On July 11, 2008, Respondent pled guilty to violation of Title 18, United States
Code, Section 1365(a)(4), tampering with drugs. Respondent admitted that he diverted 50
capsules of Meprozine from the pharmacy where he worked for his personal use.

c. On September 22, 2008, based on his guilty plea, Respondent was convicted of
violation Title 18, United States Code, Section 1365(a)(4), tampering with drugs, a felony.
Respondent was sentenced to imprisonment for 12 months in the custody of the United States
Bureau of Prisons. Upon release from imprisonment, Respondent was ordered to be on
supervised release for a term of three years. While on supervised release, Respondent was

ordered to satisfactorily participate in a substance abuse treatment program, to include drug
 testing, and satisfactorily participate in a mental health treatment program as approved by the U.S.
 Probation Office.

e. On November 24, 2009, after completing 12 months of imprisonment,
Respondent was placed on supervised release for a period of three years. On November 8, 2011,
Respondent was ordered discharged from supervised release.

7 8

23

24

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Out of State Discipline – 2008 South Carolina)

9 16. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code 480, subsection (a)(3) in that 10 Respondent's pharmacist license issued by the State of South Carolina was revoked, which act if 11 done to a California licensed pharmacist, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of 12 license under Code section 4301, subsection (n), as set forth in paragraph 15, above, which is 13 incorporated here by this reference and as follows:

14 17. On July 16, 2007, after Respondent was indicted by a grand jury with violation of
15 Title 18, United States Code, section 1365(a)(4), tampering with drugs, *In the Matter of: Harold*16 *E. Gillung, R.Ph.*, the South Carolina Board suspended Respondent's license to practice
17 pharmacy, effective immediately.

18 18. On September 18, 2008, Respondent entered into an Agreement to Relinquish
19 License to Practice Pharmacy with the South Carolina Board. Under the terms of the agreement,
20 Respondent agreed to cease the authorization to practice as a pharmacist immediately, waive
21 further proceedings, and give up forevermore the right to practice as a pharmacist in South
22 Carolina.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(November 16, 1992 Felony Conviction Embezzlement of a Controlled Substance)

19. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code 480, subsection (a)(1) in that
Respondent was convicted of embezzlement of a controlled substance, a felony, which is
substantially related to the duties and responsibilities of a pharmacist. The circumstances are as
follows:

1	a. On or about November 16, 1992, in Richmond County Superior Court, in the
2	matter People vs. Harold Gillung, Respondent pled guilty to one count of embezzlement of a
3	controlled substance, for which he received a sentence of two years imprisonment, with the
4	imprisonment suspended in favor of probation, and was ordered to surrender his pharmacist
5	license until its return was approved by a judge of the Superior Court.
6	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION
7	(Out of State Discipline – North Carolina 1993)
8	20. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code 480, subsection (a)(3) in that
9	Respondent's pharmacist license issued by the State of North Carolina was revoked, which act if
10	done to a California licensed pharmacist, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of
11	license under Code section 4301, subsection (n), as set forth in paragraph 19, above, which is
12	incorporated here by this reference and as follows:
13	a. On May 10, 1993, the North Carolina Board of Pharmacy issued a final order in the
14	case captioned In the Matter of: Harold Eugene Gillung, license no. 11532, revoking
15	Respondent's license to practice pharmacy in North Carolina.
16	b. The North Carolina Board found as fact that Respondent, while pharmacist manager
17	of the Richmond Memorial Hospital in Rockingham, North Carolina:
18	(1) took morphine injectables from the hospital pharmacy from December of 1991
19	through May of 1992 and administered the morphine to himself, using about 100mg a day;
20	(2) took cocaine from the hospital pharmacy in March of 1992 and used the cocaine
21	himself;
22	(3) took Dilaudid tablets from the hospital pharmacy and administered the drugs to
23	himself;
24	(4) took Fentanyl from the hospital pharmacy;
25	(5) attempted to conceal his thefts by replacing the morphine with saline and the
26	cocaine with Piperacilin, by regluing and replacing factory seals on the morphine containers, and
27	by altering narcotics logs; and
28	
	7
1	STATEMENT OF ISSUES

.

(6) pled guilty to one count of embezzlement of a controlled substance, for which he received a sentence of two years imprisonment, with the imprisonment suspended in favor of probation, and was ordered to surrender his pharmacist license until its return was approved by a judge of the Superior Court.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Out of State Discipline – South Carolina 1994)

Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code 480, subsection (a)(3) in that 21. 7 Respondent's pharmacist license issued by the State of South Carolina was revoked, which act if 8 done to a California licensed pharmacist, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of Q. license under Code section 4301, subsection (n), as set forth in paragraph 19 through 20, above. 10 which are incorporated here by this reference and as follows: 11

12

1

2

3

4

5

6

On June 30, 1994, the South Carolina State Board of Pharmacy issued a final a. order in the case captioned, In the Matter of: Harold Eugene Gillung, R.Ph., license no. 7285, 13 suspending Respondent's license to practice pharmacy in South Carolina for not less than two 14 years. 15

The circumstances are as follows: Respondent became addicted to morphine b. 16 while working as a pharmacist in Pennsylvania in 1984 or 1985. Respondent entered drug 17 treatment voluntarily in 1987. Respondent moved from Pennsylvania to South Carolina after he 18 completed his drug treatment in 1987. After he was released from drug treatment in 1987, 19 Respondent did not avail himself to any follow-up care. Respondent obtained a license to practice 20 pharmacy in South Carolina in September 1989. Respondent obtained a license to practice 21 pharmacy in North Carolina through reciprocity with South Carolina in October 1991, and took 22 the position of pharmacist manager and director of the pharmacy of Richmond Memorial 23 Hospital. Respondent relapsed into drug abuse in December 1991, abusing morphine and other 24controlled substances both while at work and away from work, until May 1992, when he again 25 entered treatment. The South Carolina State Board of Pharmacy based its decision on the findings 26 of the North Carolina Board of Pharmacy, and on a finding that Respondent had checked "no" to 27 a question on his 1994 license renewal application which asked whether he had been convicted 28

for violations of criminal law or had any disciplinary action imposed by any other state board of
 pharmacy since his last renewal when in fact Respondent had been disciplined by the North
 Carolina Board of Pharmacy on May 10, 1993.

c. Respondent was ordered eligible to reinstate his South Carolina license after his
suspension if he participated in a South Carolina Board of Pharmacy approved aftercare program
and submitted quarterly progress reports to the South Carolina Board during the period of his
suspension, and did not abuse drugs during this period.

8

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Out of State Discipline – Pennsylvania 1995)

22. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code 480, subsection (a)(3) in that
Respondent's pharmacist license issued by the State of Pennsylvania was revoked, which act if
done to a California licensed pharmacist, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of
license under Code section 4301, subsection (n), as set forth in paragraphs 19 thorough 21, above,
which are incorporated here by this reference and as follows:

On or about November 29, 1994, in the matter of the Commonwealth of a. 15 Pennsylvania, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs v. Harold E. Gillung, R.Ph., in 16 file number 92-54-02486, the Pennsylvania State Board of Pharmacy (Pennsylvania Board) filed a 17 notice and order to show cause alleging that Respondent violated sections 5(a)(5) and (10) of the 18 Pennsylvania Pharmacy Act, Act of September 27, 1961, P.L. 1700, as amended, 63 P.S. sections 19 390-5(a)(5) and (1), as a result of having his license to practice pharmacy in North Carolina 20 revoked on May 10, 1993, and his license to practice pharmacy in South Carolina suspended for a 21 period of not less than two years on June 30, 1994. 22

b. On or about February 8, 1995, Respondent admitted the allegations in the order
to show cause, and alleged mitigating circumstances.

c. On January 10, 1995, a formal hearing was held before the Pennsylvania Board.
The Pennsylvania Board found as fact that Respondent while pharmacist manager of the
Richmond Memorial Hospital in Rockingham, North Carolina: (1) took morphine injectables
from the hospital pharmacy from December of 1991 through May of 1992 and administered the

morphine to himself, using about 100 mg a day; (2) took cocaine from the hospital pharmacy in 1 March of 1992 and used the cocaine on himself; (3) took Dilaudid tablets from the hospital 2 pharmacy and administered the drugs to himself; (4) took Fentanyl from the hospital pharmacy; 3 and (5) attempted to conceal his thefts by replacing the morphine with saline and the cocaine with 4 Piperacillin, by regluing and replacing factory seals on the morphine containers, and by altering 5 narcotics logs. The Pennsylvania Board also found as fact that Respondent pleaded guilty on 6 November 17, 1992, in Richmond County Superior Court to one count of embezzlement of a 7 controlled substance, for which he received a sentence of two years imprisonment, with the 8 imprisonment suspended in favor of probation, and was ordered to surrender his pharmacist 9 license until its return was approved by a judge of the Superior Court. The Pennsylvania Board 10 also found as fact that the South Carolina State Board of Pharmacy issued a final order on June 11 30, 1994, in the case captioned In the Matter of: Harold Eugene Gillung, R.Ph., License No. 12 7285, suspending the Respondent's license to practice pharmacy in South Carolina for not less 13 than two years based on the findings of the North Carolina Board, and a finding that Respondent 14 had checked "no" to a question on his 1994 license renewal application which asked whether he 15 had been convicted for violations of criminal law or had any disciplinary action imposed by any 16 other state board of pharmacy since his last renewal when in fact Respondent had been disciplined 17 by the North Carolina Board on May 10, 1993. 18

d. On May 16, 1995, the Pennsylvania Board suspended Respondent's license to
practice pharmacy indefinitely. The Pennsylvania Board further ordered that Respondent may
apply for reinstatement only after his licenses to practice pharmacy in North Carolina and South
Carolina are reinstated, and that the Board will then reinstate Respondent's license if he proves at
a formal hearing that he has maintained unbroken sobriety for the three years preceding his formal
hearing, and has maintained his continuing education during the period of his suspension.

25

26

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Engaged in Acts Involving Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)

27 23. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code 480, subsection (a)(2) in that
28 Respondent engaged in acts involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to substantially

1	benefit himself or substantially injury another which is substantially related to the duties and
2	responsibilities of a pharmacist, as set forth in paragraph 15 through 22, above, which are
3	incorporated here by this reference.
4	PRAYER
5	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
6	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:
7	1. Denying the application of Harold Eugene Gillung for a Pharmacist License;
8	2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
9	
10	DATED: 12/21/15 VIRGINIAHEROLD
11	Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy
12	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
13	Complainant
14	00001/201741
15	SD2015801741 81211055.doc
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	11 STATEMENT OF ISSUES

.