1	KAMALA D. HARRIS		
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Sonior Assistant Attorney General		
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General ARMANDO ZAMBRANO Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
4	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702		
5			
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 Attorneys for Complainant		
7	Autorneys for Complainani		
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 5508	
12	JESUS HERMIDA CRUZ, aka JESUS CRUZ	STATEMENT OF ISSUES	
13	aka JESUS ADALIT ANDRADE aka ADALIT ANDRADE		
14			
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration Applicant		
16	Respondent.		
17		_	
18	Complainant alleges:		
19	<u>PARTIES</u>		
20	1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official		
21	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs		
22	("Board").		
23	2. On or about August 7, 2014, the Board received an application for a Pharmacy		
24	Technician Registration from Jesus Hermida Cruz, aka Jesus Crus, aka Jesus Adalit Andrade aka		
25	Adalit Andrade ("Respondent"). On or about July 21, 2014, Jesus Hermida Cruz certified under		
26	penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the		
27	application. The Board denied the application on May 29, 2015.		
28	//		
	1		

///

#### **JURISDICTION**

- · 3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code ("Code") unless otherwise indicated.
  - 4. Section 4300 of the Code states:

(c) The board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct. The board may, in its sole discretion, issue a probationary license to any applicant for a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct and who has met all other requirements for licensure. The board may issue the license subject to any terms or conditions not contrary to public policy.

#### STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 5. Section 480 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- (a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- (1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code.
- (2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.
- (3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- (B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made.
- (b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this code, a person shall not be denied a license solely on the basis that he or she has been convicted of a felony if he or she has obtained a certificate of rehabilitation under Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 4852.01) of Title 6 of Part 3 of the Penal Code or that he or she has been convicted of a misdemeanor if he or she has met all applicable requirements of the criteria of rehabilitation developed by the board to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when considering the denial of a license under subdivision (a) of Section 482.

- (c) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, a person shall not be denied a license solely on the basis of a conviction that has been dismissed pursuant to Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code. An applicant who has a conviction that has been dismissed pursuant to Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code shall provide proof of the dismissal.
- (d) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the ground that the applicant knowingly made a false statement of fact that is required to be revealed in the application for the license."
- 7. Section 490 provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
  - 6. Section 4301 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

- (f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
- (h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.
- (k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.
- (1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications,

functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

7. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states, in pertinent part: For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

- 8. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows:
- a. On or about March 19, 2012, Respondent was convicted of one interlineated misdemeanor count for violating Vehicle Code section 12500, subdivision (a) [driving without a license], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Jesus Cruz* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2011, No. 1JB05628). The court placed Respondent on three years summary probation and ordered him to pay fines. On or about January 16, 2014, the court dismissed the case pursuant to Penal Code section 1203.4.
- b. The circumstances surrounding the conviction occurred or about May 21, 2011, when a Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department deputy stopped Respondent for a traffic violation.

  Upon contact, the deputy learned that Respondent did not have his driver's license and the license was suspended.

# 

# 

# 

# 

### 

## 

# 

# 

## 

#### 

### 

## 

### 

### 

#### 

# 

## 

### 

## 

# 

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

#### (Conviction of Substantially Related Crime)

- 9. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows:
- 10. On or about August 5, 2010, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 242 and 243, subdivision (a) [battery], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Adalit Andrade* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2010, No. 0DV00285). The court sentenced Respondent to 5 days in jail, placed him on 36 months summary probation, ordered him to perform 24 hours of community service, and ordered him to complete a 26 week parenting skills program. On or about October 16, 2013, the court dismissed the case pursuant to Penal Code section 1203.4.
- b. The circumstances surrounding the conviction occurred or about August 3, 2010, when a Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department deputy responded to a disturbance and battery report. Respondent and an ex girlfriend got into a verbal argument regarding Respondent's use and cleanliness of the ex-girlfriend's residence. The argument continued outside of the ex-girlfriend's residence where Respondent used his hands to push the ex-girlfriend's neck against a wall. The ex-girlfriend told the deputy that she and Respondent had a dating relationship and she allowed Respondent to move back into her residence because he was homeless.

#### THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

- 11. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows:
- a. On or about July 24, 2009, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 14601.5, subdivision (a) [driving with a suspended license], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Adalit Andrade* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2009, No. 7PK04881). The court sentenced Respondent to 10 days in jail, placed

him on three years summary probation, and ordered him to pay fines. On or about December 13, 2013, the court dismissed the case pursuant to Penal Code section 1203.4.

b. The circumstances surrounding the conviction occurred on or about June 26, 2007, when a Claremont Police Department officer heard loud music coming from Respondent's vehicle and observed he was speeding. The officer followed Respondent and turned on his siren several times trying to get Respondent's attention to stop but Respondent continued driving. When Respondent finally stopped and was contacted by the officer, he verbally identified himself as "Jesus Hermida-Cruz" and admitted that he did not have a driver's license. Fingerprints revealed Respondent's identity as "Adalit Andrade" and that his driver's license was suspended.

#### FOURTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

#### (Conviction of Substantially Related Crime)

- 12. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows:
- a. On or about August 16, 2005, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 14601.2, subdivision (a) [driving with a suspended license], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Jesus Hermida Cruz* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2005, No. 5PM05994). The court sentenced Respondent to 365 days in jail, and ordered him to pay a fine. On or about April 1, 2015, the court dismissed the case pursuant to Penal Code section 1203.4.
- b. The circumstances surrounding the conviction occurred on or about August 12, 2005, when a Pomona Police Department officer stopped Respondent for a traffic violation. Upon contact, the officer found out that Respondent did not have any form of identification and was driving on a suspended license.

25 || ///

26 | ///

27 | ///

28 | ///

#### FIFTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

#### (Conviction of Substantially Related Crime)

- 13. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows:
- 14. On or about February 22, 2005, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 242 [battery], and one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 602, subdivision (k) [trespassing], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Jesus Hermida Cruz* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2005, No. 5PM01291). The court sentenced Respondent to 90 days in jail, and placed him on three year summary probation. On or about April 1, 2015, the court dismissed the court pursuant to Penal Code section 1203.4.
- b. The circumstances surrounding the conviction occurred on or about February 17, 2005, when a Pomona Police Department officer responded to a report of battery at a market. Respondent was a former employee and was told numerous times not to come on the premise of the market. An employee told the Respondent to leave; Respondent entered the bathroom and closed the door behind him. The employee kicked the door open and Respondent armed himself with a plunger and struck the employee in the face which caused a bloody nose.

#### SIXTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

- 15. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows:
- a. On or about April 28, 2004, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 245, subdivision (a)(1) [assault with a deadly weapon], and one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 14610, subdivision (a)(1) [display fraudulently altered, or fraudulently obtained driver's license], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Jesus Hermida Cruz* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2004, No.

4PM03035). The court sentenced Respondent to 45 days in jail, and placed him on three years formal probation. On or about April 1, 2015, the court dismissed the case pursuant to Penal Code section 1203.4.

b. The circumstances surrounding the conviction occurred on or about April 9, 2004, when Pomona Police Department officers were dispatched to a disturbance call. Upon arrival, the officers observed Respondent hit a male victim with his vehicle. The victim informed the officers that Respondent intentionally hit him because he ended their romantic relationship. Respondent informed the officers that the victim used a garden tool to break Respondent's rear windshield. During booking, the officers found out that the Respondent's driver's license belonged to someone else. Respondent admitted that his driver's license was suspended and he was using someone else's driver's license with his picture on the license.

#### SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

#### (Conviction of Substantially Related Crime)

- 16. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows:
- a. On or about April 2, 2001, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) [driving while having BAC of 0.08%, of weight, or higher], and one misdemeanor of violating Vehicle Code section 14601.1, subdivision (a) [driving with a suspended license], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Adalit Andrade* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2001, No. 1SA00987). The court sentenced Respondent to 45 days in jail, placed him on three years summary probation and suspended his driver's license for two years. On or about February 13, 2014, the court dismissed the case pursuant to Penal Code section 1203.4.
  - b. The circumstances surrounding the conviction occurred on or about March 18, 2001.

26 | ///

27 | ///

28 | ///

#### EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

#### (Conviction of Substantially Related Crime)

- 17. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows:
- a. On or about April 25, 2000, Respondent plead guilty and was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a) [driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs], one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 31 [false statements or information to a peace or police officer], and one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 14601.5, subdivision (a) [driving with a suspended license], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Jesus Hermida Cruz* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2000, No. 0PM02894). The court sentenced Respondent to 150 days in county jail, placed him on three years on summary probation and ordered him to pay fines. On or about April 1, 2015, the court dismissed the case pursuant to Penal Code section 1203.4.
- b. The circumstances surrounding the conviction occurred on or about April 24, 2000, when a Pomona Police Department officer observed Respondent's vehicle swerving. Upon contact, the officer smelled an odor of alcohol on Respondent's breath. Respondent was asked to perform field sobriety tests which he failed to satisfactorily perform as explained and demonstrated. Respondent's blood alcohol content was 0.10%.

#### **NINTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION**

- 18. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows:
- a. On or about March 20, 2000, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) [driving while having a BAC of 0.08%, of weight, or higher], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Adalit Andrade* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2000, No. 0MT02658). The court placed Respondent on

36 months summary probation, ordered him to attend a 3-month first-offender alcohol and other drug education and counseling program, restricted his driving for 90 days, and order him to pay fines. On or about February 18, 2014, the court dismissed the case pursuant to Penal Code section 1203.4.

b. The circumstances surrounding the conviction occurred on or about February 28, 2000, when a Los Angeles Police Department officer observed Respondent committing multiple traffic violations. Upon contact, the officer smelled a strong odor of an alcoholic beverage coming from him and his clothing. Respondent submitted, but failed to satisfactorily complete field sobriety tests. Respondent's blood alcohol content was 0.18%.

#### TENTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

#### (Conviction of Substantially Related Crime)

- 19. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows:
- a. On or about August 5, 1998, Respondent plead guilty and was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 273.5, subdivision (a) [inflict corporal injury to spouse or cohabitant], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Adalit Andrade* (Mun. Ct., El Monte Courthouse Judicial Dist., L.A. county, 1998, No. 8FC00433). The court sentenced Respondent to eight days in jail, placed him on three years summary probation, ordered him to complete 24 hours of community service and 52 sessions of domestic violence counseling, and ordered him to attend at least 52 alcoholics anonymous meetings.
  - b. The circumstances surrounding the conviction occurred on or about July 29, 1998.

24 || ///

25 || ///

26 ||

 $/\!/\!/$ 

27 | ///

28 | ///

. .. 

#### ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

#### (Conviction of Substantially Related Crime)

- 20. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows:
- a. On or about November 9, 1995, Respondent plead guilty and was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 242 [battery], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Jesus Adalit Andrade* (Mun. Ct., El Monte Courthouse Judicial Dist., L.A. county, 1995, No. 95M01113). The court sentenced Respondent to 60 days in jail, placed him on three years probation. On or about October 16, 2013, the court dismissed the case pursuant to Penal Code section 1203.4.
  - b. The circumstances surrounding the conviction occurred or on about February 5, 1995.

#### TWELFTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

#### (Conviction of Substantially Related Crime)

- 21. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows:
- a. On or about November 9, 1995, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 273.5, subdivision (a) [inflict corporal injury to spouse or cohabitant], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Adalit Andrade* (Mun. Ct., El Monte Courthouse Judicial Dist., L.A. county, 1995, No. 95M10514). The court sentenced Respondent to 10 days in jail, placed him on three years summary probation, and ordered him to attend domestic violence counseling and alcoholic counseling sessions.
  - b. The circumstances surrounding the conviction occurred or on November 7, 1995.

||| ||||

#### THIRTEENTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Commission of Acts of Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)

22. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code section 480, subdivision (a)(2), in that Respondent committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or another, or substantially injure another. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 11, subparagraph (b), and 15, subparagraph (b), inclusive, as though set forth fully.

#### FOURTEENTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Acts Warranting Discipline of Licensure)

- 23. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivisions (a)(3)A) and (a)(3)(B), in that Respondent committed acts which if done by a registered pharmacy technician would be grounds for suspension or revocation of the license as follows:
- a. Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a pharmacy technician which to a substantial degree evidence his present or potential unfitness to perform the functions authorized by the license in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare, in violation Code of sections 4031, subdivision (l) and 490, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 8 through 22, and all subparagraphs as though set forth fully.
- b. Respondent used alcoholic beverages to an extent or in a manner dangerous or injurious to himself, any person, or the public, in violation of Code section 4301, subdivision (h). Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 16, subparagraph (b), 17, subparagraph (b), 18 subparagraph (b), 19 subparagraph (b), and 21 subparagraph (b), inclusive, as though set forth fully.
- c. Respondent sustained more than one conviction involving the consumption of alcoholic beverages, in violation of Code section 4301, subdivision (k). Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 16, 17, and 18, and all subparagraphs inclusive, as though set forth fully.

1	d. Respondent committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit or	
2	corruption, in violation of Code section 4301, subdivision (f). Complainant refers to, and by this	
3	reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 11, subparagraph (b), 15,	
4	subparagraph (b), 19, subparagraph (b), and 21, subparagraph (b), inclusive, as though set forth	
5	fully.	
6	<u>PRAYER</u>	
7	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,	
8	and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:	
9	1. Denying the application of Jesus Hermida Cruz, aka Jesus Crus, aka Jesus Adalit	
10	Andrade aka Adalit Andrade for a Pharmacy Technician Registration; and,	
11	2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
12		
13	1/15/11	
14	DATED:	
15	VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer	
16	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California	
17	Complainant	
18	LA2015502034/52032826.docx/03282016	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	•	