1			
2			
3	·		
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:  Case No. 5369		
13	ANGEL PATRICE MARTINEZ		
14	Pharmacy Technician Applicant  WITHDRAWAL OF STATEMENT OF ISSUES		
15	Respondent,		
16			
17	The Statement of Issues No. 5369 against Angel Patrice Martinez (Respondent) is		
18	withdrawn without prejudice. Respondent has withdrawn the request for a hearing regarding the		
19	denial of the application for a pharmacy technician registration. The denial of the application is		
20	affirmed.		
21			
22	Dingmia Herold		
23			
24	DATED: 9/30/2015  VIRGINIA HEROLD		
25	Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California		
26			
27	Complainant		
28			

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California MARC D. GREENBAUM Supervising Deputy Attorney General THOMAS L. RINALDI Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 206911 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2541 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 Attorneys for Complainant		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	BEFORE THE		
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues	Case No. 5369	
12	Against:		
13	ANGEL PATRICE MARTINEZ	STATEMENT OF ISSUES	
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration Applicant		
15	Respondent.		
16	Complainant alleges:		
17	<u>PARTIES</u>		
18	Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official		
19	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs		
20	(Board).		
21	2. On or about April 9, 2014, the Board received an application for a Pharmacy		
22	Technician Registration from Angel Patrice Martinez (Respondent). On or about March 31,		
23	2014, Respondent certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers,		
24	and representations in the application. The Board denied the application on November 5, 2014.		
25	///		
26	111		
27	///		
28	///		
		1	

STATEMENT OF ISSUES

## **JURISDICTION**

3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.

### STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 480 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- "(1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.
- "(2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.
- "(3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- (B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made.
- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this code, no person shall be denied a license solely on the basis that he or she has been convicted of a felony if he or she has obtained a certificate of rehabilitation under Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 4852.01) of Title 6 of Part 3 of the Penal Code or that he or she has been convicted of a misdemeanor if he or she has met all applicable requirements of the criteria of rehabilitation developed by the board to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when considering the denial of a license under subdivision (a) of Section 482.

- "(c) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, a person shall not be denied a license solely on the basis of a conviction that has been dismissed pursuant to Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code. An applicant who has a conviction that has been dismissed pursuant to Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code shall provide proof of the dismissal.
- "(d) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the ground that the applicant knowingly made a false statement of fact required to be revealed in the application for the license."
- 5. Section 490 of the Code provides that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
  - 6. Section 4300 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- "(c) The board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct. The board may, in its sole discretion, issue a probationary license to any applicant for a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct and who has met all other requirements for licensure. The board may issue the license subject to any terms or conditions not contrary to public policy..."
  - 7. Section 4301 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

- "(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
  - "(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous

8

9

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

2425

26

2728

drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

. . . .

The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and "(1) duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

. . . .

- "(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.
  - "(p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license. . . ."

# 3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

## REGULATORY PROVISIONS

8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states, in pertinent part:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

# FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

### (Convictions of Substantially Related Crimes)

- 9. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent was convicted of substantially related crimes, as follows:
- On or about August 3, 2011, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one interlineated misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23103 [reckless driving] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v.* Angel Patrice Martinez (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2011, No. 1VY02063). The Court placed Respondent on 36 months summary probation, ordered her to complete a Three-Month First Offender Alcohol and Other Drug Education and Counseling program, a Hospital and Morgue program, and complete 100 hours of community service.
- The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about April 16, 2011, b. California Highway Patrol officers conducted a traffic stop whereby an officer smelled the odor of an alcoholic beverage emitting from Respondent's breath and person. Respondent only had a New York learner's permit and no California identification. During a Preliminary Alcohol Screening (PAS) Test, Respondent's blood alcohol content (BAC) was determined to be 0.082% and 0.081%. Additional tests revealed a BAC of 0.06% and 0.06% respectively.
- On or about June 5, 2012, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one count of violating New York Penal Law Code section 240.20, subdivision 7 [disorderly conduct: create hazardous or physically offensive condition], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The*