1 2 3 4 5 6	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California THOMAS L. RINALDI Supervising Deputy Attorney General KRITHTHIKA VASUDEVAN Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 247590 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2540 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 Attorneys for Complainant	
7		·
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY	
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10]
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 5359
12	CESAR GONZALEZ	
13	Pharmacy Technician Registration Applicant	STATEMENT OF ISSUES
14 15	Respondent.	
16	Complainant alleges:	
17	<u>PARTIES</u>	
18	1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official	
19	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.	
20	2. On or about February 11, 2014, the Board of Pharmacy ("Board") received an	
21	application for a Pharmacy Technician Registration from Cesar Gonzalez ("Respondent"). On or	
22	about February 5, 2014, Respondent certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all	
23	statements, answers, and representations in the application. The Board denied the application on	
24	October 13, 2014.	
25	JURISDICTION	
26	3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board under the authority of the	
27	following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code ("Code") unless	
28	otherwise indicated.	

4. Section 4300 of the Code states, in pertinent part:	
••••	
"(c) The board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct.	
The board may, in its sole discretion, issue a probationary license to any applicant for a license	
who is guilty of unprofessional conduct and who has met all other requirements for licensure.	
The board may issue the license subject to any terms or conditions not contrary to public policy.	
"	
STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS	
5. Section 480 of the Code states, in pertinent part:	
"(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant	
has one of the following:	
"(1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a	
plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a	
board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the	
time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when	
an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a	
subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code	
"(3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question	
would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.	
(B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or a	
is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for	
which application is made.	
••••	
"(d) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the ground that the applicant	
knowingly made a false statement of fact that is required to be revealed in the application for the	
license."	
••••	

6.

6. Section 4301 states, in pertinent part:
"The board shall take action against any hol

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

. . .

" (f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

. . .

"(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

"(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, of any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the

 $/\!/$

qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

"(p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license."

7. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states, in pertinent part:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE / DANGEROUS DRUG

8. Marijuana, hashish and tetrahydrocannabinols (concentrated marijuana) are hallucinogenic Schedule I controlled substances under State and federal law. (Health & Safety Code § 11054, subds. (d)(13) and (20); 21 U.S.C. § 812.) Marijuana is also a dangerous drug as defined in Code section 4022. Possession of a controlled substance is illegal under federal law (21 U.S.C. § 844), and the Pharmacy Law (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 4000 et seq.) prohibits a person from possessing any controlled substance without a valid prescription. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 4060.)

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Conviction of Substantially Related Crimes)

- 9. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent was convicted of substantially related crime, as follows:
- a. On or about June 29, 2009, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 415 [disturbing the peace] and one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 12500, subdivision (a) [driving without a license] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Cesar Gonzalez* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2009, No. 9GN00327). The Court placed Respondent on 24 months probation, and ordered him to complete five (5) days of CalTrans work.
- b. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about January 19, 2009, Glendale Police Department officers made a traffic stop and contacted the driver, Respondent. When asked for his driver's license, Respondent responded to the officer that he did not have one because it was suspended. The officer asked to search Respondent and his vehicle, and Respondent consented. The officer found stickers in Respondent's wallet filled with graffiti called "slap tags," which are stickers that taggers use to quickly deface property. The officer also found the following items in Respondent's vehicle: two sand stone drill bits, a yellow paint marker, a large white paint marker, numerous photos with graffiti on walls, cars, and other properties, white shoe polish, and two books with various graffiti writing inside. The items found in the vehicle are commonly used for vandalism. After the officer confronted Respondent regarding the items, Respondent admitted to using the drill bits on a gas pump to tag his crew's name.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Conviction of Substantially Related Crimes)

- 10. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent was convicted of substantially related crime, as follows:
- a. On or about April 17, 2014, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of violating Penal Code section 245, subdivision (a)(4) [assault

with force likely to produce bodily injury] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Cesar Gonzalez* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2014, No. BA419485). The Court sentenced Respondent to four (4) days in jail, placed him on 36 months of formal probation, and ordered him to complete 60 days of CalTrans work.

b. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about November 28, 2013, Respondent and several other men beat and kicked a victim on a sidewalk outside a bar.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Acts Warranting Denial of Licensure)

- 11. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code sections 4301, subdivision (p) and 480, subdivision (a)(3), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent committed acts which if done by a licentiate of the business and profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of his license as follows:
- a. On or about June 29, 2009 and April 17, 2014, Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a pharmacy technician which to a substantial degree evidence his present or potential unfitness to perform the functions authorized by the license in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare, in violation of Code section 4301, subdivision (l), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 9 and 10, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- b. On or about March 22, 2009, Respondent was arrested for violating Penal Code section 488 (petty theft) for stealing from Wal-Mart, in violation of Code section 4301, subdivision (f), as follows:
- i. On or about March 19, 2009, Respondent and his girlfriend entered Wal-Mart, located at 1340 S. Beach Blvd., La Habra, California. Respondent picked up a DVD, unwrapped the packaging, and it concealed it in his girlfriend's purse. Respondent and his girlfriend walked out of the store with the concealed item. Respondent was stopped by Wal-Mart's loss prevention officer. The loss prevention officer contacted the police. Respondent apologized to the police for his actions. Respondent stated he had taken the items because of greed. Respondent stated he had

24

25

26 27

28

entered the store with his girlfriend, and they both went their separate ways. Once he was in the electronics department, he viewed several items he wanted and later met up with his girlfriend. He asked his girlfriend to place the items in her purse. Respondent stated he had money to pay for the items, but he felt if he took the items, he would still have the money to spend on other things at a later time.

- c. On or about April 28, 2008, Respondent illegally possessed a controlled substance and dangerous drug in violation of Code section 4301, subdivision (j), as follows:
- i. On or about April 22, 2009, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of an infraction of violating Vehicle Code section 23136, subdivision (a) [driver under 21 with a BAC of 0.01 or higher] in the criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of California v. Cesar Gonzalez (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2009, No. 9AH0086). The Court sentenced Respondent to pay fines. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about April 28, 2008, during a driving under the influence checkpoint administered by the Alhambra Police Department, an officer stopped Respondent's vehicle. Upon contact, the officer smelled the odor of marijuana emitting from inside Respondent's vehicle and he noticed Respondent's red eyes and smelled the odor of an alcoholic beverage coming from his breath. When the officer asked if Respondent had any marijuana, Respondent stated yes. The officer asked Respondent for the marijuana and Respondent handed him a clear plastic bag containing a green leafy substance resembling marijuana. During a further investigation, the officers found a container under the front passenger's seat and it contained three small plastic bags containing a green leafy substance resembling marijuana. Respondent submitted to a preliminary alcohol screening test and he blew a result of 0.041% blood alcohol content.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Knowingly Made a False Statement of Fact)

12. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code section 480, subdivision (c), in that on or about February 5, 2014, Respondent knowingly made a false statement of fact by failing to disclose his June 26, 2009 conviction and his April 22, 2009 alcohol related infraction

on his application for licensure. Respondent falsely answered "No" to the following question on 1 the application for Pharmacy Technician: 2 3 "Have you ever been convicted of any crime in any state, the USA and its territories, military court or foreign county? "Check the box next to 'Yes' if, you have ever been convicted or plead guilty 4 to any crime. "Conviction" includes a plea of no contest and any conviction that 5 has been set aside of deferred pursuant to Sections 1000 or 1023.4 of the Penal Code, including infractions, misdemeanor, and felonies. You do not need to report 6 a conviction for an infraction with a fine of less than \$300 unless the infraction involved alcohol or controlled substances. You must, however, disclose any 7 convictions in which you entered a plea on no contest and any convictions that were subsequently set aside pursuant or deferred pursuant to sections 1000 or 8 1203.4 of the Penal Code. "Check the box next to 'NO' if you have not been convicted of a crime. 9 "You may wish to provide the following information in order to assist in the processing of your application; descriptive explanation of the circumstances 10 surrounding the conviction (i.e. dates and location of incident and all circumstances surrounding the incident.) If documents were purged by the 11 arresting agency and/or court, a letter of explanation from these agencies are required. 12 "Failure to disclose a disciplinary action or conviction may result in the license being denied or revoked for falsifying the application. Attach 13 additional sheet if necessary." In addition, Respondent signed his application under penalty of perjury. Complainant refers to, 14 and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth in paragraph 9, including all 15 subparagraphs, and paragraph 11, subdivision (c), as though fully set forth herein. 16 PRAYER 17 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, 18 and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision: 19 Denying the application of Cesar Gonzalez for a Pharmacy Technician Registration; 20 and 21 2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 22 23 DATED: 10/20/15 24 25 Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy 26 Department of Consumer Affairs State of California 27 Complainant 28