	- 11	v v	
	1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California	•
	2	MARC D. GREENBAUM Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
•	3	WILLIAM D. GARDNER Deputy Attorney General	
	4	State Bar No. 244817 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702	
	5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2114	
•	6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804	
	7	Attorneys for Complainant	
	8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
	9		
1	0	1	1
1	1	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues	Case No. 5284
1	2	Against:	STATEMENT OF ISSUES
1	13	ANDREW MITCHELL PEREZ	
. 1	14	Pharmacy Technician Registration	
1	5	Applicant	
1	16	Respondent.	
1	ا 17	Complainant alleges:	
1	18	<u>PARTIES</u>	
. 1	19	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official	
2	20	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.	
. 2	21	2. On or about October 15, 2013, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) received an	
- 2	22	application for a Pharmacy Technician Registration from Andrew Mitchell Perez (Respondent).	
2	23	On or about September 25, 2013, Andrew Mitchell Perez certified under penalty of perjury to the	
2	24	truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the application. The Board denied	
2	25	the application on May 29, 2014.	
2	26	///	
2	27	<i>  </i>	
. 4	28	<i>III</i>	
			1

STATEMENT OF ISSUES (Case No. 5284)

## <u>JURISDICTION</u>

3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

## STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 4300, subdivision (c), states, in pertinent part, that "[t]he board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct."
  - 5. Section 4301 provides, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

"(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

"(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter.

#### REGULATORY PROVISIONS

6. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states, in pertinent part:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a

crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

### FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

### (Substantially-Related Criminal Conviction)

- 7. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 4300, subdivision (c), in conjunction with section 3401, subdivision (l), and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or abut December 3, 2013, in the criminal proceeding entitled *The State of California v. Andrew Mitchell Perez* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2013, No. 3DY07357), Respondent entered a plea of nolo contendere and was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a) [driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs] and one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 14601.2, subdivision (a) [driving while driving privilege is suspended or revoked with knowledge]. Respondent was sentenced to 120 days in the Los Angeles County Jail and placed on probation for a period of 60 months. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that Respondent was stopped by the California Highway Patrol on or about October 5, 2013, after an officer observed Respondent veering back and forth between lanes on the 605 Freeway. While speaking to Respondent, the officer observed signs of possible alcohol intoxication. Respondent was asked to perform a Field Sobriety Test (FST) which he failed. Respondent then submitted to a Preliminary Alcohol Screening (P.A.S.) test that resulted in a breath-alcohol content level of 0.127% on the first reading and 0.129% on the second reading. At the time of his arrest, Respondent's driver license was suspended due to a prior conviction for driving under the influence of alcohol.
- b. On or abut June 30, 2011, in the criminal proceeding entitled *The State of California* v. Andrew Mitchell Perez (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2011, No. 1DY03206), Respondent entered a plea of nolo contendere and was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating

Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a) [driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs] and one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 14601.2, subdivision (a) [driving while driving privilege is suspended or revoked with knowledge]. Respondent was sentenced to 16 days in the Los Angeles County Jail and placed probation for a period of 48 months. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that during a routine traffic stop by the Downey Police Department on or about April 26, 2011, Respondent exhibited signs of alcohol intoxication. Respondent was asked to perform a Field Sobriety Test (FST) which he failed. Respondent refused to submit to an alcohol screening. At the time of his arrest, Respondent's driver license was suspended due to a prior conviction for driving under the influence of alcohol.

- c. On or abut February 28, 2011, in the criminal proceeding entitled *The State of California v. Andrew Mitchell Perez* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2011, No. 1DY00465), Respondent entered a plea of nolo contendere and was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) [driving while having 0.08% or more, by weight of alcohol, in his blood]. Respondent was placed on probation for a period of 36 months. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about November 25, 2010, Respondent was arrested for driving under the influence of alcohol.
- d. On or abut February 1, 2007, in the criminal proceeding entitled *The State of California v. Andrew Mitchell Perez* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2007, No. 7CP00762), Respondent entered a plea of nolo contendere and was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 594, subdivision (a) [vandalism]. Respondent was sentenced to 5 days in the Los Angeles County Jail and placed probation for a period of 36 months. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about January 30, 2007, Respondent maliciously defaced, damaged or destroyed the personal or real property of another.

# SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

#### (Alcohol-Related Convictions)

8. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 4300, subdivision (c), in conjunction with section 3401, subdivision (k), in that Respondent was convicted of more than one misdemeanor involving the consumption of alcoholic beverages. Complainant refers to, and