1 2	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California KENT D. HARRIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
3	STERLING A. SMITH Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 84287 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550		
4			
5			
6	Telephone: (916) 445-0378 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
. 8	BEFORE THE		
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	V ·	_	
12	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 5188	
14	DIA VUE aka KATE VUE	STATEMENT OF ISSUES	
15 16	Applicant for Pharmacy Technician Registration		
17	Respondent.		
18 19	Virginia Herold ("Complainant") alleges:		
20	<u>PARTIES</u>		
21	1. Complainant brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official capacity as the		
22	Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs.		
23	2. On or about July 31, 2013, the Board received a Pharmacy Technician Application		
24	from Dia Vue, also known as Kate Vue ("Respondent"). On or about July 30, 2013, Respondent		
25	certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and		
26	representations in the application. The Board denied the application on February 19, 2014.		
27	///		
28	///	•	
\ 	1		

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

2122

2324

25

26

28

JURISDICTION

- 3. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code ("Code") section 485(b), on or about February 19, 2014, Respondent's application was denied and she was notified of the right to a hearing to appeal the denial.
- 4. On or about April 3, 2014, Respondent requested a hearing to appeal the denial of her application.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 5. Business and Professions Code ("Code") section 4300 states, in pertinent part:
- (c) The board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct.
- 6. Code section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

- (f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
- (1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

26

27

28

- (a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the
- (1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the
- (2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.
- (3)(A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- (B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

- Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code section 480(a)(1), in that she was convicted of the following crimes that are substantially related to the qualifications, functions
- On or about January 21, 2004, in the case of People v. Dia Vue, aka Katie Vue, (Super. Ct. Santa Clara County, 2004, Case No. CC269682), Respondent was convicted by the Court on her plea of nolo contendere of violating Penal Code section 487(b)(3) (grant theft by employee, agent or servant), a felony. The circumstances of the crime were that between December 1, 2000, and March 26, 2001, Respondent took a check made payable to her employer in the amount of \$15,000, altered the check by making it payable to herself, and deposited it into
- On or about January 26, 2011, in the case of *People v. Dia Vue, aka Katie Vue*, (Super. Ct. Sacramento County, 2010, Case No. 10M07621), Respondent was convicted by the Court on her plea of nolo contendere of violating Penal Code section 484e(d) (used account data without consent), a misdemeanor. The circumstances of the crime were that on or about

1	November 12, 2010, Respondent stole a co-worker's purse and used the co-worker's credit card	
2	located inside the purse to purchase items by signing the card owner's name.	
3	SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION	
4	(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)	
5	9. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code section 480(a)(2), in that	
6	Respondent committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to substantially	
7	benefit herself, as more particularly set forth above in paragraph 8.	
8	THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION	
9	(Committed Acts Which If Done By A Licentiate)	
10	10. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code section 480(a)(3)(A), in that	
11	she committed acts which if done by a licentiate of the profession would constitute grounds for	
12	discipline under Code section 4301(1) (conviction of a crime). The conduct described above in	
13	paragraph 8 would also constitute grounds for discipline under Code section 4301(f) (commission	
14	of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption).	
15	<u>PRAYER</u>	
16	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,	
17	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:	
18	1. Denying the application of Dia Vue, also known as Kate Vue for a Pharmacy	
19	Technician Registration; and,	
20	2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
21	DATED: 6/14/14 Justina Herold	
22	Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy	
23	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California	
24	Complainant	
25	SA2014115586	
26	11332680.doc	
27		
28	·	