1 2	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California MARC D. GREENBAUM Supervising Deputy Attorney General GILLIAN E. FRIEDMAN Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 169207	
3		
4		
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2564 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY	
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues	Case No. 5131
12	Against:	
13	II I	STATEMENT OF ISSUES
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration Applicant	
15	Respondent.	
16	'Complement allegen	
17 18	Complainant alleges: PARTIES	
19	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official	
20	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer	
21	Affairs (Board).	
22	2. On or about July 17, 2013, the Board received an application for a/an Pharmacy	
23	Technician Registration from Solomon Bjerke (Respondent). On or about June 28, 2013,	
24	Solomon Bjerke certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers,	
25	and representations in the application. The Board denied the application on December 18, 2013.	
26	<u>JURISDICTION</u>	
27	3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board, under the authority of the	
28	following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise	
	1	

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 480 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- "(1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.

. . . .

- "(3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- "(B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made.
- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this code, no person shall be denied a license solely on the basis that he or she has been convicted of a felony if he or she has obtained a certificate of rehabilitation under Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 4852.01) of Title 6 of Part 3 of the Penal Code or that he or she has been convicted of a misdemeanor if he or she has met all applicable requirements of the criteria of rehabilitation developed by the board to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when considering the denial of a license under subdivision (a) of Section 482...."
- 5. Section 490 provides that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.

III

Q

 6. Section 4300, subdivision (c), states, in pertinent part:

"The board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct. The board may, in its sole discretion, issue a probationary license to any applicant for a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct and who has met all other requirements for licensure. The board may issue the license subject to any terms or conditions not contrary to public policy, . . ."

7. Section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

. . . .

- "(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.
- "(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not

guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

. . . .

"(n) The revocation, suspension, or other discipline by another state of a license to practice pharmacy, operate a pharmacy, or do any other act for which a license is required by this chapter. . . ."

REGULATORY PROVISION

8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Convictions of Substantially Related Crimes)

- 9. Respondent's application is subject to denial under sections 480, subdivision (a), and 4300, subdivision (c), and 4301, subdivision (l), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, and sections 480, subdivision (a)(3)(A)(B), and 490, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant which to a substantial degree evidence his present or potential unfitness to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare, as follows:
- a. On or about July 26, 2011, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating ORS section 811.182 [drive while suspended] in the criminal proceeding entitled *State of Oregon v. Solomon Bjerke* (Muni. Ct. Clackamas County, 2011, No. 11-1770). The Court placed Respondent on 18 months probation. The circumstances underlying the conviction are that on or about May 31, 2011, Respondent drove a vehicle while

his driver's license was suspended.

- b. On or about June 3, 2011, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Oregon Revised Statute (ORS) section 813.010 [driving under the influence of intoxicants, DUI I] in the criminal proceeding entitled *State of Oregon v. Solomon Bjerke* (Cr. Ct. Washington County, 2011, No. D110726T). The Court placed Respondent on two (2) years probation, and ordered him to complete a DUI I Victims' Panel and Alcohol Evaluation Treatment and Counseling. The circumstances underlying the conviction are that on or about February 1, 2011, Respondent drove while under the influence of a tested 0.117/0.119% BAC.
- c. On or about May 4, 2011, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating ORS section 811.182 [drive while suspended] in the criminal proceeding entitled *State of Oregon v. Solomon Bjerke* (Muni. Ct. Clackamas County, 2011, No. 11M104242). The Court placed Respondent on 18 months probation. The circumstances underlying the conviction are that on or about March 11, 2011, Respondent drove a vehicle while his driver's license was suspended.
- d. On or about February 12, 2009, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Nevada Revised Statute (NRS) sections 484.379 and 484.3792 [drive while under the influence of alcohol or with a greater than 0.08% blood alcohol content (BAC)] in the criminal proceeding entitled *State of Nevada v Solomon Bjerke* (J. Ct. Washoe County, 2009, No. RCR2009-046128). The Court ordered Respondent to complete 48 hours community service, a Level I Alcohol and Drug Abuse Program, and the Victims Impact Panel. The circumstances underlying the conviction are that on or about January 1, 2009, Respondent drove while under the influence of a tested 0.192% BAC.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Convictions Involving Alcoholic Beverages)

10. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 4300, subdivision (c), and 4301, subdivision (k), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent sustained two (2) misdemeanor convictions involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of

alcoholic beverages. Respondent's convictions involving alcoholic beverages were on or about

1