1	Kamala D. Harris		
2	Attorney General of California ALFREDO TERRAZAS		
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General LINDA K. SCHNEIDER		
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 101336		
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101		
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 645-3037 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant	•	
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11] C N 5000	
12	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 5088	
13	DANEKA DENISE SMITH	CODA COMPANDA DE ACCAMEN	
14	Applicant for a Pharmacy Technician Registration	STATEMENT OF ISSUES	
15	Respondent.		
16			
17_	Complainant alleges:		
18	PARTIES		
19	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official		
20	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
21	2. On or about May 22, 2013, the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs		
22	received an application for a pharmacy technician registration from Daneka Denise Smith		
23	(Respondent). On or about April 4, 2013, Daneka Denise Smith certified under penalty of perjury		
24	to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the application. The Board		
25	denied the application on November 4, 2013.		
26	///		
27	111		
28	///		
	1		

STATEMENT OF ISSUES

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board),
 Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references
 are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 4300, subdivision (c) of the Code states "The board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct."

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 5. Section 475 of the Code states:
- (a) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall govern the denial of licenses on the grounds of:
- (1) Knowingly making a false statement of material fact, or knowingly omitting to state a material fact, in an application for a license.
 - (2) Conviction of a crime.
- (3) Commission of any act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or another, or substantially injure another.
- (4) Commission of any act which, if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.

6. Section 480 of the Code states:

- (a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- (1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.
- (2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.
- (3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- (B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made.

. . . .

7. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

8. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."

9. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

. .

- (f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
- (g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.
- (h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

•

1	••••			
2	(I) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a			
3	violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may			
4				
5	inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled			
6	substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea			
7	or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.			
8				
9				
10				
11	the accusation, information, or indictinent.			
12	••••			
13	REGULATORY PROVISIONS			
4	10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769 states:			
15	(a) When considering the denial of a facility or personal license under Section 480 of the Business and Professions Code, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of the applicant and his present eligibility for licensing or registration, will consider the following criteria:			
16				
17				
18	(1) The nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s) under consideration as grounds for denial.			
19	(2) Evidence of any act(s) committed subsequent to the act(s) or crime(s) under consideration as grounds for denial under Section 480 of the Business and Professions			
20	Code.			
21	(3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or crime(s) referred to in subdivision (1) or (2).			
22	(4) Whether the applicant has complied with any terms of parole, probation,			
23	restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the applicant.			
24	(5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the applicant.			
25	,			
26				
27	111			
28	1111			
	4			

11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(December 16, 2002 Criminal Conviction for Petty Theft on December 8, 2002)

- 12. Respondent's application for registration as a pharmacy technician is subject to denial under section 480, subdivisions (a)(1) and (a)(3)(A) of the Code in that she was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician, and would be a ground for discipline under section 4301(I) of the Code for a registered pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about December 16, 2002, in a criminal proceeding entitled *State of California v. Daneka Denise Dancy*, in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego, Case No. S172869, Respondent was convicted of violating Penal Code section 484 (petty theft), a misdemeanor.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(November 3, 2003 Criminal Conviction for Petty Theft With a Prior on September 1, 2003)

- 13. Respondent's application for registration as a pharmacy technician is subject to denial under section 480, subdivisions (a)(1) and (a)(3)(A) of the Code in that she was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician, and would be a ground for discipline under section 4301(l) of the Code for a registered pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about November 3, 2003, in a criminal proceeding entitled *State of California v. Daneka Denise Dancy*, in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego, Case No. S179000, Respondent was convicted of violating Penal Code section 484/666 (petty theft with a prior), a misdemeanor.

III

THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(January 30, 2004 Criminal Conviction for Receiving Stolen Property on January 21, 2004)

- 14. Respondent's application for registration as a pharmacy technician is subject to denial under section 480, subdivisions (a)(1) and (a)(3)(A) of the Code in that she was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician, and would be a ground for discipline under section 4301(l) of the Code for a registered pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about January 30, 2004, in a criminal proceeding entitled *State of California v. Daneka Denise Dancy*, in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego, Case

 No. CS181894, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty of violating Penal Code section

 496 (receiving stolen property), a misdemeanor.
- b. As a result of the conviction, the Court placed Respondent on three years formal probation, ordered her to serve 180 days in the county jail, with 61 days credit for time served, and ordered her to pay various fines and fees. Respondent's probation was revoked on February 18, 2005 and March 21, 2005, and the Court ordered her to serve 365 days in the county jail, stayed pending completion of Public Work Service, with 181 days credit for time served, and ordered her to enroll in and complete Public Service Work. On January 23, 2007, Respondent's probation was revoked and she was remanded to the custody of the Sheriff without bail. On February 22, 2007, the Public Work Service was deleted and the Court imposed the 365 days custody. On October 17, 2007, Respondent filed a Petition for Relief under Penal Code section 1203.4 and the Court granted Respondent's Petition.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(October 8, 2010 Criminal Conviction for Wet Reckless Driving on July 28, 2010)

- 15. Respondent's application for registration as a pharmacy technician is subject to denial under section 480, subdivisions (a)(1) and (a)(3)(A) of the Code in that she was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician, and would be a ground for discipline under section 4301(I) of the Code for a registered pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or October 8, 2010, in a criminal proceeding entitled *State of California v. Daneka Denise Dancy*, in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego, Case

 No. C303429, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty of violating Vehicle Code section 23103(a) per Vehicle Code section 23103.5 (wet reckless driving), a misdemeanor. As a result of a plea bargain, a count for violating Vehicle Code section 23152(a) (DUI), a misdemeanor, was dismissed.
- b. As a result of the conviction, the Court placed Respondent on three years summary probation and ordered her to pay various fines and fees, complete a First Conviction Program and a MADD Impact Panel.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Dangerous Use of Alcohol)

16. Respondent's application for licensure is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(3)(A) of the Code in that on January 21, 2004, as described in paragraph 15, above, she used alcohol in a manner that was dangerous or injurious to herself or others, which would be a ground for discipline under section 4301(h) of the Code for a registered pharmacy technician.

25	111
26	111
25262728	111
28	111

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Act Involving Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)

17. Respondent's application is subject to denial under sections 480, subdivision (a)(2) and (c) of the Code in that on or about April 4, 2013, she committed an act of dishonesty, fraud and/or deceit when, in response to the question on his application for registration as a pharmacy technician, "Have you ever been convicted of any crime in any state, the USA and its territories, military court or foreign country," Respondent responded, "No," and failed to disclosed the convictions that are detailed at paragraphs 12-15, above, which would be a ground for discipline under section 4301(f) and (g) of the Code for a registered pharmacy technician.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

1. Denying the application of Daneka Denise Smith for a Pharmacy Technician Registration;

2.	Taking such other and further	action as deemed necessary and proper.
DATED.	5/2/14	1) Ligiting the (1)
DATED:	2017	VIRGINIA HEROLD

Executive Officer
Board of Pharmacy
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California

State of Californ Complainant

SD2014706591 70843691.doc