1 2 3	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California MARC GREENBAUM Supervising Deputy Attorney General CHRISTINA THOMAS Deputy Attorney General					
4	State Bar No. 171168 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702					
5 6	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2557 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804					
7	Attorneys for Complainant					
8						
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY					
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
11	STATE OF C	ALIFORNIA 1				
12 13	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 5006				
14 15	ARUTYUN BAGDATYAN a.k.a., ARUTYAN BAGDATYAN 13518 Bassett Street Van Nuys, CA 91405	STATEMENT OF ISSUES				
16	Respondent.					
17						
18	Complainant alleges:					
19	PARTIES					
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official					
21	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.					
22	2. On or about April 15, 2010, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) received an application					
23	for a Pharmacist Examination and Licensure from Arutyun Bagdatyan, also known as Arutyan					
24	Bagdatyan (Respondent). On or about April 5, 2010, Respondent certified under penalty of					
25	perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the application.					
26	The Board denied the application on May 28, 2010.					
27	///					
28	///					
		1				

#### JURISDICTION

3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

#### STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 480 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- "(1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.
- "(2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.
- "(3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- (B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made."
  - 5. Section 490 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under

subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.

- "(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."
- 6. Section 4300 provides, in pertinent part, that every license issued by the Board is subject to discipline, including suspension or revocation.
  - 7. Section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

. . .

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for

appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

. . . .

"(p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license."

## **REGULATORY PROVISIONS**

8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states, in pertinent part:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

# FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

# (Conviction of a Crime)

9. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent was convicted of a crime. On or about September 11, 2007, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of violating Penal Code section 484E, subdivision (b) [grand theft of access cards] in the criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of California v. Arutyun Bagdatyan (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2007, No. LA055059). The Court sentenced Respondent to two years in state prison and placed him on three years formal probation, with terms and conditions. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about July 22, 2006, while employed at KB Toys, in Sherman Oaks, CA, Respondent skimmed 17 credit cards of customers and provided the credit card numbers to another. He was paid \$50.00 for each credit card number. The credit cards were fraudulently used to purchase approximately \$20,000.00 worth of merchandise.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

### SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)

10. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(2), in that on or about July 22, 2006, Respondent committed dishonest acts, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself, or substantially injure another. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 9, as though set forth fully.

## THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Acts Warranting Denial of a License)

11. Respondent's application is subject to denial under sections 4301, subdivision (p), and 480, subdivision (a)(3) (A) and (B), in that Respondent committed acts which if done by a licentiate would be grounds for suspension or revocation of his license. Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a pharmacist intern which to a substantial degree evidence his present or potential unfitness to perform the functions authorized by his license in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare, in violation of sections 4301, subdivision (1) and 490, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 9, as though set forth fully.

## **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:

Denying the application of Arutyun Bagdatyan, also known as Arutyan Bagdatyan for a Pharmacist Examination and Licensure; and,

2.	Taking such other and further	action	as deemed	necessar	y and proper.
	. 1	f	•		~ 1

DATED: 4/8/14

Department of Consumer Affairs State of California

Complainant

28