1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California JOSHUA ROOM Supervising Deputy Attorney General BRETT KINGSBURY Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 243744 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-1192 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 E-mail: Brett.Kingsbury@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the First Amended Statement Case No. 4843
12	of Issues Against:
13	ELIZABETH MEDINA FIRST AMENDED STATEMENT OF
14	Applicant for Pharmacy Technician License ISSUES
15	Respondeņt.
16	
17	Complainant alleges:
18	PARTIES
19	1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") brings this First Amended Statement of Issues solely
20	in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of
21	Consumer Affairs.
22	2. On or about November 5, 2012, the Board of Pharmacy ("Board"), Department of
23	Consumer Affairs received an Application for Registration as a Pharmacy Technician from
24	Elizabeth Medina ("Respondent"). On or about November 1, 2012, Respondent certified under
25	penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the
26	application. The Board denied the application on May 6, 2013.
27	
28	
	1
]	FIRST AMENDED STATEMENT OF ISSUES (Case No. 4843)

JURISDICTION 3. This First Amended Statement of Issues is brought before the Board, Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code ("Code") unless otherwise indicated, 4. Section 4300, subdivision (c), of the Code states: "(c) The board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct. The board may, in its sole discretion, issue a probationary license to any applicant for a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct and who has met all other requirements for licensure. The board may issue the license subject to any terms or conditions not contrary to public policy" 5. Section 4301 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that "unprofessional conduct" is defined to include, but not limited to, any of the following: "(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license. ¹¹.... "(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances. "(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

g

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

28

25 dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the

26 record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred.

27 The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order

2

to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or

dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the 1 2 qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning 3 of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the 4 judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made 5 suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of 6 the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not 7 guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or 8 indictment. 9 11 10

6. Section 480 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that a board may deny an application
for licensure if the applicant has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the
qualifications, duties, or functions of a licensee.

14

7. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states:

15 "For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license 16 pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a 17 crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a 18 licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner 19 consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

20 21

22

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF LICENSE

(Conviction of Substantially Related Crime)

8. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code sections 480, 4300,

23 || subdivision (c), and 4301, subdivision (l), as interpreted in California Code of Regulations, title

24 || 16, section 1770, in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the

25 || qualifications, functions, or duties of a pharmacy technician. Specifically:

a. On or about December 13, 2006, in the case entitled *The People of the State of California v. Elizabeth Medina*, in Napa County Superior Court Case No. CR132340, Respondent
 was convicted of violating Napa Municipal Code section 9.70.303 [Unlawful Gathering when

Alcohol is Served to Minors]. Respondent was sentenced to one year probation and ordered to
 pay court fines.

b. On or about October 24, 2007, in the case entitled *The People of the State of California v. Elizabeth Medina*, Sacramento County Superior Court Case No. 07T05042,
Respondent pled guilty to a violation of Vehicle Code section 23103 [Reckless Driving – Alcohol
Related]. Respondent was placed on three (3) years probation and ordered to pay court fines.

7

8

9

10

c. On or about March 28, 2008, in the case entitled *The People of the State of California*v. *Elizabeth Medina*, Placer County Superior Court Case No. 62078666, Respondent pled guilty
to a misdemeanor violation of Vehicle Code section 14601.5 [Driving While License Suspended].
Respondent was placed on three (3) years probation.

d. On or about April 18, 2008, in the case entitled *The People of the State of California v. Elizabeth Medina*, Napa County Superior Court Case No. CR 140056, Respondent pled guilty
to a violation of Vehicle Code section 14601(a) [Driving When Driving Privileges Suspended], a
misdemeanor. Respondent was sentenced to two (2) years probation and was ordered to serve
five (5) days in jail and pay court fines.

e. On or about April 11, 2011, in the case entitled The People of the State of California 16 v. Elizabeth Medina, Sacramento County Superior Court Case No. 11T02097, Respondent pled 17 no contest to a misdemeanor violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(b) [Driving With a Blood 18 Alcohol Greater than 0.08%], with one prior. Respondent was placed on four (4) years probation 19 and was ordered to serve 10 days in jail, enroll and complete a Multiple Offender Program and 20 pay court fines. The circumstances surrounding this conviction are that on March 14, 2011, 21 Respondent narrowly missed a high speed (70 mph) collision with a California Highway Patrol 22 ("CHP") vehicle, in Sacramento, California. Her vehicle was also observed weaving from one 23 lane to the next, at which point an enforcement stop was made. Upon contact, Respondent 24 displayed all indications of alcohol intoxication and failed roadside field sobriety testing. Her 25 preliminary alcohol screening test revealed blood alcohol levels of 0.13% and 0.14%. 26

f. On or about March 12, 2013, in the case entitled *The People of the State of California v. Elizabeth Medina*, Napa County Superior Court, Case No. CR165333, Respondent pled guilty

to a misdemeanor violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(a) [Driving While Under the Influence 1 of Alcohol], a misdemeanor, and a violation of Vehicle Code section 14601.2(a) [Driving When 2 Privilege Suspended For DUI + Priors], a misdemeanor. Respondent was sentenced to five (5) 3 years formal probation and ordered to pay court fines. The circumstances surrounding this 4 conviction are that on March 2, 2013, Respondent was stopped by the Napa Sheriff's Department 5 for weaving between lanes. Upon contact, Respondent displayed all indications of alcohol 6 7 intoxication and failed roadside field sobriety testing. Her preliminary alcohol screening test revealed blood alcohol levels of 0.22% and 0.22%. She refused additional chemical testing as 8 required by Vehicle Code section 23578. 9

g. On or about January 31, 2014, in the case entitled *People v. Medina, Elizabeth*, No.
CR169432, in the Superior Court of California for the County of Napa, Respondent pled no
contest to and was convicted of violating California Penal Code section 647(f) [public
intoxication], a misdemeanor. The conduct underlying the offense occurred on January 26, 2014.

h. On or about January 31, 2014, in the case entitled *People v. Medina, Elizabeth*, No.
CR168888, in the Superior Court of California for the County of Napa, Respondent pled no
contest to and was convicted of violating California Penal Code 242/243(a) [battery], a
misdemeanor. The conduct underlying the offense occurred on December 5, 2013, and involved
Respondent's use of alcohol.

19

20

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF LICENSE

(Multiple Alcohol Related Convictions)

5

9. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code sections 4300, subdivision
 (c), and 4301, subdivision (k), in that Respondent was convicted of more than one misdemeanor
 or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self administration of any dangerous drug or
 alcoholic beverage, or a combination thereof. The circumstances are described above in the First
 Cause for Denial of License.

26 ///

27

///

111

1	THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF LICENSE
2	(Dangerous or Injurious Use of Alcohol)
3	10. Respondent's application is subject to denial under following Code section 4300,
4	subdivision (c), and 4301, subdivision (h), in that Respondent used a dangerous drug or alcoholic
5	beverage to an extent or in a manner dangerous or injurious to herself, another person, or the
6	public. The circumstances are described above in the First Cause for Denial of License.
7	PRAYER
8	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
9	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:
10	1. Denying the application of Elizabeth Medina for registration as a Pharmacy
11	Technician; and
12	2. Taking such other and further action as is deemed necessary and proper.
13	DATED: 5/2/14 ()inin Que da
14	VIRGINIA/HEROLD Executive/Officer
15	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs
16	State of California Complainant
17	
18	SF2013405544 40762755.doc
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	6 FIRST AMENDED STATEMENT OF ISSUES (Case No. 4843)

Ì.

a contract of the second se

KAMALA D. HARRIS
Attorney General of California FRANK H. PACOE
Supervising Deputy Attorney General JUDITH J. LOACH Deputy Attorney General
Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 162030 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000
San Francisco, CA 94102-7004
Telephone: (415) 703-5604 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 E-mail: Judith.Loach@doj.ca.gov
Attorneys for Complainant
BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Case No. 4843
Against:
ELIZABETH MEDINA STATEMENT OF ISSUES
Applicant for Pharmacy Technician License
Respondent.
Complainant alleges:
PARTIES
1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official
capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
2. On or about November 5, 2012, the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer
Affairs received an Application for Registration as a Pharmacy Technician from Elizabeth
Medina ("Respondent"). On or about November 1, 2012, Elizabeth Medina certified under
penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the
application. The Board denied the application on May 6, 2013.
JURISDICTION
3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board of Pharmacy ("Board"),
Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section
1 STATEMENT OF ISSUES (Case No. 4843)

references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

4.

1

2

3

4

5

6

Section 4300, subdivision (c), states:

"(c) The board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct. The board may, in its sole discretion, issue a probationary license to any applicant for a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct and who has met all other requirements for licensure. The board may issue the license subject to any terms or conditions not contrary to public policy"

5. Section 4301 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that "unprofessional conduct" is
defined to include, but not limited to, any of the following:

9 "(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous
10 drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to
11 oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or
12 to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the
13 practice authorized by the license.

14

"(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use,
consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any
combination of those substances.

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and 18 19 duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled 20 substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or 21 dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the 22 record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. 23 The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order 24 to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or 25 dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the 26 qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or 27 a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning 28

of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

7 8

1

2

3

4

5

6

6. Section 480 of the Code states:

9 "(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has
10 one of the following:

"(1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.

"(2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially
benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.

19 "(3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question,
20 would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.

"(B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made.

.

24

21

22

23

25

7.

California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states:

26 "For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license
27 pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a
28 crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a

licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF LICENSE

(Conviction of Substantially Related Crimes)

8. Respondent's application is subject to denial under the following Code sections:
480(a)(1); 480(a)(3), by reference to 4301(l), and/or 4300(c) by reference to California Code of
Regulations, title 16, section 1770, for conviction of substantially related crimes, in that from
2006 to 2013 Respondent had the following criminal convictions:

a. On or about December 31, 2006, in Napa County Superior Court Case No.
CR132340, Respondent was convicted of violating Napa Municipal Code section 9.70.303
[Unlawful Gathering when Alcohol is Served to Minors]. Respondent was sentenced to one year
probation and ordered to pay court fines.

b. On or about October 24, 2007, in a criminal matter entitled *The People of the State of California v. Elizabeth Medina*, Sacramento County Superior Court Case No. 07T05042,
Respondent pled guilty to a violation of Vehicle Code section 23103 [Reckless Driving – Alcohol
Related]. Respondent was placed on three (3) years probation and ordered to pay court fines.

c. On or about March 28, 2008, in a criminal matter entitled *The People of the State of California v. Elizabeth Medina*, Placer County Superior Court Case No. 62078666, Respondent
pled guilty to a misdemeanor violation of Vehicle Code section 14601.5 [Driving While License
Suspended]. Respondent was placed on three (3) years probation.

d. On or about April 18, 2008, in a criminal matter entitled *The People of the State of California v. Elizabeth Medina*, Napa County Superior Court Case No. CR 140056, Respondent
pled guilty to a violation of Vehicle Code section 14601(a) [Driving When Driving Privileges
Suspended], a misdemeanor. Respondent was sentenced to two (2) years probation and was
ordered to serve five (5) days in jail and pay court fines.

e. On or about April 11, 2011, in a criminal matter entitled *The People of the State of California v. Elizabeth Medina*, Sacramento County Superior Court Case No. 11T02097,
Respondent pled no contest to a misdemeanor violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(b)

[Driving With a Blood Alcohol Greater than 0.08%], with one prior. Respondent was placed on four (4) years probation and was ordered to serve 10 days in jail, enroll and complete a Multiple 2 Offender Drinker Driving Program and pay court fines. The circumstances surrounding this 3 conviction are that on March 14, 2011, Respondent narrowly missed a high speed (70 mph) collision with a California Highway Patrol ("CHP") vehicle, in Sacramento, California. Her 6 vehicle was also observed weaving from one lane to the next, at which point an enforcement stop was made. Upon contact, Respondent displayed all indications of alcohol intoxication and failed 7 roadside field sobriety testing. Her preliminary alcohol screening test revealed blood alcohol levels of 0.13% and 0.14%. 9

f. On or about March 12, 2013, in a criminal matter entitled *The People of the State of* 10 California v. Elizabeth Medina, Napa County Superior Court, Case No. CR165333, Respondent 11 pled guilty to a misdemeanor violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(a) [Driving While Under 12 the Influence of Alcohol], a misdemeanor and a violation of Vehicle Code section 14601.2(a) 13 [Driving When Privilege Suspended For DUI + Priors], a misdemeanor. Respondent was 14 sentenced to five (5) years formal probation and ordered to pay court fines. The circumstances 15 surrounding this conviction are that on March 2, 2013, Respondent was stopped by the Napa 16 Sheriff's Department for weaving between lanes. Upon contact, Respondent displayed all 17 indications of alcohol intoxication and failed roadside field sobriety testing. Her preliminary 18 alcohol screening test revealed blood alcohol levels of 0.22% and 0.22%. She refused additional 19 chemical testing as required by Vehicle Code section 23578. 20

21 22

1

4

5

8

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF LICENSE

(Multiple Alcohol Related Convictions)

9. Respondent's application is subject to denial under the following Code sections: 23 480(a)(1); 480(a)(3), by reference to 4300(k) and/or 4300(c) by reference to California Code of 24 Regulations, title 16, section 1770, for multiple alcohol related convictions, as set forth above in 25 paragraph 8, subsections (e) and (f). 26

/// 27

/// 28

1	THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF LICENSE
2	(Dangerous or Injurious Use of Alcohol)
3	10. Respondent's application is subject to denial under the following Code sections:
4	480(a)(3), by reference to 4301(h); and/or 4300 (c), by reference to 4301(h), in that, as described
5	in paragraph 8 above, Respondent engaged in conduct that was a dangerous or injurious use of
6	alcohol.
7	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF LICENSE
8	(Unprofessional Conduct)
9	11. Respondent's application is subject to denial under the following Code sections:
10	480(a)(3) by reference to 4301; and/or 4300(c), by reference to 4301, in that, as described in
11	paragraphs 8, 9 and 10 above, Respondent has engaged in unprofessional conduct.
12	PRAYER
13	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
14	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:
15	1. Denying the application of Elizabeth Medina to be a Pharmacy Technician; and
16	2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
17	DATED: 10/21/13 Diana devold
18	VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer
19	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs
20	State of California Complainant
21	
22	SF2013405544 40762755.doc
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	6 STATEMENT OF ISSUES (Case No. 4843)

ł