BEFORE THE ARD OF PHARMACY NT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS TE OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 4836 STATEMENT OF ISSUES
ARD OF PHARMACY NT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS TE OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 4836
ARD OF PHARMACY NT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS TE OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 4836
ARD OF PHARMACY NT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS TE OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 4836
ARD OF PHARMACY NT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS TE OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 4836
ARD OF PHARMACY NT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS TE OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 4836
ARD OF PHARMACY NT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS TE OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 4836
ARD OF PHARMACY NT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS TE OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 4836
ARD OF PHARMACY NT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS TE OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 4836
ARD OF PHARMACY NT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS TE OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 4836
TE OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 4836
STATEMENT OF ISSUES
nondent
- Johnson
PARTIES
ant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official
Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
012, the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer
gistration as a Pharmacy Technician from James Robert
eptember 25, 2012, James Robert Stead, II certified under
fall statements, answers, and representations in the
lication on March 12, 2013.
- 1
Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. O12, the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer gistration as a Pharmacy Technician from James Robert eptember 25, 2012, James Robert Stead, II certified und fall statements, answers, and representations in the lication on March 12, 2013.

STATEMENT OF ISSUES

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

28

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board),
 Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references
 are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 4300, subdivision (c) of the Code states "the board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct."
 - 5. Section 475 of the Code states:
 - (a) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall govern the denial of licenses on the grounds of:
 - (1) Knowingly making a false statement of material fact, or knowingly omitting to state a material fact, in an application for a license.
 - (2) Conviction of a crime.
 - (3) Commission of any act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or another, or substantially injure another.
 - (4) Commission of any act which, if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
 - (b) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall govern the suspension and revocation of licenses on grounds specified in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subdivision (a).
 - (c) A license shall not be denied, suspended, or revoked on the grounds of a lack of good moral character or any similar ground relating to an applicant's character, reputation, personality, or habits.
 - 6 Section 480 of the Code states:
 - (a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
 - (1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.
 - (2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.
 - (3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.

9. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.

26 //

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27 | //

28 | //

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- 10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769 states:
- (a) When considering the denial of a facility or personal license under Section 480 of the Business and Professions Code, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of the applicant and his present eligibility for licensing or registration, will consider the following criteria:
- (1) The nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s) under consideration as grounds for denial.
- (2) Evidence of any act(s) committed subsequent to the act(s) or crime(s) under consideration as grounds for denial under Section 480 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or crime(s) referred to in subdivision (1) or (2).
- (4) Whether the applicant has complied with any terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the applicant.
 - (5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the applicant.
- 11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(November 8, 2006 Criminal Conviction for Driving with Blood Alcohol Concentration of 0.08% or More on August 30, 2006)

12. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivisions (a)(1) and (a)(3)(A) of the Code in that he was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties and functions of a registered pharmacy technician, and would be a ground for discipline for a registered pharmacy technician under section 4301, subdivision (l) of the Code.

| //

- 13. On or about November 8, 2006, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. James Robert Stead, II*, in the Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, Southwest Division, case number SWM054162, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty of violating Vehicle Code section 23152(b) (driving with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.08 percent or more), a misdemeanor. As a result of a plea bargain, a count for violating Vehicle Code section 11352(a) (DUI), was dismissed.
- 14. As a result of the conviction, the Court ordered Respondent to serve 18 days in the county jail, with one day credit for time served, with the remainder of the time to be served through the Riverside Sheriff's Office Weekender Program. The Court placed Respondent on two years summary probation and ordered him to obey all laws, ordinances and court orders, pay various fines and fees, not drive with alcohol in blood or within 6 hours of consuming alcohol, not drive unless properly licensed nor without insurance or valid registration, attend and satisfactorily complete a Drinking Driver Program, and to submit to chemical tests of blood, saliva, breath, or urine or any reasonable physical test upon request of any probation or law enforcement officer.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(January 18, 2011 Criminal Conviction for DUI and for Driving with Blood Alcohol Concentration of 0.08% or More [0.22-0.25% BAC] on September 14, 2010)

- 15. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivisions (a)(1) and (a)(3)(A) of the Code in that he was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties and functions of a registered pharmacy technician, and would be a ground for discipline for a registered pharmacy technician under section 4301, subdivision (l) of the Code for a registered pharmacy technician.
- 16. On or about January 18, 2011, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. James Robert Stead, II*, in the Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, Southwest Division, case number SWM10006810, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty of violating Vehicle Code sections 23152(a) (DUI) and 23152(b) (driving with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.08 percent or more), misdemeanors, with an admitted allegation of a prior DUI.

17. As a result of the conviction, the Court ordered Respondent to serve 25 days in the county jail, with one day credit for time served, with the remainder of the time to be served through the Weekender Program. The Court placed Respondent on two years summary probation and also ordered him to obey all laws, ordinances and court orders, pay various fines and fees, not drive unless properly licensed nor without insurance or valid registration, attend and satisfactorily complete a Drinking Driver Program, and submit to chemical tests of blood, saliva, breath, or urine or any reasonable physical test upon request of any probation or law enforcement officer.

The circumstances that led to the conviction at that on September 14, 2010, at 18. approximately 12:20 a.m., California Highway Patrol Officers observed a blue Chevy Silverado truck traveling ahead of them in the area of Overland Road in Temecula, California. The officers observed that the truck swerved and crossed a solid white line onto the shoulder of the freeway several times. Officers performed a traffic stop of the vehicle and made contact with the driver (Respondent). The officers could smell a strong odor of an alcoholic beverage coming from inside the vehicle. The officers asked Respondent if he had any alcoholic beverages to drink and Respondent told officers he had two Coors light beers and two Jack's earlier at Johnny C's Bar. While speaking to Respondent, the officers noticed a strong odor of an alcoholic beverage emitting from his breath and noticed that his eyes were very red, watery and sleepy in appearance, that his speech was very slow and very slurred as he spoke, and that Respondent swayed as he was talking to officers. The officers had Respondent perform a series of field sobriety tests which he failed and the officers placed him under arrest and transported him to the Southwest Detention Center. Upon arrival, Respondent completed a breath test at approximately 12:25 with three results of 0.22 percent, 0.25 percent, and 0.23 percent. Respondent was booked in the county jail.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Use of Alcohol in a Manner Dangerous or Injurious to Self or Others)

19. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(3)(A) of the Code in that he used alcohol in a manner dangerous or injurious to himself or others, which would be a ground for discipline for a registered pharmacy technician under section 4301, subdivision (h) of the Code, as is more fully detailed at paragraphs 12-18, above, which are incorporated here by reference.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Multiple Convictions Involving the Use of Alcoholic Beverages)

20. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(3)(A) of the Code in that he was convicted in two separate cases on charges involving the consumption of alcoholic beverages, which would be a ground for discipline for a registered pharmacy technician under section 4301, subdivision (k) of the Code, as is more fully detailed at paragraphs 12-18, above, which are incorporated here by reference.

DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

- 21. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent for the violations alleged above, pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, Complainant alleges:
- a. On or about March 20, 1996, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. James Robert Stead, II*, in the Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, case number P961584, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty of violating Penal Code sections 484/490.5 (theft/petty theft of merchandise), a misdemeanor. The Court ordered Respondent to pay a fine.
- b. On or about February 24, 1997, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. James Robert Stead, II*, in the Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, case number PEM00099, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty of violating Vehicle Code sections 23152(b) (driving with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.08 percent or

1	more), a misdemeanor. Respondent was sentenced to 10 days in the county jail, ordered to pay a
2	fine, and placed on probation for 5 years.
3	PRAYER
4	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
5	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:
_6	1. Denying the application of James Robert Stead, II, for a Pharmacy Technician
7	Registration;
8	2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
9	3 h 4 / 1 / 1 / 1
10	DATED:
11	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs
12	State of California Complainant
13	Comprainan
14	SD2013705719
15	70767424.doc
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27 28	
20	9