1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California GREGORY J. SALUTE Supervising Deputy Attorney General KATHERINE MESSANA Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 272953 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2554 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	STATE OF	CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues	Case No. 4527
12	Against:	
13	CARLOS KYAN SOLANO	STATEMENT OF ISSUES
14	Registration as a Pharmacy Technician Applicant	
15	Respondent.	·
16		J
17	Complainant alleges:	
18	<u>PARTIES</u>	
19	1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official	
20	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.	
21	2. On or about June 21, 2012, the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs	
22	received a Pharmacy Technician Application from Carlos Kyan Solano ("Respondent"). On or	
23	about May 22, 2012, Carlos Kyan Solano certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of	
24	all statements, answers, and representations in the application. The Board denied the application	
25	on November 7, 2012.	
26	JURISDICTION AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS	
27	3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board of Pharmacy ("Board"),	
28	Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section	
	1	

- .

- 4. Section 480 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- (1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.
- (2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.
- (3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- (B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made."
- 5. Section 493 of the Code states:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration.'"

- 6. Section 492 of the Code states:
- "Notwithstanding any other provision of law, successful completion of any diversion program under the Penal Code, or successful completion of an alcohol and drug problem assessment program under Article 5 (commencing with section 23249.50) of Chapter 12 of Division 11 of the Vehicle Code, shall not prohibit any agency established under Division 2 ([Healing Arts] commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division, from taking disciplinary action against a licensee or from denying a license for professional misconduct, notwithstanding that evidence of that misconduct may be recorded in a record pertaining to an arrest.

This section shall not be construed to apply to any drug diversion

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency."

### REGULATORY PROVISIONS

9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 provides:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

#### DRUG DEFINITIONS

10. Methamphetamine is a Schedule II Controlled Substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11055 and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

### FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

#### (Conviction of Substantially Related Crime)

11. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(1) of the Code in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 in that Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows:

- 12. On or about April 29, 2002, Respondent pled guilty to and was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 243(e)(1) [battery of spouse] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Carlos Solano* (Super. Ct. of California, County of Los Angeles, 2002, Case No. 2FC00204). As part of the plea, Respondent was ordered to enroll in the Kaiser Mental Health Program. On or about June 19, 2002, the court ordered Respondent to serve sixty (60) days in Los Angeles County Jail and placed Respondent on probation for three (3) years, with terms and conditions.
- 13. On or about October 16, 2002, Respondent pled nolo contendere to and was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 12500(a) [drive with suspended license] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Carlos Kyan Solano* (Super. Ct. of California, County of Los Angeles, 2002, Case No. 2SM03064). The court ordered Respondent to serve 4 days in Los Angeles County Jail and placed Respondent on probation for one (1) year, with terms and conditions.
- 14. On or about July 7, 2003, Respondent pled nolo contendere to and was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 243(e)(1), [battery of spouse] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Carlos Kyan Solano* (Super. Ct. of California, County of Los Angeles, 2003, Case No. 3FC00254). The court ordered Respondent to serve thirty (30) days in Los Angeles County Jail and placed Respondent on probation for three (3) years, with terms and conditions.
- 15. On or about February 9, 2004, Respondent pled nolo contendere to and was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 484(a) [theft] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Carlos Kyan Solano* (Super. Ct. of California, County of Los Angeles, 2003, Case No. 3EL10305). The court ordered Respondent to serve 6 days in Los Angeles County Jail and placed Respondent on probation for a period of twelve (12) months, with terms and conditions.
- 16. On or about December 2, 2010, Respondent pled note contendere to and was found guilty of one misdemeaner count of violating Health and Safety Code section 11377(a)

  [possession of controlled substance] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of*

California v. Carlos Kyan Solano (Super. Ct. of California, County of Los Angeles, 2010, Case No. 0RI05379). The court placed Respondent on probation for a period of 1 year under the terms and conditions of Proposition 36. On or about July 25, 2011, the court revoked Respondent's probation, terminated the Proposition 36 program and convicted Respondent. On or about October 28, 2011, the court sentenced Respondent to 90 days in Los Angeles County Jail and placed Respondent on probation for three (3) years, with terms and conditions. The circumstances underlying the conviction are that on or about November 30, 2010, Sheriff's Officers executed a search warrant on Respondent's vehicle and residence. Sheriff's Officers found a baggie containing 0.38 grams of suspected methamphetamine in the crotch area of Respondent's clothing. Sheriff's officers also found a glass pipe, numerous empty Ziploc baggies and a surveillance camera in Respondent's residence. When Sheriff's Officers contacted Respondent, he stated "I've been using meth for years but I'm not a dealer."

## **SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION**

(Act Involving Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

17. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(2) of the Code in that Respondent committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself when he committed theft. On or about February 9, 2004, Respondent was criminally convicted of this charge. The criminal conviction is described in more particularity in paragraph 15 above, inclusive and hereby incorporated by reference.

# THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Acts Constituting Grounds for Discipline of Licensee)

- 18. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(3) of the Code in that Respondent committed acts constituting grounds for discipline of a licensee, as follows:
- 19. Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a pharmacy technician in violation of section 490 and section 4301, subdivision (I) of the Code in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section

1770. The criminal convictions are described in more particularity in paragraphs 12 through 16 above, inclusive and hereby incorporated by reference.

- 20. Respondent committed an act involving dishonesty when he committed theft in violation of 4301, subdivision (f) of the Code. On or about February 9, 2004, Respondent was criminally convicted of this charge. The criminal conviction is described in more particularity in paragraph 15 above, inclusive and hereby incorporated by reference.
- 21. Respondent committed unprofessional conduct when possessed Methamphetamine and drug paraphernalia on or about November 30, 2010, in violation of section 4301 of the Code. The conduct is described in more particularity in paragraph 16 above, inclusive and hereby incorporated by reference.
- 22. Respondent committed unprofessional conduct he violated California statutes regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs in violation of section 4301, subdivision (j) of the Code. Specifically, on or about December 2, 2010, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Health and Safety Code section 11377(a) [possession of controlled substance]. The conviction is described in more particularity in paragraph 16 above, inclusive and hereby incorporated by reference.
- 23. Respondent violated provisions of the licensing chapter in violation of section 4301, subdivision (o) of the Code. The violations are described in more particularity in paragraphs 18 through 22 above, inclusive and hereby incorporated by reference.

19 | throug 20 | /// 21 | /// 22 | /// 23 | /// 24 | ///

27 ///

## **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Denying the application of Carlos Kyan Solano for a Registration as a Pharmacy Technician;
  - 2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 4/4/13

VIRGINA HEROLD Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

LA2012508399 51214347.doc