1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California GLORIA A. BARRIOS Supervising Deputy Attorney General M. TRAVIS PEERY Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 261887 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	Telephone: (213) 897-0962 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8		
	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY	
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 4381
12	MIKE BRYAN MEDINA	
13		STATEMENT OF ISSUES
14	Applicant for Pharmacy Technician	
15	Registration	
16	Respondent.	
17		
18	Complainant alleges:	
19	<u>PARTIES</u>	
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official	
21	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs	
22	(Board).	
23	2. On or about May 20, 2011, the Board received an application for registration as a	
24	Pharmacy Technician from Mike Bryan Medina (Respondent). On or about May 18, 2011,	
25	Respondent certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and	
26	representations in the application. The Board denied the application on May 17, 2012.	
27	<i>III</i>	
28	<i>III</i> .	
	1	

### **JURISDICTION**

3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

## STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 480 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- "(1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.
- "(2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.
- "(3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- (B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made."

• • •

- 5. Section 490 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.

///

- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.
- "(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."

#### 6. Section 4060 states:

"No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052. This section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer.

"Nothing in this section authorizes a certified nurse-midwife, a nurse practitioner, a physician assistant, or a naturopathic doctor, to order his or her own stock of dangerous drugs and devices."

### 7. Section 4300 states, in pertinent part:

"That every license issued by the Boards is subject to discipline, including suspension or revocation."

11

12

13

14

10

15 16

17

18 19

2021

2223

24

2526

27

28

8. Section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

• • • •

"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

. . .

- "(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.
- "(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

"(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the		
violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable		
federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by		
the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.		

"(p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license."

#### REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- 9. California Code of Regulations, title, 21, section 1308.13, states:
- "(e) Narcotic Drugs. Unless specifically excepted or unless listed in another schedule:
- (1) Any material, compound, mixture, or preparation containing any of the following narcotic drugs, or their salts calculated as the free anhydrous base or alkaloid, in limited quantizes as set forth below: . . ."
  - 10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

#### **DRUG STATUTES**

11. Health and Safety Code section 11056, subdivision (e), states, in pertinent part:

"Narcotic drugs. Unless specifically excepted or unless listed in another schedule, any material compound, mixture, or preparation containing any of the following narcotic drugs, or their salts calculated as the free anhydrous base or alkaloid, in limited quantities. . . ."

26 | ///

27 || /

28 | /

### **CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE-DANGEROUS DRUG**

12. "Hydrocodone/acetaminophen (HC/apap)" is a Schedule III narcotic substance pursuant to Health & Safety Code Section 11056 (e)(3) and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code 4022.

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

# (Conviction of a Substantially-Related Crime)

- 13. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(1), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the application is made as follows:
- a. On or about July 18, 2011, after pleading *guilty*, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 12500, subdivision (a) [unlawful to drive unless licensed], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Mike Bryan* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2011, No. CIT1JB0392601). The court placed Respondent on probation for a period of 1 year, and fined him. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about March 22, 2011, Respondent did unlawfully drive a motor vehicle on a highway, without having a license. The Respondent was subsequently convicted of violating Vehicle Code section 12500, subdivision (a).

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

# (Possession of a Controlled Substance Without a Valid Prescription)

- 14. Respondent's application is subject to denial under sections 4060, 4300, 4301, subdivision (j), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, on the grounds of unprofessional conduct for violating Health and Safety Code sections 11056, subdivision (e), and 11170, in that on or about July 02, 2010, Respondent was in possession of a controlled substance without a valid prescription as follows:
- a. On July 02, 2010, Respondent was in possession of a controlled substance, and did unlawfully posses a controlled substance, to wit, hydrocodone/apap 10/325 mg tablets without a valid prescription. Respondent produced a prescription for the controlled substance during the

court proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Mike Bryan Medina* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2010, No. KA091954). The court dismissed the charges. A review of Respondent's CURES report from January 01, 2009 to May 01, 2012, while employed as a Pharmacy Technician at Better Value Pharmacy, revealed that Respondent was dishonest and did not have a prescription for the hydrocodone/apap 10/325 mg tablets that were discovered in his possession.

#### THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Acts Involving Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)

15. Respondent's application is subject to denial under sections 480, subdivision (a)(2), and 4301, subdivision (f), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent committed dishonest acts, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself, or substantially injure another. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 14, subparagraph (a), as though set forth fully.

# FOURTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Acts Warranting Denial of Licensure)

- 16. Respondent's application is subject to denial under sections 480, subdivision (a)(3)(A) and (a)(3)(B) and 4301, subdivision (p), in that Respondent committed acts which if done by a licentiate would be grounds for suspension or revocation of his license, as follows:
- a. Respondent's was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a pharmacy technician which to a substantial degree evidence his present or potential unfitness to perform the functions authorized by his license in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare, in violation of sections 4301, subdivision (I) and 490, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 13, subparagraph (a), as though set forth fully.
- b. On or about July 02, 2010, Respondent was in possession of a controlled substance without a valid prescription, in violation of sections 4060, 4300, and 4301, subdivision (j), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770. Complainant refers to,