i	
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS
2	Attorney General of California JANICE K. LACHMAN
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General LORRIE M. YOST
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 119088
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 445-2271
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant
8	BEFORE THE
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: Case No. 4225
12	RICHARD MINH LA STATEMENT OF ISSUES
13	9320 Landsdowne Drive Stockton, CA 95210
14	Pharmacy Technician License Applicant
15	Respondent.
16	Complainant alleges:
17	<u>PARTIES</u>
18	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official
19	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
20	2. On or about May 20, 2011, the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs
21	received an application for a Pharmacy Technician License from Richard Minh La (Respondent)
22	On or about May 18, 2011, Richard Minh La certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness
23	of all statements, answers, and representations in the application. The Board denied the
24	application on December 15, 2011.
25	<u>JURISDICTION</u>
26	3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board),
27	Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section
28	references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

- 4. Section 480 of the Business and Professions Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may deny a license if the applicant has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of the business or profession for which application is made, has committed any act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit, has committed any act which if done by a licentiate would be grounds for suspension or revocation of a license, or has knowingly made a false statement of fact required to be revealed in the application.
 - 5. Section 4300 of the Code states in pertinent part:
 - "(c) The board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct. The board may, in its sole discretion, issue a probationary license to any applicant for a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct and who has met all other requirements for licensure."
 - 6. Section 4301 of the Code states in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

- "(f) of the Business and Professions Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may discipline a license if the licentiate has committed any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
- "(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall

be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision."

DRUGS

7. Marijuana is a Schedule I controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11054(d)(13).

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Conviction of a Crime)

- 8. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480 subdivision (a)(1), and section 4300, subdivision (c) as it interacts with section 4301, subdivision (l), in that on or about October 21, 2011, in a criminal proceeding entitled People v. Tam Nancy La, William La, Richard Minh La and David Charles La, in Santa Clara County Superior Court Case Number CC959080, Respondent was convicted by plea of nolo contendere of violating Penal Code section 32 (accessory to a felony), a misdemeanor. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. During the months of September and October 2009, law enforcement observed Tam and William La, along with their sons Richard and David, entering and leaving three locations in Stockton and Saratoga, California. A search of these premises on or about October 22, 2009, revealed approximately 1,138 marijuana plants, 2 pounds of processed marijuana, a large amount of equipment being used in the cultivation of marijuana, and that power was being diverted from the P G & E system to one of the premises. As a result, Respondent, his brother David, and his parents, Tam Nancy La and William La, were arrested.

///