I		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 101336 AMANDA DODDS Senior Legal Analyst 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2141 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant BEFORI BOARD OF P. DEPARTMENT OF CO. STATE OF CA.	HARMACY ONSUMER AFFAIRS
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 4170
12	HOSSEIN SAFAVI SHAMLOU	STATEMENT OF ISSUES
13	4260 Don Way San Diego, CA 92117	
14	Respondent.	
15	- Respondent.	
16	Complainant alleges:	
17	PARTIES	
18	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings	this Statement of Issues solely in her official
19	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.	
20	2. On or about December 7, 2010, the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer	
21	Affairs received an application for a Pharmacy Technician Registration from Hossein Safavi	
22	Shamlou (Respondent). On or about November 29, 2010, Hossein Safavi Shamlou certified	
23	under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the	
24	application. The Board denied the application on June 7, 2011.	
25	JURISDICTION	
26	3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board),	
27	Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section	
28	references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.	

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

8. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."

9. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

(l) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made

1	suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.	
2		
3		
4		
5	REGULATORY PROVISIONS	
6	10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769 states:	
7	(a) When considering the denial of a facility or personal license under Section 480 of the Business and Professions Code, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation	
8	of the applicant and his present eligibility for licensing or registration, will consider the following criteria:	
9		
10	grounds for denial.	
11	(2) Evidence of any act(s) committed subsequent to the act(s) or crime(s) under consideration as grounds for denial under Section 480 of the Business and Professions	
12	Code.	
13	(3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or crime(s) referred to in subdivision (1) or (2).	
14		
15	(4) Whether the applicant has complied with any terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the applicant.	
16	(5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the applicant.	
17	••••	
18	11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states:	
19	For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and	
20	Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the	
21	qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the	
22	functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.	
23	FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION	
24	(April 7, 2004 Criminal Conviction for Unlawful Sex With a Minor)	
25	12. Respondent's application for registration as a pharmacy technician is subject to denia	
26	under section 480, subdivision (a)(1) of the Code in that Respondent was convicted of a crime	
27	that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician.	
28	The circumstances are as follows:	
	i	

- a. On or about April 7, 2004, in a criminal proceeding entitled *State of California* v. Hossein Shamlou, in San Diego County Superior Court, case number CD175392, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to violating Penal Code section 261.5, subdivision (c), unlawful sexual intercourse with a minor more than 3 years younger, a felony. The court dismissed an additional count of violating Penal Code section 261.5, subdivision (c), as well as a violation of Penal Code section 261.5, subdivision (d), unlawful sexual intercourse with a minor under 16 years of age, pursuant to a plea agreement.
- b. As a result of the conviction, on or about April 7, 2004, Respondent was sentenced to three years formal probation, and ordered to serve 180 days in jail, with credit for 24 days, to be served on a work furlough program, and payment of fines, fees, and restitution.
- c. The facts and circumstances that led to the conviction are that on or about and between March 3, 2001 and April 4, 2003, Respondent, who was over the age of 21 years old, engaged in sexual intercourse with a minor, not his wife, who was under the age of 16 years old.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(March 9, 2004 Criminal Conviction for Failure to Appear on October 30, 2003)

- 13. Respondent's application for registration as a pharmacy technician is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(1) of the Code in that Respondent was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about March 9, 2004, in a criminal proceeding entitled *State of California* v. *Hossein Shamlou*, in San Diego County Superior Court, case number SCD180143, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to violating Penal Code section 1320, subdivision (b), failure to appear on his own recognizance, a felony.
- b. As a result of the conviction, on or about April 7, 2004, Respondent was sentenced to three years formal probation, and ordered to serve 180 days in jail, with credit for 24 days, and payment of fines, fees, and restitution. The sentence was ordered to run concurrent with the sentence imposed in case number CD175392, detailed in paragraph 12, above. On October 10, 2010, the court granted Respondent's petition for relief and dismissed the conviction.

PRAYER WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision: Denying the application of Hossein Safavi Shamlou for a Pharmacy Technician 1. Registration; 2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant SD2011801060